STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT
DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE (NEGATIVE DECLARATION)

TIOGA SALT STORAGE FACILITY AND COVER

DATE: April 22, 2016

NAME OF ACTION: Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover

LOCATION: 8 Dry Brook Road in the Town of Tioga
Tioga County, New York

SEQRA CLASSIFICATION: [ ] Type I; [ X ] Unlisted

REVIEW TYPE: [ ] Coordinated; [ X ] Uncoordinated

DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE: [ X ] Negative Declaration; [ ] Positive Declaration

The Proposed Project:
GOSR is managing the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program pursuant to the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2, approved January 29, 2013). The NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC), which administers the CDBG-DR program funds on behalf of GOSR, intends to approve funding for the construction of the new salt storage facility located at 8 Dry Brook Road, Tioga, NY (Proposed Project) from the CDBG-DR Affordable Housing Fund. The NYS Housing Finance Agency (HFA) will act as an agent for HTFC to administer bond financing. The Proposed Project will operate as the new salt storage site for the town. Total acreage of the Proposed Project site is approximately 1.4 acres and Proposed Project features (construction of the metal framed structure) would occupy a permanent footprint of approximately 1.2 acres.

The proposed new facility includes an approximately 6,000 square foot and approximately 20 foot tall metal framed structure with a cover. The building would be set on a concrete slab floor (either poured in place or prefabricated). The Proposed Project would include grading for installation of the foundation control of direction of sheetflow across the site. The construction would disturb up to 1.2 acres of previously disturbed land. As part of the construction plans, site plans, a storm water management plan would be prepared for the facility including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin would be sized to retain stormwater onsite. There are no storm water sewers. The Proposed Project will involve earthwork/digging at the Proposed Project site.

Purpose and Need:
In June 2013, Governor Andrew Cuomo set out to centralize recovery and rebuilding efforts in storm-impacted areas of New York State. Although Greene County was not affected by Hurricane Sandy, this storm was the catalyst for allocation of disaster relief funds under the Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) award. These funds are being used to assist not only counties that were devastated by Hurricane Sandy, but also counties such as Greene County that were severely damaged by Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee in 2011.
The Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery (GOSR) was established to administer the award funds, address communities’ most urgent needs, and encourage the identification of innovative and enduring solutions to strengthen the state’s infrastructure and critical systems. Operating under the umbrella of New York State Homes and Community Renewal (HCR), GOSR uses approximately $3.8 billion in flexible funding made available by The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) CDBG-DR program to concentrate aid to four main areas: housing recovery, small business, community reconstruction, and infrastructure. Paired with additional federal funding that was awarded to other state agencies, the CDBG-DR program is enabling homeowners, small businesses, and entire communities to build back and better prepare for future extreme weather events.

Hurricane Irene made landfall in New York on August 28, 2011 and produced 6 to 8 inches of rain and heavy winds that knocked down trees and power lines. In some locations, power was out for a week. On September 7, 2011, not long after Hurricane Irene passed through the region, Tropical Storm Lee dropped an additional 11 inches of rain on Tioga County during a 24-hour period. The torrential rains, coupled with saturated grounds and the fact that the Susquehanna River was still swollen from Hurricane Irene, led to record high water levels. Reports indicate that the flood waters in Tioga County associated with Tropical Storm Lee measured 4 feet higher than those reported during the flood of 2006.

The extreme rains associated with these storms caused the Town’s unprotected salt supply to wash away, causing potential environmental contamination to nearby surface water and agricultural lands. The proposed project would protect the Town’s salt supply and prevent the likelihood of a similar event in the future.

A key strategy for the Tioga County NYCRR Plan is to ensure any new development and redevelopment will be resistant to storm damage. The proposed project of a salt storage facility and cover would help to protect this community from experiencing the devastation caused by Tropical Storm Lee and prevent salt contamination to waterways and agricultural lands. The project would mitigate damage caused by heavy rains in future storms. This project is a key component in the overall flood protection plan for the Town of Tioga.

Existing Conditions:
The subject property, 8 Dry Brook Road, currently serves as the Town of Tioga’s current salt storage site, and the area in the general vicinity of the subject property can be described as residential, surrounded largely by open fields and wooded land. Adjoining properties include a residence and agricultural property across Dry Brook to the east and south; residences and agricultural properties across Halsey Valley Road to the southwest; and residences to the west and north. The topography of the site is relatively flat with slight slopes to the southeast.

The Town of Tioga’s highway salt storage and distribution center occupies a 1.4-acre cleared parcel, with two maintenance structures on the north end of the Site used for office space and equipment storage and maintenance, and 1.2 acres of open lot used to store, mix, and load salt for road de-icing. There, salt and sand is mixed by heavy equipment and the resulting salt/sand pile is covered with a secured tarp when not in use. During heavy rains sheetwash flooding erodes the salt/sand pile resulting in loss of salt supply and salt contamination of downstream waterways and agricultural lands. The Town experiences a direct financial loss and potential future expenses related to environmental clean-up or mitigation.

