

Table of Contents

Foreword	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Purpose and Need and Alternatives	1-1
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Project Area and Vicinity	1-2
1.1.1 Raritan Bay	1-2
1.1.2 Conference House Park and Other Area Open Spaces.....	1-2
1.1.3 Inland Areas	1-3
1.2 Project Background	1-3
1.2.1 Rebuilding and Resiliency Planning	1-4
1.2.2 Rebuild by Design.....	1-5
1.2.3 NY Rising Community Reconstruction Program.....	1-6
1.2.4 Harbor Estuary and Raritan Bay Planning	1-6
1.2.5 Raise Shorelines Citywide Study	1-7
1.2.6 City, State, and Federal Agency Coordination.....	1-7
1.3 Project Purpose, Need and Objectives.....	1-8
1.3.1 Purpose.....	1-8
1.3.2 Need	1-9
1.4 Design Methodology	1-9
1.4.1 Breakwaters Project	1-10
1.4.2 Shoreline Project	1-11
1.5 Project Alternatives	1-12
1.5.1 Alternatives Analyzed in this EIS	1-12
1.5.2 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	1-20
1.5.3 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	1-21
1.5.4 Alternatives Considered and Eliminated.....	1-21
1.6 Potential Regulatory Approvals.....	1-27
1.6.1 Federal.....	1-28
1.6.2 State of New York.....	1-28
1.6.3 City of New York.....	1-29
1.7 Preliminary Consultation.....	1-29
1.8 Environmental Review Process	1-30
1.8.1 Scoping Process	1-30
1.8.2 Environmental Impact Statement.....	1-30
1.8.3 Ongoing Consultation and Public Engagement	1-31
2: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	2-1
2.0 Introduction.....	2-1

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

2.0.1	Study Area.....	2-1
2.1	Principal Conclusions.....	2-1
2.2	Regulatory Context.....	2-2
2.2.1	Methodology	2-3
2.3	Existing Conditions	2-3
2.3.1	Land Use.....	2-3
2.3.2	Zoning	2-4
2.3.3	Public Policy.....	2-5
2.4	Effects Assessment.....	2-9
2.4.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	2-9
2.4.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	2-10
2.4.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	2-14
2.4.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	2-14
2.5	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	2-15
3:	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	3-1
3.0	Introduction	3-1
3.1	Principal Conclusions.....	3-1
3.2	Regulatory Context.....	3-3
3.2.1	Socioeconomic Impact Assessment Requirements	3-3
3.2.2	Benefit-Cost Analysis.....	3-4
3.2.3	Economic Benefits of Construction.....	3-4
3.3	Methodology	3-5
3.3.1	Socioeconomic Impact Assessment.....	3-5
3.3.2	Economic Benefits of Construction.....	3-7
3.4	Existing Conditions	3-8
3.4.1	Demographic Profile.....	3-8
3.4.2	Household and Income Profile	3-9
3.4.3	Housing Profile.....	3-11
3.4.4	Recent Residential Trends	3-13
3.4.5	Business Profile	3-14
3.4.6	Economic Profile of the Socioeconomic Study Area	3-15
3.5	Effects Assessment.....	3-16
3.5.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative.....	3-16
3.5.2	Alternative 2—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	3-17
3.5.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	3-19
3.5.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	3-20
3.6	Economic Benefits of Construction.....	3-21
3.6.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative.....	3-21
3.6.2	Alternative 2—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	3-21
3.6.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	3-23
3.6.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	3-25
3.7	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	3-27

