



## Idlewild Watershed Communities

Springfield Gardens, Brookville, Rosedale

October 1, 2014

### Planning Committee Meeting #4

#### Attendance:

#### **Planning Committee Members:**

John Besant	Springfield/Rosedale Community Action Association
Barbara Brown	Springfield/Rosedale Community Action Association
	Eastern Queens Alliance
Jackie Campbell	147 <sup>th</sup> Road Block & Community Association
Patrick Evans	Springfield Taxpayers Civic Association
Lonnie Glover	Springfield Gardens Community Civic Association
Marcia O'Brien	148 <sup>th</sup> Drive & Community Block Association
Bill Perkins	Rosedale Civic Association
Irnel Steven	Rosedale Civic Association

#### **GOSR Representatives:**

Claudia Filomena	Governor's Office of Storm Recovery
Ron Rizzotti	Governor's Office of Storm Recovery

#### **Consultant Team:**

Bethany Bearmore	Louis Berger Group
Ari Daman	Perkins Eastman
Eric Fang	Perkins Eastman
Jennifer Gonzalez	Louis Berger Group
Simon Kates	BFJ Planning
Sarah Yackel	BFJ Planning

#### **Community Members:**

Reverend Ken Richardson	Greater Allen AME Cathedral of NY
Michael Bronspigel	

*The purpose of the fourth NYRCR Planning Committee Meeting was to review project ideas that have been identified through the NYRCR process to date and to begin the process of prioritizing projects. The meeting began at approximately 7:30 p.m. The meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m. The following was noted:*



## Idlewild Watershed Communities

Springfield Gardens, Brookville, Rosedale

October 1, 2014

### **Agenda Item:** Process Update

**Presenter:** Eric Fang

#### *Summary of Discussion:*

- Brief summary of the meeting with NYC EDC and update where the Committee is in the NYRCR Process.
- Update on schedule and discussion about potential upcoming meeting dates.
- Dates identified for future meetings are as follows:
  - Conference Call with Full Committee to discuss Project Rankings: October 15
  - Planning Committee Meeting 5: October 29
  - Public Engagement Event 3: November 13
  - Planning Committee Meeting 6: December 3

### **Agenda Item:** Project Prioritization Overview

**Presenter:** Eric Fang and Jennifer Gonzalez

#### *Summary of Discussion:*

- Overview of the criteria for project prioritization.

### **Agenda Item:** Review of Project Ideas

**Presenter:** Barbara Brown

#### *Summary of Discussion:*

- Planning Committee provided an update on projects that they have chosen to remove or revise.
- Discussion of details of specific projects to assist the Consultant Team in drafting more detailed project profiles.



The Idlewild Communities  
Projects Screening Results

Strategy A: Alleviate Localized Flooding Conditions

Project No.	Project Name	Project Type	Risk Reduction	Cost	Co-Benefits	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support	Project Screening Score	Committee Ranking
A1	<b>Green Infrastructure Community Master Plan (with pilot projects)</b>	Study						TBD	●	
A1a	Brookville Park Pond Restoration	Implementation (Pilot Project for Study)						TBD	●	
A1c	Twin Pond Park Bluebelt Restoration	Implementation (Pilot Project for Study)						TBD	●	
A1e	Springfield Park Bluebelt Enhancements	Implementation						TBD	●	
A1b	Expand Green Streets Program to Alleviate Local Street Flooding	Implementation (Pilot Project for Study)						TBD	◐	
A1g	Green Roof/Raingarden Construction at School (specific school TBD)	Implementation (Pilot Project for Study)						TBD	○	
A5	<b>Coastal Management Plan</b>	Study						TBD	●	
A2	<b>DEP Oyster Restoration in Thurston Basin</b>	Implementation						TBD	◐	
A3	<b>Elevate Frequently Flooded Local Streets</b>	Study						TBD	○	
A1d	Restore Wetlands on City-Owned Land along Thurston Basin and Idlewild Park Preserve	Advocacy						TBD	◐	
A1f	City Purchase of Privately-Owned Parcels on Edges of Idlewild Park Preserve for Restoration/Preservation as Wetlands	Advocacy						TBD	○	
A4	<b>Idlewild Park Preserve Culvert Expansion</b>	Advocacy						TBD	●	

Please rank each project in the grey cells above, numbering 1 as the highest priority