Funding:
The total project cost is estimated at $460,000. GOSR proposes to allocate funding pursuant to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program as authorized by the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2, approved January 29, 2013). The NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC), which administers the CDBG-DR program funds on behalf of GOSR, intends to approve funding for the proposed project as described in this notice.
Environmental Considerations:

**Land Use, Zoning, Public Policy and Urban Design** – The Proposed Project is consistent with existing zoning regulations, land use types, building height and scale. The Proposed Project would maintain current land use and would therefore be compatible with existing land use. The Proposed Project would also conform to the vision of the NY Rising Community Reconstruction Program for Tioga, Tioga County, which is “to protect key areas and facilities in the Tioga community”. The Proposed Project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or an increase in the number of employees and would therefore not have an urbanizing effect.

**Soil Suitability, Slope, Erosion, Drainage, and Storm Water Runoff** – The proposed site has been previously disturbed and developed, surrounded immediately by residential properties; unsuitable soils are not anticipated. According to the United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey, the proposed site is relatively flat with slight slopes to the southeast; the soils at the sight are classified as Chenago gravelly loam fan, 3 to 12% slopes. The proposed activities would not change the slope of the existing site. Because the amount of ground disturbance at the Proposed Project site would be greater than one acre, a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Stormwater Permit is required. As part of the construction plans and site plans, a stormwater management plan will be prepared, including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin would be sized to retain stormwater on-site.

**Hazards and Nuisances, including Site Safety and Noise** – The Proposed Project will not adversely affect air quality. The Proposed Project is not located in a designated non-attainment area for air quality and the proposed activities will not affect transportation patterns or levels of service thereby aiding the preservation of local air quality. Standard BMPs will be implemented during construction to control dust and other emissions. No significant impacts on air quality will result due to the Proposed Project.

No hazardous or solid waste storage is evident on the site, and the Proposed Project would not expose new populations to hazardous or nuisances because no new populations would reside on the Proposed Project site. A search of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Bulk Storage Program Database identified five petroleum bulk storage facilities within 1 mile of the Proposed Project site. A search of the NYSDEC Remedial Site Database that contains records of the sites being addressed under one of DER's remedial programs (State Superfund, Brownfield Cleanup, Environmental Restoration and Voluntary Cleanup, the Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites, and Institutional and Engineering Controls), identified no State Superfund Program hazardous waste sites within 1 mile of the Proposed Project site. EPA’s NEPAssist mapping tool identified one Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) facility within one mile of the Proposed Project site. The Proposed Project site was not identified in any of the databases searched.

Some noise may be generated during construction; however, this will be temporary and will not adversely impact the surrounding areas. The proposed activities will not significantly increase the level of noise or vibration compared to current conditions. In addition, no blasting will be required.

**Energy Consumption** – The Proposed Project will cause a slight increase in the use of energy (power usage) as lights could be required if operational periods were to take place at night; this use would be occasional.

**Socioeconomic Impacts and Community Facilities and Services** – The Proposed Project would create temporary construction jobs. However, these jobs would not significantly increase employment opportunities or impact income patterns. The Proposed Project would not result in the creation of new permanent jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the existing Tioga County highway department storage yard and therefore would not impact employment and income patterns or alter the demographic characteristics of the surrounding community.

In addition, the Proposed Project would not increase the demand for educational, health care or social service facilities, nor would it directly or indirectly displace people, businesses, institutions, or community facilities as it would occur within an existing developed site owned by Tioga County.

The SHPO has determined that the Proposed Project would have no adverse effect on properties in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Letters were sent to the Cayuga Nation of New York and the Onondaga Nation on March 1, 2016, inquiring as to whether the Proposed Project location
encompasses historic properties of religious or cultural significance to the two Nations, and whether they were interested in being consulting parties to the Proposed Project; No replies were received. No additional compliance steps are required.

Construction of the proposed salt storage facility and cover would result in the generation of waste. The amount of solid waste generated from the construction would not significantly increase short-term generation of municipal solid waste as the total acreage would be 1.2 acres. All Proposed Project-generated solid waste materials must be managed and transported in accordance with the state’s solid and hazardous waste rules.

No expansion of the sanitary sewer system would be required. The Proposed Project site/facility currently does not have access to public water and does not have a well. No wastewater or sewage is generated at the facility; the facility serves solely as a salt storage area. Therefore, the new construction of a salt storage facility would not result in an increase in flows; the Proposed Project would not place a demand on a public sewer system.

The Proposed Project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the existing Tioga County highway department storage yard, and therefore would not increase demand for police protection, fire protection, or emergency medical services. The proposed salt storage facility and cover would be constructed in compliance with local building codes. The Proposed Project is expected to help the facility resist storm damage and protect the local community and environment from salt contamination to waterways and agricultural lands resulting from outdoor storage of materials and from flooding of the highway department yard by neighboring Dry Brook.

The Proposed Project of constructing a new salt storage facility with a cover would not impact open space or recreation.

The Proposed Project would not impact transportation. There would be a negligible increase in construction traffic.

Natural Features – The Proposed Project site is not located within a state listed Critical Environmental Area (CEA). Therefore, impacts to the CEA are expected to be non-existent.

The nearest surface water feature is Dry Brook, a class C designated priority waterbody (ID #931-30), located adjacent to the southern boundary of the Proposed Project Site, running southwest towards Pipe Creek (located less than 100 feet south of the Proposed Project site). As part of the construction plans, a storm water management plan would be prepared including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin would be sized to retain stormwater onsite to ensure no discharges into any adjacent waterbodies. No regulated wetlands are located on or adjacent to the Proposed Project site. The nearest mapped wetland is a National Wetland Inventory (NWI) regulated riverine system located approximately 950 feet to the southwest. The Proposed Project site is within an EPA regulated Sole Source Aquifer (SSA), the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) Designated Area. Consultation with the EPA occurred and a response was received in January 2016, indicating that the Proposed Project satisfies the requirements of Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act and would not pose a significant threat to the Clinton Street Ballpark SSA.