4: Environmental Justice.....	4-1
4.0 Introduction and Background.....	4-1
4.1 Principal Conclusions	4-1
4.2 Methodology	4-1
4.2.1 CEQ Guidance	4-2
4.2.2 Analysis Steps	4-2
4.3 Environmental Justice Populations in the Study Area	4-3
4.4 Public Participation.....	4-5
4.5 Effects Assessment	4-5
5: Historic and Cultural Resources	5-1
5.0 Introduction.....	5-1
5.1 Principal Conclusions	5-1
5.1.1 Archaeological Resources	5-1
5.1.2 Architectural Resources	5-3
5.2 Regulatory Context	5-4
5.2.1 National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106).....	5-4
5.2.2 New York State Historic Preservation Act	5-6
5.3 Methodology	5-6
5.3.1 Definition of the Area of Potential Effect	5-6
5.3.2 Identification of Historic Properties within the APEs.....	5-8
5.3.3 Evaluation of Potential Effects on Historic Properties.....	5-11
5.4 Affected Environment/Existing Setting	5-12
5.4.1 Archaeological Resources	5-12
5.4.2 Architectural Resources	5-16
5.5 Effects Assessment	5-18
5.5.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	5-18
5.5.2 Alternative 2—Preferred Alternative—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)	5-20
5.5.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	5-24
5.5.4 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	5-26
5.5.5 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts	5-28
6: Urban Design and Visual Resources	6-1
6.0 Introduction.....	6-1
6.1 Principal Conclusions	6-1
6.1.1 Breakwaters Project Area.....	6-1
6.1.2 Shoreline Project Area	6-3
6.2 Regulatory Context	6-3
6.2.1 CEQR Technical Manual Guidelines	6-3
6.2.2 NYSDEC Guidelines	6-4
6.3 Methodology	6-9
6.3.1 Viewer Groups	6-10
6.4 Affected Environment/Existing Setting	6-10
6.4.1 Urban Design	6-10
6.4.2 Views, Aesthetic and Visual Resources, and Viewer Groups.....	6-12
6.5 Effects Assessment	6-14