Legend

Individual Cost-Benefit Criteria						Project Screening Score	
Risk Reduction	Co-Benefits	Cost	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support		
Protects many lives and assets	Many co-benefits	Low Cost	Easy	Multiple funding sources	High	●	High
Protects some lives and assets	Some co-benefits	Medium Cost	Moderately Difficult	Potential funding sources	Medium	◐	Medium
Protects few lives and assets	Few co-benefits	High Cost	Difficult	No available funding sources	Low	○	Low



**Strategy B: Strengthen the Emergency Response Capacity of the Community**

Project No.	Project Name	Project Type	Risk Reduction	Cost	Co-Benefits	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support	Project Screening Score	Committee Ranking
B1 Phase I	Southeast Queens Disaster Preparedness and Recovery Plan	Study						TBD	●	
B1 Phase II	Provide Resources to implement selected Recommendations of the Disaster Response and Preparedness Plan	Implementation						TBD	●	

Please rank each project in the grey cells above, numbering 1 as the highest priority

**Legend**

Individual Cost-Benefit Criteria						Overall Project Screening Score	
Risk Reduction	Co-Benefits	Cost	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support		
Protects many lives and assets	Many co-benefits	Low Cost	Easy	Multiple funding sources	High	●	High
Protects some lives and assets	Some co-benefits	Medium Cost	Moderately Difficult	Potential funding sources	Medium	◐	Medium
Protects few lives and assets	Few co-benefits	High Cost	Difficult	No available funding sources	Low	○	Low



The Idlewild Communities  
Projects Screening Results

Strategy C: Support Environmental Stewardship of the Community's Natural and Manmade Resources

Project No.	Project Name	Project Type	Risk Reduction	Cost	Co-Benefits	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support	Project Screening Score	Committee Ranking
C1	Homeowner Education on Stewardship and Flood Mitigation	Implementation						TBD	●	
C2	Idlewild Watershed Communities Open Space Restoration Fund and Preservation Committee	Implementation						TBD	○	
C3	Idlewild Park Preserve Trail Network and Overlook Restoration	Advocacy						TBD	○	
C4	Advocate for Municipal Agency Coordination to Prioritize Resilience	Advocacy						TBD	●	
C6	Support for Creation of Greater JFK IBID	Advocacy						TBD	○	

Please rank each project in the grey cells above, numbering 1 as the highest priority

Legend

Individual Cost-Benefit Criteria						Overall Project Screening Score
Risk Reduction	Co-Benefits	Cost	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support	
Protects many lives and assets	Many co-benefits	Low Cost	Easy	Multiple funding sources	High	● High
Protects some lives and assets	Some co-benefits	Medium Cost	Moderately Difficult	Potential funding sources	Medium	● Medium
Protects few lives and assets	Few co-benefits	High Cost	Difficult	No available funding sources	Low	○ Low



The Idlewild Communities  
Projects Screening Results

Strategy D: Provide Safe and Reliable Access to Critical Goods and Services

Project No.	Project Name	Project Type	Risk Reduction	Cost	Co-Benefits	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support	Project Screening Score	Committee Ranking
D3	Study to Elevate Brookville Boulevard (Snake Road) between 149th Boulevard and Rockaway Boulevard	Study	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	TBD	●	Grey
D6	Install Backup Power Supply Systems at Critical Facilities	Implementation	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	TBD	●	Grey
D8	Local Retail Green Streets Program	Implementation	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	TBD	●	Grey
D5	“Go to High Ground” Pilot Study	Implementation	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Light Green	Light Green	TBD	○	Grey

D1	Support for Existing or New Retail/Commercial	Advocacy	Light Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	TBD	○	
D2	Elevate 147th Avenue Bridge at Brookville Park	Advocacy	Light Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	TBD	●	
D7	Support Rockaway Turnpike/Nassau Expressway Resilient Corridor Study (NYRCR Plan for the Five Towns)	Advocacy	Dark Green	Dark Green	Light Green	Dark Green	Dark Green	TBD	●	

Please rank each project in the grey cells above, numbering 1 as the highest priority

Legend

Individual Cost-Benefit Criteria						Overall Project Screening Score	
Risk Reduction	Co-Benefits	Cost	Feasibility	Funding Availability	Public Support		
Protects many lives and assets	Many co-benefits	Low Cost	Easy	Multiple funding sources	High	●	High
Protects some lives and assets	Some co-benefits	Medium Cost	Moderately Difficult	Potential funding sources	Medium	●	Medium
Protects few lives and assets	Few co-benefits	High Cost	Difficult	No available funding sources	Low	○	Low