The Proposed Project site is not within the 100-year or 500-year floodplain.

The NY Natural Heritage Program (NYNHP) has no records of any rare or state-listed species in the Proposed Project area. The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) online review process, completed in January 2016, indicated the threatened northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis) may occur within the boundary of and/or may be affected by the Proposed Project. No critical habitats were identified in the Proposed Project area and the Proposed Project does not include the removal of any trees. The Proposed Project Site has also been extensively previously disturbed. The USFWS concurred with the data showing there is no potential long-eared bat habitats on-Site.

The Proposed Project will not convert farmland to nonagricultural use; will not require preparation of an Agricultural Impact Statement and Notice of Intent, and is exempt under the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA).
The Proposed Project is classified as an Unlisted action, and GOSR, as the lead agency, prepared a Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) under SEQRA. The Proposed Project is funding the new construction of a salt storage facility with a cover in order to help mitigate damage caused by heavy rains in future storms, as well as to prevent salt contamination to waterways and agricultural lands, and as such is not of sufficient scale to result in adverse effects to existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, or to create erosion or drainage problems.

**Standard Requirements:**

Any change to the Proposed Project as described will require re-evaluation by GOSR’s Certifying Officer for compliance with SEQRA and other law, regulations and policies.

This review does not address all federal, state and local requirements. Acceptance of federal funding requires recipient to comply with all federal, state and local laws. Failure to obtain all appropriate federal, state and local environmental permits and clearances may jeopardize federal funding.

**Additional Mitigation Measures:**

To the extent required and/or practicable, any approval of the proposed project is subject to following mitigation measures being adhered to by the grant recipient to minimize environmental impacts and create a more sustainable project:

- Construction and demolition – to the maximum extent possible, utilize local and recycled materials in construction process and recycle materials generated onsite.
- Clean diesel – implement diesel controls, cleaner fuel, and cleaner construction practices for on-road and off-road equipment used for transportation, soil movement, or other construction activities, including:
  - Strategies and technologies that reduce unnecessary idling, including auxiliary power units, the use of electric equipment, and strict enforcement of idling limits; and
  - Use of clean diesel through add-on control technologies like diesel particulate filters and diesel oxidation catalysts, repowers, or newer, cleaner equipment.
- Stormwater – utilize low impact development (LID) principles such as minimizing effective imperviousness to create site drainage, and the planting of native and non-invasive vegetation on the project site for stormwater management purposes. Other LID practices can include bioretention facilities, rain gardens, vegetated rooftops, rain barrels, and permeable pavements;
- Cost-efficient, environmentally friendly landscaping – EPA’s GreenScapes program provides cost-efficient and environmentally friendly solutions for landscaping;
- Energy efficiency – energy-efficient technologies should be incorporated into the station house when possible; and
- Water conservation and efficiency – promote water conservation and efficiency through use of water efficient products (toilets, faucets, showerheads) and practices. Consider use of products with the WaterSense label where appropriate.

In addition to the factors considered above, the GOSR considered the following guidance from the State Environmental Quality Review Act and its implementing regulations and determined that the Proposed Action would (Please delete any of these criteria discussed in environmental considerations):

(i) Not result in “the creation of a material conflict with a community’s current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;” (§617.7(c)(1)(iv))

(ii) Not result in “the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archaeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;” (§617.7(c)(1)(v))

(iii) Not result in “the creation of a hazard to human health;” (§617.7(c)(1)(vii))
(iv) Not result in “the encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;” (§617.7(c)(1)(ix))

(v) Not result in “the creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences;” (§617.7(c)(1)(x))

(vi) Not result in “changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment; or (§617.7(c)(1)(xi))

Therefore, GOSR, acting as Lead Agency, and having prepared a Short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF), has determined that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the environment and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not need to be prepared.

_________________________
Thomas J. King
Date: April 22, 2016
Assistant General Counsel
Deputy Director – Bureau of Environmental Review and Assessment
Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery
99 Washington Avenue Suite 1224
Albany, New York 12260
Office: (518) 473-0015

Attachments:

Environmental Assessment Form (Parts 1, 2 and 3)
Negative Declaration Distribution List

A copy of this Notice is available at the following web address:
http://www.stormrecovery.ny.gov/environmental-docs
Project Area

Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover
8 Dry Brook Road
Town of Tioga
Tioga County, New York

Legend

[ ] Project Area

Tetra Tech, Inc
**Short Environmental Assessment Form**  
*Part 1 - Project Information*  

**Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information.** The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of Action or Project:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brief Description of Proposed Action:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of Applicant or Sponsor:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E-Mail:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City/PO:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zip Code:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation?  
   - **NO**  
   - **YES**

2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?  
   - **NO**  
   - **YES**

   - HUD CDBG-DR Public Facilities (funding), Town of Tioga Building Permit, NYDEC SPDES permit