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

6.5.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative..... 6-14

6.5.2 Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)..... 6-15

6.5.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System..... 6-20

6.5.4 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters..... 6-22

6.6 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts..... 6-23

7: Shadows 7-1

7.0 Introduction 7-1

7.0.1 Shadow Assessment Requirement..... 7-1

7.1 Principal Conclusions..... 7-2

7.2 Definitions and Methodology..... 7-3

7.2.1 Definitions 7-3

7.2.2 Methodology..... 7-4

7.3 Preliminary Screening Assessment and Detailed Analysis 7-4

7.3.1 Tier 1 Screening Assessment..... 7-4

7.3.2 Tier 2 Screening Assessment..... 7-5

7.3.3 Tier 3 Screening Assessment..... 7-5

7.3.4 Detailed Analysis..... 7-6

7.4 Effects Assessment..... 7-6

7.4.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative..... 7-6

7.4.2 Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)..... 7-7

7.4.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System..... 7-8

7.4.4 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters..... 7-8

7.5 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts..... 7-8

8: Hazardous Materials 8-1

8.0 Introduction 8-1

8.1 Principal Conclusions..... 8-2

8.2 Methodology 8-2

8.2.1 Study Area..... 8-2

8.3 Existing Conditions 8-2

8.3.1 Database Review 8-3

8.3.2 Historical Sanborn Map and Aerial Photograph Review..... 8-3

8.3.3 Nearby Hazardous Operations..... 8-3

8.3.4 Previous Report Review 8-4

8.4 Effects Assessment..... 8-4

8.4.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative..... 8-4

8.4.2 Alternative 2—Preferred Alternative—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)..... 8-5

8.4.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System..... 8-6

8.4.4 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters..... 8-6

8.5 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts..... 8-6

9: Natural Resources.....	9-1
9.0 Introduction.....	9-1
9.1 Principal Conclusions	9-1
9.2 Regulatory Context	9-6
9.2.1 Federal.....	9-6
9.2.2 State.....	9-8
9.3 Methodology	9-10
9.3.1 Study Area.....	9-10
9.3.2 Existing Conditions.....	9-11
9.3.3 The Future without the Proposed Actions.....	9-13
9.3.4 Effects Assessment.....	9-14
9.4 Existing Conditions.....	9-18
9.4.1 Groundwater.....	9-19
9.4.2 Wetlands.....	9-19
9.4.3 Aquatic Resources.....	9-21
9.4.4 Terrestrial Resources.....	9-52
9.4.5 Terrestrial and Aquatic Threatened, Endangered, and Special Concern Species	9-60
9.5 Effects Assessment	9-66
9.5.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	9-66
9.5.2 Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)	9-69
9.5.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	9-105
9.6 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts	9-109
9.7 Literature Cited	9-111
10: Floodplains and Coastal Erosion Hazard Areas.....	10-1
10.0 Introduction.....	10-1
10.1 Principal Conclusions	10-1
10.1.1 Study Area.....	10-3
10.2 Regulatory Context	10-3
10.2.1 Federal.....	10-3
10.2.2 State.....	10-3
10.3 Existing Conditions.....	10-4
10.3.1 Floodplains.....	10-5
10.3.2 Coastal Erosion Hazard Area (CEHA)	10-5
10.4 Effects Assessment	10-5
10.4.1 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative.....	10-5
10.4.2 Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)	10-6
10.4.3 Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	10-11
10.4.4 Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	10-12
10.5 Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts	10-12
10.6 Literature Cited	10-13
11: Sewer and Water Infrastructure.....	11-1
11.0 Introduction.....	11-1

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

11.1	Principal Conclusions	11-1
11.2	Methodology	11-3
11.2.1	Study Area	11-3
11.2.2	Water Supply	11-4
11.2.3	Wastewater and Stormwater Conveyance and Treatment	11-5
11.3	Existing Conditions	11-5
11.3.1	Wastewater	11-5
11.3.2	Stormwater	11-5
11.4	Effects Assessment.....	11-6
11.4.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	11-6
11.4.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	11-7
11.4.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	11-11
11.4.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	11-11
11.5	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	11-11
12	Transportation	12-1
12.0	Introduction	12-1
12.1	Principal Conclusions.....	12-1
12.2	Preliminary Analysis Methodology.....	12-2
12.2.1	Transportation-Generating Project Elements	12-2
12.3	Effects Assessment.....	12-5
12.3.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	12-5
12.3.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	12-5
12.3.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	12-7
12.3.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	12-7
12.4	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	12-7
13	Air Quality	13-1
13.0	Introduction	13-1
13.1	Principal Conclusions.....	13-2
13.2	Pollutants for Analysis	13-2
13.2.1	Carbon Monoxide.....	13-3
13.2.2	Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone	13-3
13.2.3	Lead.....	13-4
13.2.4	Respirable Particulate Matter—PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	13-4
13.2.5	Sulfur Dioxide	13-4
13.2.6	Noncriteria Pollutants.....	13-5
13.3	Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks	13-5
13.3.1	National and State Air Quality Standards.....	13-5
13.3.2	NAAQS Attainment Status and State Implementation Plans	13-7
13.3.3	General Conformity.....	13-8
13.3.4	Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts.....	13-8
13.4	Methodology	13-10
13.4.1	Stationary Sources	13-10

13.4.2	Parking Facilities.....	13-13
13.4.3	General Conformity	13-14
13.5	Effects Assessment	13-15
13.5.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	13-15
13.5.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)	13-15
13.5.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	13-17
13.5.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	13-17
13.6	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts	13-17
14: Greenhouse Gas Emissions		14-1
14.0	Introduction.....	14-1
14.1	Principal Conclusions	14-1
14.2	Pollutants of Concern.....	14-2
14.3	Policy, Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks for Reducing GHG Emissions..	14-3
14.4	Methodology	14-6
14.4.1	Construction Mobile Source Emissions	14-7
14.4.2	Construction On-Site and Embedded Emissions	14-7
14.4.3	Tree Removal.....	14-8
14.5	Effects Assessment	14-9
14.6	Consistency with Emissions Reduction Policies	14-9
14.6.1	Build Efficient Buildings	14-10
14.6.2	Use Clean Power.....	14-10
14.6.3	Transit-Oriented Development and Sustainable Transportation.....	14-10
14.6.4	Reduce Construction Operation Emissions.....	14-10
14.6.5	Use Building Materials with Low Carbon Intensity	14-11
15: Climate Change Adaption and Resilience		15-1
15.0	Introduction.....	15-1
15.1	Principal Conclusions	15-1
15.2	Development of Policy to Improve Climate Change Resilience	15-1
15.3	Effects Assessment	15-4
15.3.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative.....	15-4
15.3.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy)	15-5
15.3.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	15-7
15.3.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	15-8
15.4	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts	15-9
16: Noise.....		16-1
16.0	Introduction.....	16-1
16.1	Principal Conclusions	16-2
16.2	Acoustical Fundamentals.....	16-2
16.2.1	“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBA).....	16-2
16.2.2	Sound Level Descriptors	16-3
16.3	Regulatory Context.....	16-4

