## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT & ERR PROJECT SUMMARY

**Responsible Entity:** New York State Homes & Community Renewal – Housing Trust Fund Corporation Cooperating with the Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery (GOSR)

**Certifying Officer:** Daniel Greene, Esq., Certifying Officer, GOSR

**Project Name:** Lamcom Associates

**Funding Recipient:** Lamcom Associates

**Federal Agency:** U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD)

**Project #:** 071-ED-32697-2013

**Project Sponsor:** New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation

**Program Name:** New York State Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery Small Business Grant Program (April 2013)

**Project Address:** 6 Front Street, City of Newburgh

**Project County:** Orange County, NY

**Estimated Project Cost:** $52,848.74

**Project Sponsor Address:** Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery
25 Beaver Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10004

**Primary Contact/ Person To Direct Comments:** Daniel Greene, Esq., Deputy General Counsel and Certifying Officer, Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery

**E-Mail address:** Daniel.Greene@stormrecovery.ny.gov

**Telephone Number:** (212) 480-4644

**Project NEPA Classification:** 24 CFR 58.36

### ENVIRONMENTAL FINDING:

- **Finding of No Significant Impact** - The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

- **Finding of Significant Impact** - The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

The undersigned hereby certifies that New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached environmental review record in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 USC sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 58.

**Preparer Signature:**

**NAME:** Daniel Greene, Esq.

**Title/Agency:** Deputy General Counsel & Certifying Officer - Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery

**Date:** 12/19/2014

**Environmental Assessment Prepared By:** Tectonic Engineering & Surveying
PO Box 37, 70 Pleasant Hill Road
Mountainville, NY 10953
Project Name and Description:

Project Name: Lamcom Associates

Location: 6 Front Street, Newburgh, Orange County, NY 12550

INTRODUCTION

Lamcom Associates is a real estate holding company. This property, which the company owns, is located in the City of Newburgh’s waterfront, and suffered flooding as a result of Super Storm Sandy. The two story commercial building received flooding in the basement and there was also damage to infrastructure and systems on-site. Coastal flooding of the Hudson River resulted in up to three feet of water in the basement, which damaged the boiler, air conditioning, pumps, hot water heater, foundation walls and exterior steps. There was also erosion on the edge of the parking lot.

Project Activities: This project involves Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) program funding for building and site repair/rehabilitation: $6,712.68 for HVAC replacement, and steps, basement shelving and foundation repairs (fill-in cracks) and $46,330.89 allocated for future brick work, concrete walls and foundation repair, elevator repair, generator and HVAC replacement/ repairs as well as mitigation to install a stone wall to prevent parking lot erosion. An environmental assessment is being prepared because of the new work associated with the new retaining wall.

The new retaining wall is intended to help reduce erosion or subsidence internal to the property. The wall will be built using interlocking blocks and will be approximately four (4) feet high near the building and will gradually taper down to two (2) feet as it reaches the northern extent of the lot. The retaining wall length will be about 115 feet. There are not substantial slopes on or around the site, as it is comprised of generally flat terrain. However, the new wall, with segmented construction would prevent subsidence within the interior adjacent parking lot and next to the building, as well as provide a defined, landscaped edge next to the parking area that will prevent vehicles from traversing the lawn. The new wall is proposed immediately adjacent to the eastern edge of the existing parking lot on the north side of the building and limited ground disturbance will be required for its construction. The wall shall not encroach on any easement or right of way.

Background & Context: Proof of damage is supported by a site visit, verification of address in the storm surge, and photographs of the street the building is located on and of flooding in the surrounding area. An annexed spreadsheet with details of approved expenses is included in Attachment #11. A previous environmental review for separate activities categorized under 24 CFR 58.35(b)(4) for this applicant was completed on September 8, 2014 and is included in the environmental review record.

The building has an appealing turn of the 20th century external brick façade. Repairs to it are in-kind and involve features like foundations.
Part of the property is located in 100 year floodplain; however, the building is not within Special Flood Hazard Area (SHFA) AE Zone, and it is in Zone X (shaded). The external site work is considered to be at least partially within SHFA AE Zone and ground disturbance expected to repair the grounds and construct a new retaining wall would occur on the top surface of the land in a location by the parking lot where there is considered to be prior existing disturbance and not likely a presence of stratified, undisturbed soils, but potentially prior fill materials. It is expected that any excavated soils will be retained and reused on-site.

**Purpose & Need for the Project:** The funding assistance provides for repair of damages from Super Storm Sandy and it advances on-site resilience by elevating building mechanical systems. The additional mitigation to construct a landscaped wall along the parking lot is not intended to displace floodwater, but rather to reduce flow velocity and potential future building foundation and parking lot erosion. This limited new construction does not expand the use or intensify it. The physical development does not change building density or business service capacity of this property or that of constituent small businesses that rent from the owner/applicant.

---

1 Regarding the portion of the property in FEMA Zone X (shaded), an August 22, 2014 Tectonic Engineering & Surveying consultation with FEMA confirmed that Flood Zone X does not represent 100-year floodplain.
EVALUATE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSAL ON THE CHARACTER, FEATURES AND RESOURCES OF THE PROJECT AREA. ENTER RELEVANT BASE DATA AND VERIFIABLE SOURCE DOCUMENTATION TO SUPPORT THE FINDING. THEN ENTER THE APPROPRIATE IMPACT CODE FROM THE FOLLOWING LIST TO MAKE A DETERMINATION OF IMPACT.

**Impact Codes:**
- (1) - No impact anticipated;
- (2) - Potentially beneficial;
- (3) - Potentially adverse;
- (4) - Requires mitigation;
- (5) - Requires project modification.

Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers and page references. Attach additional material as appropriate. Note conditions or mitigation measures required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Development</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Source or Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conformance with Comprehensive Plans and Zoning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Newburgh Zoning Map on the City website, adopted December 2007, depicts this property within the W-1 (Waterfront Mixed Use) District and the 'Hudson Waterfront Architectural' Zone. There are not specific identified citations in the City's 2008 Sustainable Master Plan concerning this parcel; yet, the plan does identify interests in community revitalization and waterfront investment, which this project appears to advance. The plan identifies Newburgh as having extensive water and sewer infrastructure. As part of project development, the owner is expected to obtain various typical required local approvals to confirm that the project complies with City standards, including for stormwater management, and to ensure that new construction is appropriately positioned in relation to existing public infrastructure or easements. The City of Newburgh Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan, 2001, identifies objectives for marina and public walkway development in the area, but this project does not appear to conflict with either of those aims or any other thrusts of the waterfront strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility and Urban Impact</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Per the City’s 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan, this project is within an area shown to have an eligible low/moderate income population and it is located within the Secondary Target Area that extends along most of the waterfront. This project promotes rehabilitation of an existing non-residential building and appears consistent with strategies in the consolidated plan that promote expansion of economic development opportunities, rehabilitation of existing assets, and revitalization of the local economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Per USGS Topographic Map, the Site is located on a flat area of land on the west side of the Hudson River. The project calls for installation of a retaining wall along the east side of a parking lot. There is not expected to be change in slope on-site or surrounding area due to the project. While the scope of work is not meant to influence slope or displace coastal floodplain, it is intended to advance site design and improvements that prevent potential undesirable erosion of the building foundation and parking lot. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 1)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The east side of the existing parking lot and closest part of the building foundation was eroded in Hurricane Sandy. There will be site repair with retaining wall installation which is intended to prevent erosion during future storms. The remainder of proposed repairs/replacements will not cause erosion. Achieving landscape improvements would reduce potential erosivity of the site and potential turbidity of stormwater emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Suitability</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>US Dept. of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) maps provide information on soils types and properties that influence development of building sites. The info is intended for land use planning, evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. (See attached USDA Soil Map). According to the NRCS soils map data for “Roads and Streets, Shallow Excavations, and Lawns and Landscaping”, the rating class and limiting features for the soil type in the location of the proposed retaining wall for shallow excavations is “Very Limited”. Very limited indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. Considering the minor extent of excavation for the proposed retaining wall and the expectation that designs and specifications used in construction will appropriately address soil suitability constraints, no potential undesirable impacts are anticipated and the site should be improved in terms of its landscape and stability. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 2)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves repair/rehab of an existing business building and construction of a new retaining wall. Normal construction hazards will be present during work. Construction practices management to promote safety would be addressed with existing applicable Federal, State, County and local municipal regulations. According to the Case 071-ED-32697-2013 HUD Environmental Standards Review that is part of this Environmental Review Record (ERR), while the property is listed on the NY Spills Incidents database, the spill was closed a decade ago and offsite contaminated properties are generally located hydraulically downriver from the Subject Property. Thus, contamination from offsite locations is unlikely. Moreover, even though the scope of work involves some ground disturbance, no soil will be removed and all disturbance will be relatively minor. As such, even though there are CERCLIS and two RCRA sites are near the Subject Property, based on the scope of work and the amount of ground disturbance, the project work is not expected to have significantly disturbed contaminated soils or groundwater. Therefore, a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) or Phase II ESA is not warranted. As such, no further action is required at this time. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 8)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Energy Consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The project will not expand existing business relative to conditions prior to Hurricane Sandy or increase long-term energy consumption. The business could be more energy efficient as a result of repairs and replacements, as repair of existing foundation may reduce air exchange, and upgrades may employ energy efficient building materials, HVAC equipment and practices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Noise - Contribution to Community Noise Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed use is not a noise sensitive use. The funded rehabilitation is defined as minor, not substantial. This project involving in-kind physical rehabilitation repairs at an existing business (and related economic development support) will not generate excessive noise during the short-term period of physical work and work will adhere to local municipal noise control standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Air Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effects of Ambient Air Quality on Project and Contribution to Community Pollution Levels</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>This project involving in-kind repairs at an existing business and related economic development support does not involve physical work that would substantively affect the NYSDEC Air Quality State Implementation Plan (SIP). No significant impacts on air quality will result. Generally, it is recommended to conduct construction rehabilitation and business assistance to ensure acceptable air quality during these temporary activities, including through minimization of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides emissions. This includes operation of gas-powered construction equipment to avoid prolonged idling. It involves fugitive dust management in rehabilitation. It is also desirable to source low-VOC materials and inventory and energy star efficient equipment purchase, as practicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Environmental Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Quality - Coherence, Diversity, Compatible Use and Scale</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business including construction of a retaining wall. The project will not induce growth. The investment is intended to sustain this building and commercial land use which maintains the waterfront environment while enhancing the physical resiliency of this particular site while not detracting from visual quality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Socioeconomic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Changes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business including the construction of a retaining wall. The project is not expected to induce any change in the demographic character of the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The project involves repairs/replacements at an existing business with no known potential to displace individuals or families, destroy jobs, local businesses or public community facilities or disproportionately affect particular populations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and Income Patterns</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business and has no potential to affect employment opportunities or income patterns. Indeed,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the project is intended to protect this small business from future flood events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Facilities and Services</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Source or Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business and will not introduce any new populations that would increase the student population of the area. As such, the project will not have an impact on educational facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business and will not introduce any new development that would require additional retail services or other commercial facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves repairs and replacements at an existing business and will not introduce any new development that would require the availability of routine or emergency health services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project would not impact social services. Social services are provided by a range of non-profit and government agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposal involves repairs and limited upgrade of an existing business site and will not introduce new business development that would generate solid wastes on an ongoing basis. Soils will be retained on site. If there are construction wastes generated, according to the type of waste generated, it will be appropriately disposed of according to construction waste management practices in an appropriate, legally compliant receiving facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves rehabilitation and will not introduce development that would generate waste water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves rehabilitation at an existing business and will not adversely affect storm water runoff. The post construction condition is expected to reduce potential for erosion on the property and could have potential to improve storm surge water quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project will not increase demand for water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Police</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project will not generate new demand for police services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fire</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project will not generate new demand for fire services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Emergency Medical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project will not generate new demand for emergency medical services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space &amp; Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Open Space</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project involves repairs, replacements and a limited upgrade at an existing business and will not introduce new development that would generate demand for open space resources or impede open space access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Recreation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project will not introduce new development that would generate demand for recreational resources and nor will it impede recreation access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cultural Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Based on a Section 106 project review, in accordance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with a determination by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) received on December 5, 2014, this project will have no adverse effect on historic properties or cultural resources. No further action will be required. *(Attachment 9)*