3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  
   - **1.4 acres**

3.b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?  
   - **1.2 acres**

3.c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?  
   - **1.4 acres**

4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.  
   - **Urban**  
   - **Rural (non-agriculture)**  
   - **Industrial**  
   - **Commercial**  
   - **Residential (suburban)**  
   - **Forest**  
   - **Agriculture**  
   - **Aquatic**  
   - **Other (specify): Public Works Services**  
   - **Parkland**
5. Is the proposed action,  
   a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?  
      | NO | YES | N/A |
      |    | ✓   |     |
   b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?  
      | NO | YES | N/A |
      |     | ✓   |     |

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   |  | ✓   |     |

7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?  
   If Yes, identify:  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?  
   b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?  
   c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?  
   If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:  
   Not applicable to the Project.  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?  
    If No, describe method for providing potable water:  
    The facility does not have access to public water and does not have a well. The facility serves solely as a salt storage area.  
    | NO | YES | N/A |
    | ✓ |     |     |

11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?  
    If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:  
    No wastewater will be generated at the facility.  
    | NO | YES | N/A |
    | ✓ |     |     |

12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?  
    b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?  
    | NO | YES | N/A |
    | ✓ |     |     |

13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?  
    b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?  
    If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:  
    | NO | YES | N/A |
    | ✓ |     |     |

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:  
   - Shoreline  
   - Forest  
   - Agricultural/grasslands  
   - Urban  
   - Suburban  
   - Early mid-successional  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?  
   | NO | YES | N/A |
   | ✓ |     |     |

17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  
    If Yes,  
    a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?  
       | NO | YES | N/A |
       | ✓ |     |     |
    b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?  
       If Yes, briefly describe:  
       | NO | YES | N/A |
       | ✓ |     |     |
       A storm water management plan will be prepared for the facility including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin will be sized to retain storm water onsite. There are no storm water sewers.
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?
If Yes, explain purpose and size:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?
If Yes, describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?
If Yes, describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Applicant/sponsor name: Lewis Zorn, Supervisor
Signature: [Signature]
Date: 1/26/2016
Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area] No
Part 1 / Question 12a [National Register of Historic Places] No
Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites] Yes
Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies] Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal] No
Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain] No
Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site] No

Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.
**Short Environmental Assessment Form**

**Part 2 - Impact Assessment**

**Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.**

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept “Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Will the proposed action impact existing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. public / private water supplies?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Short Environmental Assessment Form

Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered “moderate to large impact may occur”, or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

10. The proposed actions will not create additional stormwater runoff that would adversely affect the existing of drainage systems. A stormwater management plan will be prepared for the facility including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin will be sized to retain stormwater onsite. There are no stormwater sewers. The Proposed Action would result in a net benefit reducing sheetwash during heavy rains that erodes the salt/sand pile resulting in salt contamination of downstream waterways and agricultural lands.

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

☑ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery

Name of Lead Agency

Thomas J. King, Assistant General Council

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

April 22, 2016

Deputy Director - Bureau of Environ. Review & Assessment

Title of Responsible Officer

Joseph Fischl

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)
ATTACHMENT A

NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW
SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM
PART 1 – PROJECT INFORMATION

TIOGA SALT STORAGE FACILITY AND COVER

DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF ACTION

This supplemental information has been prepared for the Project listed above as a companion to the Short Environmental Assessment Form (6 NYCRR Part 617.20 - Appendix B) completed by GOSR as part of an independent review as an Involved Agency, with consideration of Criteria for Determining Significance listed in 6 NYCRR 617.7.

Project Description: Extreme rains from previous storm events, Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee, caused the Town of Tioga’s unprotected salt supply to wash away. This caused potential environmental contamination to nearby surface water and agricultural lands. The proposed Project located at 8 Dry Brook Road, in the Town of Tioga, Tioga County, New York, will protect the Town’s salt supply and prevent the likelihood of a similar event in the future. The proposed new facility includes an approximately 6,000 square foot pre-manufactured metal framed structure, approximately 20 feet tall, with a cover approximately 60 feet by 100 feet. The building would be set on a concrete slab floor (either poured in place or prefabricated) with concrete walls on three sides (See Att_A2_Tioga Salt Storage Building Figures). The Project will involve earthwork/digging at the Project site. The Proposed Project would include grading for installation of the foundation control of direction of sheetflow across the site. The construction would disturb up to 1.2 acres of previously disturbed land. This facility is a proposed Project for the Town of Tioga in their New York Rising Community Reconstruction plan and will very likely be implemented (See Attachment A1_Upstate_TO Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover_Pre-App Report_Signed_07-27-15).

New York Rising Community (NYRC) will function as the Subrecipient for this $460,000 Project. NYRC will enter into the appropriate agreement with the Town of Tioga to grant NYRC and Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) access to the site to complete the Project and NYRC will be responsible for the implementation of the Project in accordance with the Subrecipient Agreement by and between NYRC and the New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation dated as of July 27, 2015. Accordingly, NYRC will be responsible for the entire implementation of the Project in accordance with all local, state, and federal requirements.

Environmental Issues

The subject property, 8 Dry Brook Road, currently serves as the Town of Tioga’s salt storage site, and the area in the general vicinity of the subject property can be described as residential, surrounded largely by open fields and wooded land. Adjoining properties include a residence and agricultural property across Dry Brook to the east and south; residences and agricultural properties across Halsey Valley Road to the
southwest; and residences to the west and north. The topography of the site is relatively flat with slight slopes to the southeast. The site is approximately 1.4 acres with two maintenance structures on the north end of the Site used for office space and equipment storage and maintenance, and 1.2 acres of open lot used to store, mix, and load salt for road de-icing. The 1.2-acre open area is 85 percent pervious. The Project site is within the bounds of the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer. The Susquehanna River is located approximately 0.75 miles east from the proposed site. In addition, Pipe Creek, a tributary to the Susquehanna River, is located approximately 0.2 miles west of the Project site, and Dry Brook which drains to Pipe Creek, runs along the southern edge of the Project site parcel.