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

16.3.1	New York Ceqr Noise Criteria	16-4
16.3.2	Federal Development Guidelines	16-5
16.4	Existing Noise Levels.....	16-6
16.4.1	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring.....	16-6
16.4.2	Measured Existing Noise Levels	16-6
16.5	Noise Attenuation Measures	16-7
16.6	Mechanical System.....	16-8
16.7	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	16-8
17	Construction	17-1
17.0	Introduction	17-1
17.1	Principal Conclusions.....	17-1
17.1.1	Land Use, Neighborhood Character, Socioeconomic Conditions, and Open Space	17-1
17.1.2	Historic and Cultural Resources	17-2
17.1.3	Visual Resources	17-3
17.1.4	Hazardous Materials.....	17-4
17.1.5	Natural Resources.....	17-4
17.1.6	Transportation, Air Quality, Noise, and Vibration	17-6
17.2	Governmental Coordination and Oversight.....	17-6
17.2.1	Federal	17-7
17.2.2	State	17-7
17.3	Construction Description.....	17-8
17.3.1	Construction Phasing and Schedule	17-8
17.3.2	General Construction Practices	17-10
17.3.3	General Construction Tasks	17-11
17.4	Effects Assessment.....	17-16
17.4.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	17-17
17.4.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	17-17
17.4.3	Alternative 3 – Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System.....	17-40
17.4.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters.....	17-55
17.5	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	17-65
18	Public Health	18-1
19	Neighborhood Character.....	19-1
19.0	Introduction	19-1
19.1	Principal Conclusions.....	19-1
19.2	Methodology	19-2
19.3	Preliminary Assessment	19-3
19.3.1	Defining Features of the Neighborhood	19-3
19.3.2	Potential to Affect Defining Features of the Neighborhood.....	19-5
19.4	Minimization and Mitigation of Impacts.....	19-8
20	Mitigation and Minimization of Impacts	20-1
20.0	Introduction	20-1

20.1	Measures to Minimize or Avoid Impacts.....	20-1
20.1.1	Historic and Cultural Resources.....	20-1
20.1.2	Hazardous Materials	20-2
20.1.3	Natural Resources	20-3
20.1.4	Sewer and Water Infrastructure	20-5
21: Indirect and Cumulative Effects		21-1
21.0	Introduction.....	21-1
21.1	Indirect Effects.....	21-1
21.2	Cumulative Effects.....	21-6
21.2.1	Actions Considered in the Cumulative Analysis.....	21-7
21.2.2	Potential Cumulative Impacts	21-9
22: Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....		22-1
22.0	Introduction.....	22-1
22.1	Archaeological Resources.....	22-1
23: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources		23-1
23.0	Introduction.....	23-1
23.1	Alternative 1—No Action Alternative	23-1
23.2	Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative)—the Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy: Living Breakwaters and Tottenville Shoreline Protection Project (Layered Strategy).....	23-1
23.3	Alternative 3—Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System	23-2
23.4	Alternative 4—Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters	23-3
24: Responses to Comments On the DEIS.....		24-1
A.	Introduction.....	24-1
B.	List of Organizations and Individuals who Commented on the Draft Scope of Work	24-1
	Agencies	24-1
	Organizations and Businesses	24-2
	General Public	24-2
	Petitions.....	24-4
C.	Comments and Responses.....	24-4
	Public Participation	24-4
	Purpose and Need and Alternatives.....	24-4
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	24-55
	Environmental Justice	24-58
	Historic and Cultural Resources.....	24-58
	Urban Design and Visual Resources	24-59
	Hazardous Materials.....	24-60
	Natural Resources	24-61
	Floodplains and Coastal Erosion Hazard Areas (CEHA).....	24-80
	Sewer and Water Infrastructure.....	24-80
	Transportation	24-81
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	24-81
	Construction	24-82
	Neighborhood Character	24-84