| Transportation | 1 | The proposed project involves repairs and a limited upgrade at an existing business and besides limited trips generated by construction vehicles during a short window of construction defined to occur over three months, possibly less, the project will not introduce new development that generates continuing demand for transport or transport services. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Features</th>
<th>Source or Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Natural Features and Agricultural Lands</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation and Wildlife</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT WORK SHEET**

**Directions:** The Responsible Entity (RE) must make a determination as to whether the activities affiliated with the project will affect the resource under consideration and trigger formal compliance consultation procedures with the appropriate oversight agency and/or subsequent mitigation. You may consult guidance by clicking on links in each box below which also will take you to information from agency web sites. If the activity affects the resource, indicate (A) in the Status Determination Column below. Or indicate (B) in that column if the activity does not affect the resources under consideration. The compliance documentation column should indicate what source documentation was used to make the compliance determination and copies of all necessary documentation should be attached to the completed form for inclusion in the Environmental Review Record (ERR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR Sec. 58.5</th>
<th>Status Determination (A or B)</th>
<th>Compliance Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>There are mapped wetlands adjacent to the site, representing the edge of the Hudson River, based on US Fish &amp; Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory maps annexed hereto as Attachment 3. There is no construction activity contemplated within or next to such wetlands; thus, there was no direct consultation with USFWS. NYSDEC regulated wetland, also associated with the River, is shown in a map figure annexed hereto within Attachment 3; however, according to the attached letter from NYSDEC Division of Environmental Permits to the landowner (Attachment 5) it is confirmed that proposed work, including raising concrete walls around condenser units, raising the generator, and construction of retaining wall, will not require a Protection of Waters Permit as this is greater than 100 feet from the Hudson River. It also states that the site is not within a New York State protected freshwater wetland. Therefore, there will be no direct impacts to the surrounding state and federally regulated wetlands. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 3; 5)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Zone Management [Coastal Zone Management Act, 1972, sec. 307 (c ) and (d)]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>See attached ‘General Consistency Determination’. No State or Federal Permits are required. New work to install a retaining wall does not impede public access and enjoyment or environmental management of Newburgh’s Waterfront and appears consistent with the LWRP. A call by Tectonic to the Code Enforcement Officer, October 3, 2014, confirmed referrals to local boards, committees or commissions are not required for in-kind work. The project does not appear to require a site plan or special permit. If the local jurisdiction determines work exceeds an in-kind definition, then the applicant must formally go through process to confirm municipal LWRP consistency. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 4)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Based on a Section 106 project review, in accordance with a determination by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) received on December 5, 2014, this project will have no adverse effect on historic properties or cultural resources. No further action will be required. <em>(Attachment 9)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplain Management [Executive Order 11988; 24 CFR Part 55]</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Portions of the property, including proposed retaining wall, are located within 100-year floodplain (SFHA-AE Zone) per the FEMA floodplain map number 36071C0144E, effective date August 3, 2009. Floodplain Management (EO11988) documents compliance. See also FEMA Firmette generated for this property. <em>(Source Cited: Attachments 3; 6)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Total impervious area will not be increased significantly, which is considered to be 30% for Safe Drinking Water Act purposes in Region II. This authority will not be triggered since these activities will not increase the preexisting footprint of structures, sidewalk, driveway, parking lot, or other developed area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>According to information reviewed on New York State Environmental Resource Mapper at <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/imsmaps/ERM/viewer.htm">http://www.dec.ny.gov/imsmaps/ERM/viewer.htm</a>, the site is within NYS DEC’s designated rare, threatened or endangered species generalized review area per NYS Natural Heritage Program. Information has been received from the NYS Natural Heritage Program identifying two endangered species of fish within the Hudson River, the Shortnose Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon. Based on location of proposed work there would be no impact to cited species habitat. The USFWS also lists several rare species for Orange County. However, the proposed scope of work includes in-kind rehabilitation and repairs to an existing building and construction of a retaining wall in a previously disturbed area. The property is located in an urban area and does not appear to support or provide habitat for any rare, threatened or endangered plant or animal species. Pending a response from NYSDEC, it is anticipated the project would not affect any natural habitats containing such species or any designated or proposed critical habitat. <em>(Sources Cited: Attachment 5; 7)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild and Scenic Rivers [16 U.S.C. 1271, Sec. 7(b), (c)]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Not applicable, as there are no wild and scenic rivers within Orange County, as designated by the U.S. Department of the Interior and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Air Act [40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>This project involving in-kind repairs at an existing business and related economic development support does not involve physical work that would substantively affect the NYSDEC Air Quality State Implementation Plan (SIP). No significant impacts on air quality will result. Generally, it is recommended to conduct construction rehabilitation and business assistance to ensure acceptable air quality during these temporary activities, including through minimization of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides emissions. This includes operation of gas-powered construction equipment to avoid prolonged idling. It involves fugitive dust management in rehabilitation. It is also desirable to source low-VOC materials and inventory and energy star efficient equipment purchase, as practicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland Policy Act [7 CFR Part 658]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>This project case does not involve new construction or rehabilitation external to subject building(s) that generate site disturbance and it occurs in Urban Area on a USGS topographic map (USGS map attached).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>This rehabilitation and economic development project does occur in a State-identified EJ area <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/permits_ej_operations_pdf/orangeej.pdf">http://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/permits_ej_operations_pdf/orangeej.pdf</a> The project is intended to provide in-kind repair at a damaged business and support business continuity and community and economic development. The project does not contribute to or promote environmental injustice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR Part 51, Subpart B]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>The proposed use is not a noise sensitive use. The funded rehabilitation is defined as minor, not substantial. This project involving in-kind physical rehabilitation repairs at an existing business (and related economic development support) will not generate excessive noise during the short-term period of physical work and work will adhere to local noise control standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR Part 51 C]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Acceptable separation distance requirements do not apply to this rehabilitation and economic development case project because the definition for HUD assisted projects in 24 CFR Part 51.201 is predicated on whether the project increases the number of people exposed to hazardous operations. The environmental review for this project/activity involves a proposal to rehabilitate a pre-existing non-residential development that existed prior to the disaster. Pursuant to Part 51 Subpart C ’HUD-assisted project’ Definition (in 51.201), it does not involve increasing residential or business densities, converting the type of use of a building to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
habitation, or making a vacant building habitable; therefore, there is not a requirement to comply under 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C.

| Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D] | B | The project does not involve acquisition; therefore, airport clear zone requirements are not applicable (also confirming compliance with 58.6). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR Sec. 58.6 and Other State Laws</th>
<th>Status Determination (A or B)</th>
<th>Compliance Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flood Disaster Protection Act [Flood Insurance] [§58.6(a)]</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Based on Flood Insurance Rate Map 36071C0144E, effective date August 3, 2009, portions of the property are located within Special Flood Hazard Area. Proof of National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insurance is required. See attached FEMA Firmette. Applicant shall be required to show proof of current flood insurance prior to closeout, and when received, proof of current flood insurance shall be appended to the ERR. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act/Coastal Barrier Improvement Act [§58.6(c)]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>The site is NOT in or immediately adjacent to (within 150 feet) of a Coastal Barrier Resource Area System Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. Additionally, based on NYS Coastal Barrier Resources map, the site is not located within or in close proximity to a Coastal Barrier Resource area. Therefore, no impacts would result. <em>(Source Cited: Attachment 3)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Runway Clear Zone or Clear Zone Disclosure [§58.6(d)]</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>The proposed project does not involve the purchase or acquisition of a property and is not within one mile of a military airport or 2,500 feet of any civil airport(s). Therefore, no impacts would result.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (6 NYCRR Part 617)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A completed Short Environmental Assessment Form for this Unlisted Action is contained with this ERR as is a Negative Declaration completed by GOSR as the sole involved agency for the action. GOSR, acting as lead agency determines that there will be no adverse impacts from the action and an EIS will not be required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Reimbursement for completed repairs supports recovery of the business and surrounding community. Planned building repairs, including raising the generator and condenser, will mitigate against damage to building systems during future storm events, including floods. Construction of a retaining wall will reinforce the parking lot and prevent future erosion without displacing floodwaters or altering stormwater drainage patterns in undesirable ways. The wall shall not encroach on any easement or right of way. Given the project is intended to enhance mitigation and resilience of a property used by a small business, it is not expected, given the limited nature of mitigation to build the wall, including its limited extent, there will be cause for a consistency review by the Waterfront Advisory Committee; however, if the local jurisdiction determines work exceeds an in-kind definition, then the applicant must formally confirm municipal LWRP consistency. As shown in the Environmental Assessment Checklist, no significant land development, neighborhood, socioeconomic, natural resources, community facility or other direct, indirect or cumulative impacts would result from the proposed project.

ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION

Alternatives & Project Modifications Considered [24 CFR 58.40(e), Ref. 40 CFR 1508.9]

One potential alternative is to relocate the tenant businesses out of 100-year floodplain; however, this early 1900s era building is in an area neighboring a historic district and it is situated in a vital waterfront district. Removing businesses from this location would mean that current tenants would be forced to relocate. While this property is not located within a designated historic district, the decline of this property, or its demolition to remove commercial occupancy of floodplain, could potentially negatively impact the neighboring historic district and waterfront area character and vitality. Such an action could introduce lost space (by removing existing building block massing) and remove an appealing building amenity from the waterfront environment.

A second alternative would be to eliminate funding for the new retaining wall. While this alternative would still provide funding for some resilience measures, such as elevation of the generator, the removal of this feature would make the overall property less resilient and could contribute to erosions of the soils on the property. The retaining wall provides for additional protection and may guard against future property damage or business interruptions.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]
The ‘no action’ alternative means that there would be no retaining wall built, the flood walls around the HVAC condenser unit will not be built higher, and the generator would not be raised. This would leave the business vulnerable to future flood events and would leave the business owner’s ability to mitigate future flood damages significantly impeded. This action would greatly affect this business and surrounding historic community, as recovery would be greatly impaired due to lack of financial support. Thus, the ‘no action’ alternative would not support this businesses’ recovery nor help the community recover from these storms.

Mitigation Measures Recommended [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1508.20]
To prevent future flood damage, the business owner proposes to increase the height of the existing concrete walls surrounding the condenser unit and to elevate the generator. While these actions do not prevent floodwaters from entering the business, they help mitigate future damages by preventing floodwaters from reaching certain components of the building systems. It is also proposed to build a retaining wall on the east side of the parking lot to
prevent future erosion of the building foundation and parking lot. Applicant understands mitigation efforts must comply with Davis Bacon Labor Requirements. For a location in 100-year flood plain, flood insurance may be required on property.