**SEQR Classification:** Operating under the auspices of New York State Homes and Community Renewal (HCR), the Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery (GOSR) disburses funding made available by the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development’s (HUD) Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program. For this Proposed Project, GOSR serves Lead Agency and must make a discretionary decision to fund the proposed action. It is independently responsible for ensuring that its own decision is consistent with the requirements of SEQR.

The proposed Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover Project involves the construction of the facility and cover for operation as the town’s salt storage site, totaling approximately 1.2 acres. It is located at 8 Dry Brook Road, in the Town of Tioga, on currently developed land that comprises an approximately 1.4-acre parcel.

The Proposed Project has been classified as an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQR and reviewed and completed as such. GOSR conducted a Coordinated review to make its determination of significance and decision to fund the action. GOSR used the Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) as the basis for its determination of significance for the proposed action. For an Unlisted, there are no filing requirements for a negative declaration; however, GOSR will maintain the Administrative Record, provide a copy of the negative declaration to the applicant and to any other involved agencies, and make its files available for public reference.
ATTACHMENT B
NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW
SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM
PART 1 – PROJECT AND SPONSOR INFORMATION

TIOGA SALT STORAGE FACILITY AND COVER

This supplemental information has been prepared for the Project listed above as a companion to the Short Environmental Assessment Form (6 NYCRR Part 617.20 - Appendix B) completed by GOSR as part of an independent review as an Involved Agency, with consideration of Criteria for Determining Significance listed in 6 NYCRR 617.7.

1. Legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance administrative rule, or regulation
   • N/A

2. Permit, Approval or Funding from other Government Agency

   Approvals (required and/or received) (See Attachment A1_Upstate_TO Tioga_Salt Storage Facility and Cover_Pre-App Report_Signed_07-27-15)
   • Town of Tioga – Building Permit
   • New York State Department of Conservation - State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) general permit

   Funding
   • Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery – CDBG_DR Funds

3. Total Acreage

   The Proposed Project activities are estimated to disturb approximately 1.2-acres located within an approximately 1.4-acre parcel at 8 Dry Brook Road in the Town of Tioga, Tioga County, New York (See Attachment B1_Project Area). Built features will occupy a permanent footprint of approximately 6,000 square feet (roughly 0.14 acres).

4. Land Use On, Adjoining and Near the Proposed Action

   The Proposed Project is located in the Town of Tioga, which currently operates the Project site as a highway salt and sand mixing and storage yard. Current land uses in the surrounding area are predominantly residential with significant forest cover and agricultural fields surrounding the site. A small building of commercial uses is found northwest of the Project location along 1215 Main Street, which defines the northern boundary of the site. Minimal forest cover and an open field bounds the Project site to the south, with residential structures surrounding the entire Project site. Dry Brook Road abuts the Project site to the north, and Halsey Valley Road abuts the Project site to the west.
5. Permitted Use under Zoning Regulations

The Town of Tioga does not have zoning regulations. The town currently operates the Project site as a highway salt and sand mixing and storage yard. The Project involves constructing a building to store the salt and sand mixture. The Project does not represent a change in this land use. The parcel would continue to be used for salt storage. The Project is also proposed in New York Rising Community Reconstruction plan (See Attachment B2_tiogacounty_nyrcr_plan). The Project falls under the Tioga County Strategic Plan, which proposes to protect aquifer and groundwater from contamination, and preserve agricultural land under its provisions for future land use (See Attachment B3_tioga2010fullplan_Tioga County).

6. Character of the existing built or natural landscape

The Project site is located at 8 Dry Brook Road in the Town of Tioga and will only involve the construction of the new salt storage facility that will not extend beyond the property parcel. This is the location of the current salt storage facility for the town. The site and surrounding area represent a landscape consisting of residential multi-family buildings, surrounded by open fields and wooded land.

7. State Listed Critical Environmental Area(s)

The Project site is not located within a state listed Critical Environmental Area (CEA). The closest CEA is located in the village of Candor, New York, approximately 12 miles north of the Project site. No impacts to CEA’s will result from the proposed activities.

8.a. Traffic Levels

The 2013 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) along Halsey Valley road is listed as 1 to 1,500 vehicles per day indicating low traffic volumes in the immediate vicinity of the Project. No data was available for Dry Brook road. The proposed Project is not expected to increase traffic substantially above the present levels as actions are proposed for an existing establishment (See Attachment B4_NYSDOT AADT).

8.b. Public Transportation Service(s)

The Project site is located approximately 6 miles west of the town of Owego, New York, which has access to public transportation services including bus routes for transportation to nearby cities such as Binghamton and Syracuse.

8.c. Pedestrian Accommodations or Bicycle Routes

No pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes are located at or near the Project site.
9. State Energy Code Requirements

The State Energy Code generally does not apply as the structure will be three sided with cover and open on one side to allow easy access to salt mixture. There would be a small increase in power usage as lights could be required if operational periods were to take place at night. This use would be occasional. However, lighting code requirements will be met.