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

Mitigation and Minimization of Impacts24-84
Indirect and Cumulative Effects24-85
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....24-87
Appendix B—Coastal Management Program Assessment.....24-87
General Support24-89
Miscellaneous24-90

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Maps for Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Appendix B: Coastal Management Program Assessment

Appendix C: Smart Growth

Appendix D: Breakwaters Project Benefit Cost Analysis

Appendix E: Natural Resources

Appendix F: Historic and Cultural Resources

Appendix G: Hazardous Materials

Appendix H: Floodplain Management and Wetland Protection Plan

Appendix I: Construction

Appendix J: Distribution List

Appendix K: Responses to Agency Comments on the pFEIS

Appendix L: Comments Received on the DEIS

List of Tables

3-1	Residential Population: 2000 and 2011–2015 ACS	3-8
3-2	Household Characteristics: 2000 and 2011–2015 ACS	3-9
3-3	Household Income Characteristics: 2000 and 2011–2015 ACS	3-10
3-4	Distribution of Household Income in the Past 12 Months for Renters	3-13
3-5	Current Rental Rates	3-13
3-6	Current Sales Prices	3-14
3-7	Estimated Businesses 2016	3-15
3-8	Estimated Employees 2016	3-16
3-9	Construction Costs by IMPLAN Sector Layered Tottenville Shoreline Resiliency Strategy—Alternative 2.....	3-22
3-10	Economic Benefits from Construction—Alternative 2	3-22
3-11	Construction Costs by IMPLAN Sector Breakwaters without Shoreline Protection System—Alternative 3	3-24
3-12	Economic Benefits from Construction—Alternative 3	3-24
3-13	Construction Costs by IMPLAN Sector Shoreline Protection System without Breakwaters—Alternative 4.....	3-25
3-14	Economic Benefits from Construction—Alternative 4	3-26
4-1	Study Area Race and Ethnicity and Poverty	4-4
7-1	Incremental Shadow Durations	7-7
9-1	Sampling Programs and Associated Parameters	9-12
9-2	Target Species Group Descriptions.....	9-17
9-3	NYSDEC Surface Water Quality Standards	9-24
9-4	NYCDEP Water Quality Data for Raritan Bay Sampling Stations K5, K5a, and K6 (1999–2014; All Months).....	9-25
9-5	Site Specific Water Quality Data (June and September 2015).....	9-26
9-6	NYCDEP Water Quality Data for Raritan Bay Sampling Stations K5, K5a, and K6 (1999–2014; June Only).....	9-27
9-7	NYCDEP Water Quality Data for Raritan Bay Sampling Stations K5, K5a, and K6 (1999–2014; September Only).....	9-28
9-8	TOGS 5.1.9 Sediment Quality Thresholds.....	9-35