ADDITIONAL STUDIES PERFORMED &/OR LIST OF SOURCES, AGENCIES AND PERSONS CONSULTED [40 CFR 1508.9(b)] (With studies or summaries attached)

- Attachment 1: Location Map & USGS Topographic Map
- Attachment 2: USDA NRCS Soils Map and Supplemental Information
- Attachment 3: NYSDEC Environmental Resource Map; USFWS NWI Map; Coastal Maps; FEMA Firmette
- Attachment 4: General Consistency Determination
- Attachment 5: NYSDEC Letter to Landowner; NYSNHP Information Request
- Attachment 6: Floodplain Management (EO11988)
- Attachment 7: USFWS Orange County Rare Species List
- Attachment 8: Case 071-ED-32697-2013 HUD Environmental Standards Review
- Attachment 9: Section 106/ Historic Laws and Authorities/ SHPO Documentation
- Attachment 10: SEQR Compliance Documentation
- Attachment 11: Other Supporting Documentation
- Attachment 12: FONSI/NOIRROF

DETERMINATION: The preparers have complied with all provisions of 24 CFR Part 58, Subpart E—Environmental Review Process: Environmental Assessments, examining alternatives to the project itself, feasible ways to modify the project to eliminate or minimize adverse impacts, and based on steps (a) through (f) found in the regulations, determined the following:

(1) Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), whereby the Responsible Entity may proceed to Dissemination and publication of the FONSI, per regulations found at 24 CFR Part 58, sec. 58.43(a).

PREPARER SIGNATURE:

DATE:
12/19/2014
Attachment 2

USDA NRCS Soils Map and Supplemental Information
The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Orange County, New York
Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 17, 2014

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 26, 2011—Apr 16, 2012

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
## Map Unit Legend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Unit Symbol</th>
<th>Map Unit Name</th>
<th>Acres in AOI</th>
<th>Percent of AOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MdC</td>
<td>Mardin gravelly silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ur</td>
<td>Urban land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals for Area of Interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment 3

Wetland/Coastal Boundary Maps
6 Front Street
Newburgh, NY

Disclaimer: This map does not show all natural resources regulated by NYS DEC, or for which permits from NYS DEC may be required. Please contact your DEC Regional office for more information.

MinX: 582363, MaxX: 584410, MinY: 4595309, MaxY: 4594295
This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

User Remarks:

6 Front Street
Newburgh, NY
Welcome to the NYS Coastal Boundary Map

Please note that the address marker is automatically placed along the street while certain activities may take place along the waterward property boundary. Please make sure to click and drag the marker to the exact location of the proposed activity for an accurate assessment of whether or not the activity would be located within any DOS Special Management Areas.

* GIS coverage is currently unavailable for the Scenic Areas designated in the Town of East Hampton. Please click here for information pertaining to these areas.
Attachment 4

General Consistency Determination
August 29, 2013

Jeffrey Zappieri  
Supervisor, Consistency Review Unit  
Division of Coastal Resources  
State of New York  
Department of State  
One Commerce Plaza  
99 Washington Avenue  
Albany, New York 12231-0001

Re: Program Update/Introduction of all Programs, and Request for General Consistency Concurrence for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Housing Assistance Program, administered by New York State Homes and Community Renewal (NYSHCR)

Dear Mr. Zappieri:

On June 26, 2013, New York State Homes and Community Renewal (NYSHCR) submitted a letter (via email) to the State of New York Department of State (DOS) requesting concurrence that the proposed activities for the 1-4 unit rehabilitation action are covered by the DOS general consistency concurrence criteria. On July 3, DOS provided a response letter (project # F-2013-0533 FA) stating that the proposed activities in the June 26 letter meet the general consistency concurrence criteria, and the DOS has no objection to funding.

As you may recall, NYSHCR has been authorized to implement the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Housing Assistance Program (Program) in accordance with the State of New York Action Plan for Community Development Block Grant Program Disaster Recovery, dated April 2013 (Federal Register Docket # FR-5696-N-01). This document is available for review at http://www.nyshcr.org/Publications/CDBGActionPlan.pdf.

Recently, NYSHCR has been directed to proceed with completing all Environmental Review Records (ERRs) for the entire Program. Therefore, the purpose of this letter is to provide DOS notice of the Program actions updates and to obtain written confirmation from DOS that the proposed activities will be in compliance with general consistency concurrence criteria. Actions in the Program that were not described in the June 26 letter include Rehabilitation, Buy out, Acquisition, and Economic Development, as described below.
Program Overview
The Implementation of the Program will be large-scale and will encompass several Counties throughout New York (refer to Action Plan). Program descriptions are included in Attachment A, and a brief overview is included below. A list of affected counties included in the CDBG-DR program is included in Attachment B. Specific Federal Actions related to the program include:

1. Rehabilitation and repair of 1-4 unit homes, 5+ unit buildings, and commercial properties. Rehabilitated properties within a floodplain will require raising foundations above the base flood elevation.
2. Reimbursement (incorporated as part of the Rehabilitation program). Qualifying participants may get reimbursed for expenses associated with disaster recovery, which were incurred before the CDBG-DR program funds were ready.
3. Buy-out of storm-damaged properties. The State will buy eligible properties and will result in demolition and return to green space for perpetuity.
4. Acquisition of damaged properties. The State will buy eligible properties and result in demolition and options for future land use.
5. Economic Development- Eligible entities may receive funding for general economic recovery and improvement of the local economy.

Compliance
NYSHCR is anticipating it will be responsible for preparing approximately 133 Environmental Review Records (ERRs), covering the various actions within the various counties. Because of the large extent of the Program, it is appropriate to coordinate with DOS, so NYSHCR, as the Responsible Entity (RE), can accurately document compliance.

NYSHCR is requesting a program comprehensive response letter from DOS, covering all actions (in addition to the July 3 letter), that can be included in all Tier 1 ERRs to document that coordination with DOS is being completed, and general consistency concurrence criteria will be met. The prior correspondence between NYSHCR and DOS is included in Attachment C for reference.