10. Public/Private Water Supply

The facility does not have access to public water and does not have a well. The facility serves solely as a salt storage area and potable water is not required for its operation.

11. Wastewater Utilities

No wastewater or sewage is generated at the facility. The facility serves solely as a salt storage area.

12.a. Listed State or National Register of Historic Places

The Project will be located at the current salt storage site, and will not affect any landmarked/historic properties. The nearest NRHP-listed building is the Tioga Centre General Store located approximately 0.7 miles south of the Project site. Response from the SHPO regarding presence of historic resources indicated that no historic properties would be affected (See Attachment B5_SHPO No Effects Response).

12.b. Archeological Sensitive Area

The NYSDEC Environmental Resource Mapper indicated that the Project site is located in an archeological sensitive area. Response from the SHPO regarding presence of archeological resources indicated that no historic properties would be affected (See Attachment B5_SHPO No Effects Response).

13.a. Regulated Wetlands or Other Waterbodies

No federal or state regulated wetlands are located on or adjacent to the Project site (See Attachment B6_Freshwater Wetlands). However, Dry Brook, a NYSDEC regulated stream abuts the southern edge of the parcel. This feature identified as a Class C Stream (Regulation ID: 931-30).

13.b. Alteration or Encroachment on Wetland or Waterbody

The Project will not physically alter, or encroach into the NYSDEC Class C Stream noted in section 13.a.

14. Habitat Types

The Project site and surrounding area is predominantly undeveloped field and wooded land. The Project site has been previously developed and paved.
15. State or Federal government Threatened or Endangered Species

The USFWS online review process, completed on January 7, 2016 using the Information, Planning, and Conservation (IPaC) planning tool, indicated that the area around the Project sites may have habitat for northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis) (NLEB) federal listing as threatened. However, it is unlikely that this species or any other endangered or threatened species are in the Project area as there is no critical or suitable habitat (See Attachment B7_IPaC Report Tioga Salt Facility). Response from the USFWS that the Proposed Project would have no effect on NLEB (Att_B8_USFWS Response) and response from the NYNHP indicated no records of rare or state-listed animals or plants, or significant natural communities at the Proposed Project site or in its immediate vicinity (Att_B9_NYSDEC Natural Heritage Response).

16. 100-year Flood Plain

The Project is not located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). According to Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), the parcel is located outside the 1% annual chance of flood (or 100-year flood hazard zone). The Project site is also not within the 0.2% annual chance of flood (or 500-year flood hazard zone) (See Attachment B10a_Flood Zones and Attachment B10b_FEMA FIRM).

The Project site is located within an EPA Sole Source Aquifer (SSA), the Clinton Street Ballpark SSA (See Attachment B11_Sole Source Aquifers).

17. Storm Water Discharge

The Proposed Action includes construction of a new salt storage facility and will not create additional stormwater runoff that would adversely affect the existing drainage systems. A stormwater management plan will be prepared for the facility including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin will be sized to retain stormwater onsite. There are no stormwater sewers.

18. Impoundment of Water or Other Liquids

Not Applicable

19. Solid Waste Management Facility

Not Applicable

20. Remediation for Hazardous Waste

The Project site or adjoining properties have not been the subject of remediation for hazardous waste (See Attachment B12_Remediation Sites).
List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=owego

New York State Department of Agriculture & Markets
http://www.agriculture.ny.gov/AP/agservices/agricultural-districts.html
http://www.agriculture.ny.gov/AP/agservices/SOILCOUNTY.htm

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC)
http://gis.ny.gov/gisdata/inventories/member.cfm?organizationid=529&nysgis=
http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/29392.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/32501.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/imsmaps/ERM/viewer.htm
http://www.dec.ny.gov/imsmaps/facilities/viewer.htm
http://www.dec.ny.gov/natureexplorer/app/
http://www.dec.ny.gov/outdoor/8297.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/6184.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/53826.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/3932.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/4613.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/4614.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/13337.html
http://www.dec.ny.gov/regs/13338.html

New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT)
http://gis.dot.ny.gov/tdv/

New York State Natural Heritage Program
http://www.acris.nynhp.org/

U.S. Census Bureau, 2011 American Community Survey
http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml

United States Department of Agriculture
http://soils.usda.gov/survey/online_surveys/new_york/
http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm

U.S. Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx
http://www.epa.gov/region02/water/aquifer
http://www.epa.gov/oaqps001/greenbk/ancl.html

U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife
http://ecos.fws.gov/ecos/home.action
http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/
http://refuges.fws.gov
http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Boundaries.html
http://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html
http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Wetlands-Mapper.html
http://www.rivers.gov/new-york.php
U.S. Geological Society
http://viewer.nationalmap.gov/viewer/

U.S. Department of Interior – National Park Service
http://science.nature.nps.gov/im/gis/index.cfm
http://www.nature.nps.gov/nnl/docs/NNLRegistry.pdf
http://www.nps.gov/history/nr/research/

U.S. Department of Interior – National Wild and Scenic Rivers System
http://www.rivers.gov/new-york.php
ATTACHMENT C
NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW
SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM
PART 2 – IMPACT ASSESSMENT

TIOGA SALT STORAGE FACILITY AND COVER

This supplemental information has been prepared for the Project listed above as a companion to the Short Environmental Assessment Form (6 NYCRR Part 617.20 - Appendix B) completed by GOSR as part of an independent review as an Involved Agency, with consideration of Criteria for Determining Significance listed in 6 NYCRR 617.7.