9-9	Average Contaminant Concentration in Clam Tissue Samples	9-45
9-10	Finfish Species with the Potential to Occur within the Study Area.....	9-48
9-11	Essential Fish Habitat Designations – Raritan Bay	9-53
9-12	Vegetation Identified within the Project Site and Study Area	9-55
9-13	Birds Documented During the 2000–2005 Breeding Bird Atlas in Block 5548d.....	9-58
9-14	Reptiles and Amphibians Documented by the NYSDEC HERP Atlas Project in the Arthur Kill Census Quadrant	9-60
9-15	Breakwater Type Areas and Volumes	9-71
9-16	Shoreline Restoration Area and Volume of Sand Placed	9-72
9-17	Planting Palette for the Planting Plan	9-96
12-1	Water Hub RWCDS Development Program—Potential Location 1	12-3
12-2	Projected Water Hub Activities—Potential Location 1	12-4
13-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)	13-6
13-2	Exhaust Stack Parameters and Emission Rates.....	13-12
13-3	Maximum Background Pollutant Concentrations.....	13-13
13-4	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data	13-15
13-5	Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	13-16
13-6	Future Maximum Predicted Concentrations	13-17
14-1	Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major GHGs	14-3
14-2	GHG Emissions Associated with Construction	14-9
16-1	Common Noise Levels.....	16-3
16-2	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	16-4
16-3	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels.....	16-5
16-4	HUD Exterior Noise Standards.....	16-5
16-5	Measured Noise Levels (in dBA) at Project Site (in dBA).....	16-7
17-1	Conceptual Construction Schedule – Breakwaters Project.....	17-9
17-2	Conceptual Construction Schedule – Shoreline Project	17-10
17-3	Alternative 2 – Average Number of Daily Workers and Trucks by Year and Quarter.....	17-25
17-4	Alternative 2 – Peak Construction Vehicle Trip Projections (3rd Quarter of 2020) .	17-26
17-5	Alternative 2 – Emissions from Construction Activities (ton/yr)	17-32
17-6	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels (dBA)	17-34
17-7	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	17-39
17-8	Alternative 3 – Average Number of Daily Workers and Trucks by Year and Quarter ...	17-45

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

17-9 Alternative 3 – Peak Construction Vehicle Trip Projections (4th Quarter of 2019) ..17-46

17-10 Alternative 3 – Emissions from Construction Activities (ton/yr)17-50

17-11 Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment17-54

17-12 Alternative 4 – Average Number of Daily Workers and Trucks by Year and Quarter....17-59

17-13 Alternative 4 – Peak Construction Vehicle Trip Projections (1st and 2nd Quarter of 2019) 17-60

17-14 Alternative 4 – Emissions from Construction Activities (ton/yr)17-63

List of Figures

Following page:

S-1	Project Area	S-2
S-2	Shoreline Change 1978–2012.....	S-10
S-3	Shoreline Change under No Action Alternative	S-12
S-4	Project Location.....	S-14
S-5	Proposed Breakwaters Project	S-14
S-6	View of Proposed Breakwaters Structures	S-14
S-7	Proposed Breakwater Types	S-14
S-8	Shoreline Change with and without Proposed Actions	S-16
S-9	Proposed Breakwater Materials.....	S-16
S-10	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page West Option.....	S-16
S-11	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page East Option.....	S-16
S-12	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page West Option Sections	S-18
S-13	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page East Option Sections	S-18
S-14	Proposed Water Hub Location 2—Biddle House Option.....	S-18
S-15	Proposed Water Hub Location 2—Rutan-Beckett Option	S-18
S-16	Proposed Shoreline Project.....	S-18
S-17	Proposed Earthen Berm	S-20
S-18	Proposed Hybrid Dune Revetment	S-20
S-19	Proposed Eco-Revetment (between Loretto Street and Sprague Avenue)	S-20
S-20	Proposed Raised Edge	S-20
1-1	Project Area	1-2
1-2	Shoreline Change 1978–2012.....	1-10
1-3	Shoreline Change under No Action Alternative	1-14
1-4	Project Location.....	1-14
1-5	Proposed Breakwaters Project	1-14
1-6	View of Proposed Breakwaters Structures	1-14
1-7	Proposed Breakwater Types	1-14
1-8	Shoreline Change with and without Proposed Actions	1-16
1-9	Proposed Breakwater Materials.....	1-16
1-10	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page West Option.....	1-18
1-11	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page East Option.....	1-18
1-12	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page West Option Sections	1-18
1-13	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page East Option Sections	1-18
1-14	Proposed Water Hub Location 2—Biddle House Option.....	1-18