If you have question or require additional information regarding this request, please contact me at (518) 486-3379 or hspitzberg@nyshcr.org. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Heather M. Spitzberg, Esq.
NEPA Certifying Officer
NYS Homes and Community Renewal
38-40 State Street
Hampton Plaza
Albany, NY 12207

cc. Robin Keegan, NYSHCR
Chris Leo, NYSHCR

Attachment A - Program Descriptions
Attachment B- List of Affected Counties Included in the CDBG-DR Program
Attachment C- Prior Correspondence
Attachment A- Program Descriptions

The State of New York Action Plan for Community Development Block Grant Program Disaster Recovery (Action Plan), issued April 25, 2013, is used as the overall reference for these programs and is incorporated by reference into these project descriptions. The State of New York Action Plan for Community Development Block Grant Program Disaster Recovery, dated April 2013 (Federal Register Docket # FR-5696-N-01) may be referenced at: http://www.nyshcr.org/Publications/CDBGActionPlan.pdf.

Buyout/Acquisition

An overview of each activity under the program covered by this document is provided below. This program applies to 1- and 2-unit residential properties.

Voluntary Buyouts and Acquisitions of One- and Two-unit Homes

The Recreate NY Home Buyout Program will include the purchase of eligible substantially damaged properties (>50% of the pre-storm value of the property) inside the floodplain in storm-impacted areas.

Certain highest risk areas in the floodplains, determined to be among the most susceptible to future disasters and that therefore present a greater risk to people and property, will be identified by the State and its local partners for the purposes of this program as enhanced buyout areas (see below). The State will conduct purchases inside of the enhanced buyout areas as “buyouts,” as defined by HUD, whereby they will be eligible for purchase starting at 100% of the property’s pre-storm fair market value (FMV), plus available incentive(s) as outlined below.

The State intends to conduct most purchases outside of the enhanced buyout areas as “acquisitions,” as defined by HUD, whereby purchase offers must begin with the post-storm FMV of the property.

In accordance with the notice governing the use of these funds, properties purchased as a “buyout” will be maintained in perpetuity as coastal buffer zones, while properties purchased as “acquisitions” will be eligible for redevelopment in the future in a resilient manner to protect future occupants of this property. The post-purchase fate of most acquired properties will be determined by the State in consultation with local officials to ensure that the properties best serve the future goals of the community. In some cases, the properties will remain undeveloped and be transformed into parks or other non-residential uses, while in most cases they will be redeveloped in a resilient manner.

Enhanced Buyout Areas

Enhanced buyouts in select pre-defined targeted buyout areas, which will be determined in consultation with county and local governments, will include an incentive(s) ranging from 5%-15% on top of the pre-storm FMV of property acquired through the buyout program. Reconstruction may not occur on lots in these areas. Lots will be maintained as coastal buffer
zones or other non-residential/commercial uses; there may also be acquisition of vacant or undeveloped land in these targeted areas.

**Program Activities**
Summarized in the sections below are the physical program activities:

**Buyout**
Following purchase of the property, the land would remain open space in perpetuity. As applicable, structures on the properties would be removed. The Housing Trust Fund Corporation would hold title to the property until the property is transferred to another owner, but will retain restrictions on use.

**Acquisition**
Following purchase of the property, the land may be developed in a resilient manner. The Housing Trust Fund Corporation would hold title to the property until the property is transferred to another owner for development.

**Rehabilitation 1-4 Units and 5+ Units**
In response to the damage caused by Hurricane Sandy and other natural disasters that occurred across the nation in 2011, 2012, and 2013, homeowners of disaster-declared counties who sustained damage will be invited to submit an application for assistance. The Recreate New York Smart Homes Project activities to be funded by CDBG-DR have been designed to provide assistance for repairing damaged property, reconstructing damaged homes, and mitigating damaged properties for future resilience.

The specific actions include rehabilitation and reconstruction of moderate, major, and substantial storm-damaged structures and elevation to the required advisory base flood elevation plus freeboard as required by each community’s floodplain ordinance as further described in the Floodplain Management Document. It is anticipated that the renovations and elevations will take place within the existing footprint of the structure (unless elevation is not technically feasible without alteration of the footprint), and no additional structures will be built upon each approved project parcel. Under this program, the expansion of the structure may not exceed 20% of the original pre storm footprint. The structure must remain 1-4 units in size after rehabilitation or reconstruction.

Wherever allowable by local building codes and any other law and/or regulation, homeowners will be allowed to choose whether to repair the original home, or rebuild (reconstruct) a new home in its place. However, only funding for the more cost-effective option will be provided.

**Reimbursement**
The program may also provide reimbursements for homeowners of one- to four-unit buildings that have started or completed Hurricane Sandy-related rehabilitation work with personal resources, subject to restrictions in line with applicable laws, regulations, and the program requirements (e.g., eligibility criteria, grant restrictions). Reimbursement is contingent on compliance with Recreate NY 1-4 Unit Rehabilitation requirements discussed above.
**Economic Development Program Description**
The applicable programs from the Action Plan are:

- Small Business Grant Program
- Small Business Loan Program
- Coastal Fishing Industry Program
- Seasonal Tourism Industry Program

An overview of each program covered by this document is provided below.

**Small Business Grant Program**
This program will provide funding grants to eligible businesses for purchase or repair of equipment, renovation of damaged or destroyed facilities, mitigation actions to protect businesses from future storms, and the following activities identified in Section 4 of the Action Plan:

- Purchase of equipment, materials, inventory, furniture, fixtures
- Employee training
- Acquisition of real property
- Working capital
- Construction, rehabilitation, reconstruction of buildings
- Engineering, architectural, and/or design costs
- Infrastructure directly associated with economic development activities
- Technical assistance
- Any other eligible business related activity (requires State consultation and approval)
- Marketing and outreach to solicit applications from businesses eligible for any of the State’s proposed programs

**Small Business Loan Program**
This program will provide loans to eligible businesses for purchase or repair of equipment, renovation of damaged or destroyed facilities, mitigation actions to protect businesses from future storms, and other activities identified in Section 4 of the Action Plan.

**Coastal Fishing Industry Program**
This program will provide funding to businesses and individuals qualified as a Coastal Fishing Industry. Details of this program are included on page 50 of the Action Plan.