1. Adopted Land Use Plan or Zoning Regulations

The Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover (the Proposed Project) involves the construction of the new salt storage facility in the Town of Tioga. The subject parcel is located at 8 Dry Brook Road and is approximately 1.4 acres owned by the Town of Tioga. The Town of Tioga does not have zoning regulations. However, the Proposed Project is consistent with the goals and vision of the Tioga County Strategic Plan. Specifically, the land use goals include the protection of aquifer and groundwater from contamination, and the preservation of agricultural land (See Attachment B3). The Proposed Project is proposed under the Town of Tioga in their NY Rising Community Reconstruction Plan (See Attachment B2).

2. Land Use / Intensity

There will be a small impact on the intensity of land use. The Proposed Project will consist of constructing a new salt storage facility and had been damaged during past flood events. Approximately 1.2-acres of the 1.4-acre parcel is anticipated to be physically disturbed. The proposed actions include an approximately 6,000 square foot pre-manufactured structure, 20 feet tall, with a cover approximately 60 feet by 100 feet. The building would be set on a concrete slab floor (either poured in place or prefabricated). The Proposed Project will involve earthwork/digging at the Proposed Project site. The action will not convert farmland to nonagricultural use and will not require preparation of an Agricultural Impact Statement and Notice of Intent.

3. Existing Community

Proposed Project scale and height will be comparable and consistent with nearby neighborhoods in the Town of Tioga. The site and surrounding area represent a landscape consisting of residential buildings, surrounded by agricultural fields and forested land. The Proposed Project would contribute to protecting the town’s salt supply and preventing the likelihood of salt washing away to the surrounding environment in future flood events, while remaining consistent with all zoning ordinance provisions. The Proposed Project would not negatively impact the adjacent properties or the surrounding neighborhood.
4. Critical Environmental Areas

The Proposed Project site is not located within a state listed Critical Environmental Area (CEA). The closest CEA is located in the village of Candor, New York, approximately 12 miles north of the Proposed Project site. No impacts to CEA’s will result from the proposed activities.

5. Level of Traffic / Infrastructure

The 2013 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) along Halsey Valley road is listed as 1 to 1,500 vehicles per day indicating low traffic volumes in the immediate vicinity of the Proposed Project. No data was available for Dry Brook road. The Proposed Project is not expected to increase traffic substantially above the present levels as actions are proposed for an existing establishment (See Attachment B4_ NYSDOT AADT). No pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes are located at or near the Proposed Project site.


The State Energy Code generally does not apply as the structure will be three sided with cover and open on one side to allow easy access to salt mixture. There would be a small increase in power usage as lights could be required if operational periods were to take place at night. This use would be occasional. However, lighting code requirements will be met.

7.A Public / Private Water Supplies

The facility does not have access to public water and does not have a well. The facility serves solely as a salt storage area.

7.b. Public / Private Wastewater Treatment Utilities

No wastewater or sewage is generated at the facility. The facility serves solely as a salt storage area.

8. Important Historic, Archaeological, Architectural or Aesthetic Resources

The Proposed Project will be located at the current salt storage site, and will not affect any landmarked/historic properties. The nearest NRHP-listed building is the Tioga Centre General Store located approximately 0.7 miles south of the Proposed Project site. Response from the SHPO regarding presence of historic resources indicated that no historic properties would be affected (See Attachment B5_SHPO No Effects Response).

9. Natural Resources (e.g., Wetlands, Waterbodies, Groundwater, Air Quality, Flora and Fauna)

The Proposed Project will not result in an adverse change to natural resources.
No federal or state regulated wetlands are located on or adjacent to the Proposed Project site (See Attachment B6). However, Dry Brook, a NYSDEC regulated stream abuts the southern edge of the parcel. This feature identified as a Class C Stream (Regulation ID: 931-30). The Proposed Project will not physically alter, or encroach into this feature.

The only listed federal species found on or adjacent to the Proposed Project area through database searches was the northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) (See Attachment B7). Response from the USFWS that the Proposed Project would have no effect on NLEB (Att_B8_USFWS Response) and response from the NYNHP indicated no records of rare or state-listed animals or plants, or significant natural communities at the Proposed Project site or in its immediate vicinity (Att_B9_NYSDEC Natural Heritage Response).

The project site is within the bounds of the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) Designated Area. The construction would disturb up to 1.2 acres of previously disturbed land. The proposed building would result in increase of 0.14 acres of impervious surface (about 10 percent) for a total of 0.32 acres (23 percent) of impervious surface. Consultation with the EPA was initiated on December 22, 2015. On January 22, 2016, the EPA concurred that the Proposed Project satisfies the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act and would not pose a significant threat to the Clinton Street Ballpark SSA. (See Attachment C1_Tioga Salt Facility SSA letter 121815).

The Proposed Project area is not included in the most recent listing of nonattainment or maintenance areas for inhalable particulate matter (PM2.5) or 8-hour ozone, as defined by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants (See Attachment_C2 Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover - Nonattainment Areas). The Proposed Project would not require an NYS Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit. The Proposed Project activities would not substantively affect air quality. The Proposed Project is of a size that is consistent with the New York State Implementation Plan (SIP). Implementation of standard best management practices (BMP) would control dust and other emissions during construction. Air quality impacts would be short term and localized. There would be no permanent increases in traffic.