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

1-15	Proposed Water Hub Location 2—Rutan-Beckett Option	1-18
1-16	Proposed Water Hub Location 2—Water Access at Existing Pavilion	1-20
1-17	Proposed Earthen Berm	1-20
1-18	Proposed Hybrid Dune.....	1-20
1-19	Proposed Eco-Revetment.....	1-20
1-20	Proposed Raised Edge.....	1-20
1-21	Coastal Strategy Alternative: Levee.....	1-26
1-22	Breakwaters Design Features	1-26
1-23	Design Scenarios: 1 through 3	1-28
1-24	Design Scenarios: 4 through 7	1-28
1-25	Design Scenarios: 8 through 11	1-28
1-26	Design Scenarios: 12 through 15	1-28
1-27	Design Scenarios: 16 through 17	1-28
1-28	Design Alternative: Dune without Stone Core.....	1-30
1-29	Design Alternative: Dune with Stone Core.....	1-30
2-1	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy Study Area	2-2
2-2	Existing Land Use.....	2-4
2-3	Zoning.....	2-4
2-4	NYC Coastal Zone Management Area	2-14
3-1	Socioeconomic Study Area.....	3-6
3-2	Age Distribution: 2011–2015 ACS.....	<i>on</i> 3-9
3-3	Household Income Distribution: 2000 and 2011–2015 ACS.....	<i>on</i> 3-11
3-4	Housing Tenure: 2000 and 2011–2015 ACS	<i>on</i> 3-12
4-1	Environmental Justice Study Area.....	4-2
5-1	Historic and Cultural Resources—Project Location	5-2
5-2	Areas of Archaeological Sensitivity Identified in the Phase 1A Study: Shoreline APE (Including Water Hub Potential Location 1).....	5-2
5-3	Areas of Archaeological Sensitivity Identified in the Phase 1A Study: Water Hub Potential Location 2 APE.....	5-2
5-4	Historic and Cultural Resources Photographs.....	5-18
5-5	Historic and Cultural Resources Photographs.....	5-18
5-6	Historic and Cultural Resources Photographs.....	5-18
5-7	Historic and Cultural Resources Photographs.....	5-18
6-1	Urban Design and Visual Resources: Project Location and Study Area	6-2
6-2	Existing Views 1 and 2	6-10
6-3	Existing View 3.....	6-12
6-4	Existing Views 4 and 5	6-12
6-5	Existing View 6.....	6-12
6-6	Existing Views 7 and 8	6-12
6-7	Existing View 9.....	6-14
6-8	Urban Design and Visual Resources: Aerial Reference Map	6-14