**Seasonal Tourism Industry Program**
This program will provide funding to eligible seasonal tourism businesses. Details of this program are also included on page 50 of the Action Plan.
## Attachment B- List of Affected Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Irene</th>
<th>Lee</th>
<th>Sandy</th>
<th>BO/Acq</th>
<th>Rehab 1 - 4</th>
<th>Rehab 5+</th>
<th>Economic</th>
<th>Reimburse</th>
<th>Total Documents</th>
<th>total started</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronx</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broome</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemung</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenango</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutchess</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herkimer</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oneida</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsego</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queens</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rensselaer</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockland</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenectady</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoharie</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tioga</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulster</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>133</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
<td><strong>123</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi,

I’m an Environmental Analyst with NYS HCR. I believe that I’ve worked with both of you in the past.

HCR’s Office of Community Renewal (OCR) is going to be administering millions of dollars to rehabilitate homes that have been damaged by Hurricanes Sandy, Lee & Irene. It is CDBG-DR (Disaster Recovery) funding from HUD and will be directly administered by OCR through local non-profits and municipalities. The potential project descriptions are below. We anticipate that most of the properties will be in a Coastal Zone.

Specific sites have not yet been chosen. We are currently drafting our NEPA review, which will be a Programmatic Review, with preparation of individual site specific checklists as sites are chosen.

As this will be entirely rehabilitation of existing structures in their existing footprints, do we need to undertake a Federal Consistency Review for each project, or can we do this on the Programmatic level? If we can do a Programmatic response from DOS, what do you need from OCR? If we need to submit individual consistency reviews, can we discuss how to expedite that process with your office?

If you think we need to discuss further by phone, please let me know.

Thanks so much for your help.

Heather

Program Description:

Scattered Sites

The New York State Homes & Community Renewal (HCR) Office of Community Renewal (OCR) intends to enter into subrecipient agreements with non-profit and municipal entities to fund activities eligible under the Housing Assistance Program section of the State of New York Action Plan for Community Development Block Grant Program - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR). The CDBG-DR was approved under the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act of 2013 (Public Law 113-2).

A site specific environmental checklist will be completed for each individual site that is chosen to receive an award and be kept on file at the offices of each subrecipient.

The program activities include replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, including upgrading buildings to meet building or fire codes (including flood-proofing and mitigation). The program activities may also include necessary utility connection replacements and repair or replacement of minor accessory/appurtenant residential structures. The following five programs will be undertaken:

1. **Recreate NY Smart Home Repair and Reconstruction**
• Available to owners of one- and two-unit homes located outside of New York City whether owner occupied or income generating, including Condominiums, Co-Ops and Garden Apartments.
• Will cover costs for the repair/replacement of damage to real property (including mold remediation); replacement of disaster-impacted non-luxury residential appliances; and environmental health hazard mitigation costs related to the repair or rehabilitation of disaster-impacted property.

2. Recreate NY Smart Home Resilience
• Available to owners of one- and two-unit homes located outside of New York City whether owner occupied or income generating, including: Condominiums, Co-Ops and Garden Apartments.
• Property is located within a 100-year floodplain and damaged, or property was substantially damaged (i.e., lost more than 50% of pre-storm FMV), and still needs additional rehabilitation.
• Will cover costs to mitigate future damage.

3. Small Multi-Family Repair and Reconstruction
• Available to owners of multi-unit (3-7 units) residential buildings located outside of New York City including: Rental properties, including owner-occupied rental properties, non-owner-occupied properties that may be attached, semi-attached, detached/scattered site, which in the aggregate does not exceed a total of seven (7) units.
• Will cover costs for the repair/replacement of damage to real property (including mold remediation); replacement of disaster-impacted non-luxury residential appliances; and environmental health hazard mitigation costs related to the repair or rehabilitation of disaster-impacted property.

4. Small Multi-Family Mitigation
• Available to owners of multi-unit (3-7) residential buildings located outside of New York City, including owner-occupied rental properties, as well as non-owner-occupied properties that may be attached, semi-attached, detached/scattered site, which in the aggregate do not exceed a total of seven (7) units. New York City will administer its own CDBG-DR programs directly.
• Will cover costs to mitigate future damage.

5. Large Multi-Family Mitigation
• Available to owners of multi-unit properties with eight or more units located outside of New York City including owner-occupied rental properties, as well as non-owner-occupied properties that may be attached, semi-attached, or detached/scattered site. New York City will administer its own CDBG-DR programs directly.
• Property is located within a 100-year floodplain and sustained damage as a result of the disaster.
• Will cover costs to mitigate future damage.

Heather M. Spitzberg, Esq.
Environmental Analyst
NYS Homes and Community Renewal
38-40 State Street
4th Floor, Hampton Plaza
Albany, NY 12207

(518) 486-3379 (phone)
(518) 402-7376 (fax)
hspitzberg@nyschr.org
Ms. Heather M. Spitzberg, Esq.
NYS Homes and Community Renewal
38-40 State Street
4th Floor, Hampton Plaza
Albany, New York 12207

Re: F-2013-0533(FA)
NYS Homes and Community Renewal
Replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, including upgrading buildings to meet building or fire codes (including flood-proofing and mitigation). The program activities may also include necessary utility connection replacements and repair or replacement of minor accessory/appurtenant residential structures.

General Concurrence - No Objection To Funding

Dear Ms. Spitzberg:

The Department of State received the information you submitted regarding the above matter on June 26, 2013.

The Department of State has determined that this proposal meets the Department’s general consistency concurrence criteria. Therefore, the Department of State has no objection to the use of HUD funds for this financial assistance activity. This concurrence pertains to the financial assistance activity for this project only. If federal permits or other form of federal agency authorization is required for this activity, the Department of State will conduct a separate review for those permit activities. In such a case, please forward a copy of the federal application for authorization, a completed Federal Consistency Assessment Form, and all supporting information to the Department at the same time it is submitted to the federal agency from which the necessary authorization is requested.