**10. Erosion, Flooding or Drainage**

The Proposed Project is not located within a 100-year floodplain (See Attachment B10) and will not result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems. The proposed actions will not create additional stormwater runoff that would adversely affect the existing of drainage systems. A stormwater management plan will be prepared for the facility including diversion of water from the salt storage structure and a retention basin. The basin will be sized to retain stormwater onsite. There are no stormwater sewers. The Proposed Action would result in a net benefit reducing sheetwash during heavy rains that erodes the salt/sand pile resulting in salt contamination of downstream waterways and agricultural lands.
11. Hazard to Environmental Resources or Human Health

The Proposed Project would not involve the use of any hazardous or toxic materials. Because the Proposed Project site would not be inhabited, there would be no changes in human exposure to hazards or nuisances.
Involved/Interested Agencies - Tioga Salt Storage Facility and Cover

Involved

David Bimber, Regional Permit Administrator
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Region 7 Office
615 Erie Boulevard West
Syracuse, NY 13204-2400

Ruth Pierpont, Deputy Commissioner
New York State Historic Preservation Office
Peebles Island Resource Center
P.O. Box 189
Waterford, NY 12188-0189

New York State Homes and Community Renewal
Office of Community Renewal
38-40 State Street, 4th Floor
Albany, NY 12207

Lewis Zorn, Supervisor
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

Interested

US Fish & Wildlife Service
New York Field Office
3817 Luker Road
Cortland, NY 13045

Jack Williams, P.E., Regional Director
New York State Department of Transportation Region 9
44 Hawley Street
Binghamton, NY 13901

Rebecca Kaufman, Interim Director of Public Health
Tioga County Department of Public Health
1062 State Route 38
Oswego, NY 13827
LeeAnn Tinney, Director
Tioga County Department of Economic Development and Planning
56 Main Street
Oswego NY, 13827

Richard LeCount, Director
Tioga County Department of Emergency Management
103 Corporate Drive
Oswego, NY 13827

Dan Gavin, Chief
Owego Fire Department
87 North Avenue
Owego, NY 13827

Gary W. Howard, Sheriff
Tioga County Sheriff
103 Corporate Drive
Owego, NY 13827

Robert Klossner, Code Enforcement Officer
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

Doug Chrzanowski, Planning Board
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734
Affidavit of Mailing

STATE OF NEW YORK )
COUNTY OF ALBANY ) : SS. :

MARY BARTHELME, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am over the age of eighteen years.
2. On April 22, 2016, I mailed true and correct copies of the annexed Tioga Salt Storage and Cover Negative Declaration dated April 22, 2016, by placing the same in first class post-paid envelopes addressed: SEE ATTACHED LIST.
3. On said day, I deposited said envelopes in a mailbox at 99 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12210.

[Signature]
Mary Barthelme

Sworn to before me this 26th day of April, 2016

[Signature]
Notary Public

Andrea Clements
Notary Public, State of New York
Qualified In Saratoga County
No. 01CL6299119
Commission Expires March 17, 2018
Lewis Zorn, Supervisor
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

Jack Williams, P.E., Regional Director
New York State Department of Transportation Region 9
44 Hawley Street
Binghamton, NY 13901

Doug Chrzanowski, Planning Board
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

Gary Hammond, Commissioner
Tioga Public Works
477 Route 96
Owego, NY 13827

Town Board
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

Mr. Richard Lord
Chief of Mitigation Programs & APO
NYS Division of Homeland Security & Emergency Services
1220 Washington Avenue
Bldg 7A, Floor 4
Albany NY 12242

Andrea Klett
Tioga County Clerk
16 Court Street
P.O. Box 307
Owego, NY 13827

Leon Cary, Supervisor
Town of Barton
304 Rt. 17c
Waverly, NY 14892

Larry Moss, Technical Specialist
Division for Historic Preservation
New York State Historic Preservation Office
Peebles Island Resource Center
P.O. Box 189
Waterford, NY 12188-0189

Richard LeCount, Director
Tioga County Department of Emergency Management
103 Corporate Drive
Owego, NY 13827

Rebecca Kaufman, Interim Director of Public Health
Tioga County Department of Public Health
1062 State Route 38
Owego, NY 13827

Robert Klossner, Code Enforcement Officer
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734

David Bimber, Regional Permit Administrator, Region 7
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
615 Erie Blvd. West
Syracuse, NY 13204

LeeAnn Tinney, Director
Tioga County Department of Economic Development and Planning
56 Main Street
Owego, NY 13827

Gary W. Howard, Sheriff
Tioga County Sheriff
103 Corporate Drive
Owego, NY 13827

Dan Gavin, Chief
Owego Fire Department
87 North Avenue
Owego, NY 13827

Gary Cary, Supervisor
Town of Barton
304 Rt. 17c
Waverly, NY 14892

LeeAnn Tinney, Director
Tioga County Department of Economic Development and Planning
56 Main Street
Owego, NY 13827

Richard LeCount, Director
Tioga County Department of Emergency Management
103 Corporate Drive
Owego, NY 13827

Robert Klossner, Code Enforcement Officer
Town of Tioga
54 Fifth Avenue
Barton, NY 13734