6-9	View Southeast from Shoreline Project Area towards Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay (at approximately Finlay Street).....	6-14
6-10	View South from Manhattan Street toward Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay .	6-14
6-11	View West from Manhattan Street along Shoreline toward Hybrid Dune/Revetment System Location	6-14
6-12	View Southeast from Yetman Avenue toward Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay.....	6-14
6-13	View toward Eco-Revetment Location West from Sprague Avenue	6-14
6-14	View South from end of Sprague Avenue Lookout Point toward Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay	6-14
6-15	Sprague Avenue Lookout View East toward Raised Edge Location	6-14
6-16	View Southwest from Approximately Joline Avenue toward Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay	6-14
6-17	View Southwest from Water hub Potential Location 1, Page West Option	6-14
6-18	Page Avenue View Southeast toward Potential Location 1, Page East Option.....	6-14
6-19	Ottavio Promenade View West from Page Avenue toward Potential Location 1, Page West Option.....	6-14
6-20	View South on Brighton Street from Billop Avenue; Conference House Park to the Right	6-14
6-21	View East from Yetman Avenue along Shoreline Hybrid Dune/Revetment System Location.....	6-14
6-22	View West from Surf Avenue toward the Eco-Revetment Location	6-14
6-23	Shoreline at Page Avenue View West toward Raised Edge Location.....	6-14
6-24	Brighton Avenue View South toward the Waterfront	6-14
6-25	Loretto Street View South toward the Waterfront.....	6-14
6-26	Sprague Avenue View South toward the Breakwaters Project Area and Raritan Bay	6-14
7-1	Water Hub Potential Location 1—Tier 1 Assessment.....	7-4
7-2	Water Hub Potential Location 1—Tier 2 Assessment.....	7-6
7-3	Water Hub Potential Location 1—Tier 3 Assessment.....	7-6
7-4	Water Hub Potential Location 1—Three-Dimensional Model.....	7-6
7-5	Detailed Analysis: Water Hub Potential Location 1—Page West Option.....	7-8
7-6	Detailed Analysis: Water Hub Potential Location 1—Page West Option.....	7-8
7-7	Detailed Analysis: Water Hub Potential Location 2—Page East Option.....	7-8
7-8	Detailed Analysis: Water Hub Potential Location 2—Page East Option	7-8
9-1	Project Location.....	9-10
9-2a	Hard Bottom Sampling Locations July 2015.....	9-12
9-2b	Hard Bottom Sampling Locations September 2015	9-12
9-3a	Location of Benthic and Water Quality Sampling Stations June 2015	9-12
9-3b	Location of Benthic and Water Quality Sampling Stations September 2015.....	9-12
9-3c	Location of Benthic and Water Quality Sampling Stations June 2017	9-12
9-3d	Location of Benthic and Water Quality Sampling Stations September 2017.....	9-12
9-4	Location of Hard Clam and Sediment Sampling Stations September 2015	9-12
9-5	Horseshoe Crab Survey June 2015.....	9-12

Coastal and Social Resiliency Initiatives for Tottenville Shoreline FEIS

9-6a	Location of Fish Sampling Stations June 2015	9-12
9-6b	Location of Fish Sampling Stations July 2015	9-12
9-6c	Location of Fish Sampling Stations September 2015	9-12
9-6d	Location of Fish Sampling Stations June 2017	9-12
9-6e	Location of Fish Sampling Stations July 2017	9-12
9-6f	Location of Fish Sampling Stations September 2017	9-12
9-7	NYS Significant Habitats and Natural Communities.....	9-18
9-8	USFWS National Wetlands Inventory	9-20
9-9	NYSDEC Freshwater and Tidal Wetlands.....	9-20
9-10	Delineated Tidal Wetland	9-20
9-11	NOAA Nautical Chart 12331.....	9-22
9-12	Bathymetry.....	9-22
9-13	New York City Harbor Survey Monitoring Locations and NYSDEC Surface Water Classifications in Raritan Bay	9-22
9-14	Sediment Characteristics: Side-Scan Sonar and Benthic Grabs.....	9-34
9-15	Hard Clam Abundance per Sample in Macroinvertebrate Grab Samples September 2015	9-44
9-16	Components of the Proposed Breakwaters Project	9-70
9-17	Proposed Breakwater Reef Streets and Ridges	9-70
9-18	Proposed Breakwater Types.....	9-70
9-19	Proposed Breakwater Stone Configuration.....	9-72
9-20	Proposed Shoreline Project	9-74
9-21	Proposed Earthen Berm	9-74
9-22	Proposed Hybrid Dune.....	9-76
9-23	Proposed Eco-Revetment.....	9-76
9-24	Proposed Raised Edge.....	9-76
10-1	Project Location	10-4
10-2	Shoreline Change 1978–2012	10-4
10-3	FEMA Flood Hazard Preliminary.....	10-6
10-4a	Coastal Erosion Hazard Area Map.....	10-6
10-4b	Coastal Erosion Hazard Area Map.....	10-6
10-5	Proposed Shoreline Project and CEHA.....	10-8
10-6a	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page West Option	10-8
10-6b	Proposed Water Hub Location 1—Page East Option	10-8
11-1	Project Location	11-4
15-1	Projected Potential Future Flood Zones.....	15-4
16-1	Noise Survey Location.....	16-6
21-1	Other Future Discrete Actions in Proximity to the Study Area	21-8

*