Agenda for Tonight

Meeting Objective: Review Projects and Begin Prioritization

1. What we have done since last meeting
   I. Brief recap of Public Meeting # 2
   II. Brief recap of Meeting with EDC

2. Process Update

3. Evaluation Process for Project Prioritization
   I. Review: What Goes into NYRCR Projects
   II. Six Criteria for Project Prioritization

4. Discussion of Projects

5. Next Steps
Tonight’s Objective

Identify Projects
- Project Type (Study, Implementation, Advocacy)
- Project Details

Review Projects
- Begin Project Prioritization
- CDBG-DR Eligibility
- Cost-Benefit Criteria

Refine Projects
- Funding Sources
- Cost Benefit Analysis

TODAY
Summary of Meeting with EDC

Discussed current and planned projects:

• Springfield Gardens Infrastructure improvements
• Springfield Lake and Blvd. Improvements
• Proposed Greater JFK IBID
• JFK Development Site

Identified key issues and priorities:

• Thurston Basin Park—Mitigation for bus depot on Rockaway Blvd.
• Houses still on septic that need to connect to newly constructed sewers.
• East-west traffic flow.
• Development on Rockaway Blvd exacerbates traffic congestion and conflicts with environmental preservation goals.
• Establishment of the JFK IBID could create the potential to establish a reciprocal relationship between industrial businesses and the EQA.
Summary of Meeting with EDC

Next Steps:

• Meet with SBS to discuss funding opportunities for local businesses
• EDC to follow-up with Parks on the status of the Thurston Basin Parks project and provide information to the NY Rising Team.
• EDC to provide map of the homes not currently connected to the available sewer and provide estimate of cost per home to connect.
• Contact NYS DOT regarding light synchronization between Queens and Nassau County on Rockaway Blvd.
## Where we are in the process

### Community Vision Understanding Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverables</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Assess Risk Identify Strategies and Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 1: Scope, Critical Issues, Vision, Regional Plans</td>
<td>0 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2: Risk Assessment, Needs and Opportunities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3: Reconstruction Strategies and Projects</td>
<td>3 2 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4: Project Profiles and Cost-Benefit Analysis</td>
<td>5 3 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Profiles Project Prioritization Final Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TODAY**

**Planning Committee Meeting**

**Public Meeting**

Idlewild Watershed Communities
Two-Month Look Ahead

TODAY

Planning Committee Meeting 4
Develop the Committee’s list of Prioritized Projects

Late Oct. (10/29)
Planning Committee Meeting 5
Review Project Profiles
Review Committee Prioritization

Early Nov. (11/13)
Public Engagement Event 3
Public Input on Committee’s List of Prioritized Projects

Early Dec. (12/3)
Planning Committee Meeting 6
Review Draft Plan
Project Voting
Rising to the Top Competitive Funds

Regional Approach ($1.5 million):
- Address trans-boundary regional issues
- Promote the development of projects that are beneficial to the region

Inclusion of Vulnerable Populations ($1 million):
- Demonstrate effective outreach to vulnerable populations
- Promote retrofitting existing buildings such as low to moderate income housing, nursing homes, hospitals, and adult care facilities to make them more resilient to flood damage
- Promote relocation of vulnerable populations out of harm’s way when appropriate to avoid storm-related risks

Use of Green Infrastructure ($1 million):
- Incorporate redevelopment of hardened structures using soft engineering
- Demonstrate a reduction in the amount of impervious surface
Project Prioritization
Adding it all up: the CDBG - DR Allocation

STRATEGY 1: Alleviate Localized Flooding Conditions

STRATEGY 2: Strengthen the Emergency Response Capacity of the Community

$6 Million

STRATEGY 3: Support Environmental Stewardship

STRATEGY 4: Provide Safe and Reliable Access to Critical Goods and Services
What Goes into a Project?

Short Term (2 – 5 years)

Medium – Long Term

Long Term
What Goes into a Project?

Project Type

Implementation:
‘Shovel ready’ projects that could implement in the Short Term.

Feasibility Study or Action Plan:
Make plans for these projects NOW so that they can get money to build it in Medium-Long Term.

Advocacy:
Lay the ground work for NOW so that others will implement it Medium - Long-Term.
The Projects: *What’s eligible for CDBG-DR Funding*

**Eligible**
- Infrastructure
- Government buildings
- Community facilities
- Facilities for socially vulnerable populations
- Economic development activities
- Public service activities
- Program administration
- Home rehabilitation assistance or construction
- Maintenance and repair of public facilities

**Not Eligible**
- General government expenses
- Operating costs
- Political activities
- Utility or Mortgage Payments
- Purchase of mobile equipment
- Assistance to a private utility
- Rehabilitation assistance or construction for second homes
Screening the Projects: The Six Criteria

**Risk Reduction**
- Number and value of extreme and high risk assets, critical infrastructure secured
- Demographics of lives secured

**Co-Benefits**
- Sustainability
- Economic Impacts
- Environmental Benefits
- Health and Social Benefits
- Essential Services during events

**Cost**
- Capital Cost
- Life-Cycle Cost
- Useful Life
- Cost-Risk Reduction Ratio

**Feasibility**
- Technically Feasible
- Can it be permitted and approved?
- Are there real property constraints?

**Funding Availability**
- FEMA
- HUD CDBG-DR
- Other funding
- Ability to Generate Incremental Revenue

**Public Support**
- Public Engagement
- Regional Planning Efforts
- Regional Support
- Agency/Government Support
Sample Project Screening

Project Example: D2: Elevate 147th Avenue Bridge at Brookville Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Reduction</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Co-Benefits</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
<th>Funding Availability</th>
<th>Medium screening score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protects some lives and assets</td>
<td>High cost</td>
<td>Many co-benefits</td>
<td>Highly Feasible</td>
<td>Multiple Funding Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Screening the Projects:

**Strategy 4: Provide Safe and Reliable Access to Critical Goods and Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Risk Reduction</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Co-Benefits</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
<th>Funding Availability</th>
<th>Public Support</th>
<th>Project Screening Score</th>
<th>Committee Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Support for Existing or New Retail/Commercial</td>
<td>Implementation Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Elevate 147th Avenue Bridge at Brookville Park</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>Study to Elevate Brookville Boulevard (Snake Road)</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>Improve Signage to Evacuation Centers</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>“Go to High Ground” Pilot Study</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>Install Generators at Critical Facilities</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>Support Rockaway Turnpike/Nassau Expressway Resilient Corridor Study (NYCRIP Plan for the Five Towns)</td>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>Local Retail Green Streets Program</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please rank each project in the grey cells above, numbering 1 as the highest priority.

**Legend**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Cost-Benefit Criteria</th>
<th>Overall Project Screening Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protects many lives and assets</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protects some lives and assets</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protects few lives and assets</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many co-benefits</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some co-benefits</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few co-benefits</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Cost</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Cost</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Cost</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Difficult</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Availability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple funding sources</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential funding sources</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No available funding sources</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Idlewild Watershed Communities
Next Steps

1. Project Prioritization
   Committee to return prioritization forms by mid-October

2. Planning Committee Meeting on October 29
   Review Project Profiles
   Discuss Project Menu and Select List of Projects

3. Public Engagement Event on November 13
   Public Input on the Committee’s Project List
   Format?