When communicating with us regarding this matter, please contact Jeffrey Zappieri at (518) 474-6000 and refer to our file #F-2013-0533(FA).

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Zappieri
Supervisor, Consistency Review Unit
Division of Coastal Resources

JZ/dc
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NYSDEC Letter to Landowner and NYSHNP Determination
THOMAS MCCHESNEY  
via email: tom@vcny.com  

RE: Jurisdictional Determination CH5492  
6 Front Street  
Town of Newburgh, Orange County

Dear Mr. McChesney,

The project information you provided indicated that the concrete walls around the condenser units will be raised, the generator unit will be raised in place, and a retaining wall, approximately 4 feet tall tapering to 2 feet tall, will be built 115 feet west of the Hudson River, a state-protected waterbody. None of those activities require a Protection of Waters permit as they are beyond 100 feet of the Hudson River.

You are still responsible for ensuring that work shall not pollute the Hudson River. Care shall be taken to stabilize any disturbed areas promptly after construction, and all necessary precautions shall be taken to prevent contamination of the Hudson River by silt, sediment, fuels, solvents, lubricants, or any other pollutant associated with the project.

A good portion of the property lies within a floodplain, as shown in Orange County DIRM Panel 0144. Please see the attached brochure regarding Floodplain Construction Requirements and contact Mr. Mark Lewis at (845) 256-3022 of the Division of Water should you have any questions.

FRESHWATER WETLANDS

Your project site is not within a New York State protected Freshwater Wetland. Please also contact your town officials and the United States Army Corps of Engineers in New York City, telephone (917) 790-8411 (other counties), for any permitting they might require.

STATE-LISTED SPECIES

No records of sensitive resources were identified by this review.

The absence of data does not necessarily mean that rare or state-listed species, natural communities or other significant habitats do not exist on or adjacent to the proposed site. Rather, our files currently do not contain information which indicates their presence. For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted. We cannot provide a definitive statement on the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species or significant natural communities. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

We have reviewed the statewide inventory of archaeological resources maintained by the New York State Museum and the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation. These records indicate that the project is located within an area considered to be sensitive with regard to archaeological resources.

-OVER PLEASE-
resources. For more information, please visit the New York State Office of Historic Preservation website at http://www.nysparks.com/shpo.

OTHER

Please note that this letter only addresses the requirements for the following permits from the Department:
☐ Protection of Waters  ☐ Master Habitat Databank  ☐ Freshwater Wetlands
Other permits from this Department or other agencies may be required for projects conducted on this property now or in the future. Also, regulations applicable to the location subject to this determination occasionally are revised and you should, therefore, verify the need for permits if your project is delayed or postponed. This determination regarding the need for permits will remain effective for a maximum of one year unless you are otherwise notified. Applications may be downloaded from our website at www.dec.ny.gov under "Programs" then "Division of Environmental Permits."

Please contact this office if you have questions regarding the above information. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Division of Environmental Permits
Region 3, Telephone No. 845/256-3032

NOTE: Regarding erosion/sedimentation control requirements:
Stormwater discharges require a SPDES Stormwater permit from this Department if they either:
- occur at industrial facilities and contain either toxic contaminants or priority pollutants OR
- result from construction projects involving the disturbance of 5000 square feet or more of land within the NYC Department of Environmental Protection East of Hudson Watershed or for proposed disturbance of 1 acre or more of land outside the NYC DEP Watershed
Your project may be covered by one of two Statewide General Permits or may require an individual permit. For information on stormwater and the general permits, see the DEC website at http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/8466.html. If this site is within an MS4 area (Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System), the stormwater plan must be reviewed and accepted by the municipality and the MS-4 Acceptance Form must be submitted to the Department. If the site is not within an MS4 area and other DEC permits are required, please contact the regional Division of Environmental Permits.
Dear Joshua Gomez:

In response to your recent request, we have reviewed the New York Natural Heritage Program database with respect to the above project.

Enclosed is a report of rare or state-listed animals and plants, and significant natural communities, which our databases indicate occur, or may occur, on your site or in the immediate vicinity of your site.

For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted; the enclosed report only includes records from our databases. We cannot provide a definitive statement as to the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species or significant natural communities. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

Our databases are continually growing as records are added and updated. If this proposed project is still under development one year from now, we recommend that you contact us again so that we may update this response with the most current information.

The presence of the plants and animals identified in the enclosed report may result in this project requiring additional review or permit conditions. For further guidance, and for information regarding other permits that may be required under state law for regulated areas or activities (e.g., regulated wetlands), please contact the appropriate NYS DEC Regional Office, Division of Environmental Permits, as listed at www.dec.ny.gov/about/39381.html.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Conrad
Information Resources Coordinator
New York Natural Heritage Program
The following state-listed animals have been documented at your project site, or in its vicinity.

The following list includes animals that are listed by NYS as Endangered, Threatened, or Special Concern; and/or that are federally listed or are candidates for federal listing.

The following species have been documented in the Hudson River, adjacent to the project site. To the extent that any of the proposed construction work will result in disturbances or inputs into the Hudson River, potential onsite and offsite impacts from the project may need to be addressed. For further consultation regarding the presence of these species, and any permit considerations for this project, please contact the Hudson River Fisheries Manager at the NYSDEC Region 3 Office in New Paltz, (845) 256-3072.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMON NAME</th>
<th>SCIENTIFIC NAME</th>
<th>NY STATE LISTING</th>
<th>FEDERAL LISTING</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortnose Sturgeon</td>
<td>Acipenser brevirostrum</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>1091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Sturgeon</td>
<td>Acipenser oxyrinchus</td>
<td>No Open Season</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>11464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report only includes records from the NY Natural Heritage databases. For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted, and we cannot provide a definitive statement as to the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

Information about many of the listed animals in New York, including habitat, biology, identification, conservation, and management, are available online in Natural Heritage’s Conservation Guides at www.guides.nynhp.org, and from NYSDEC at www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html.
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Floodplain Management (EO11988)
Overview
The purpose of Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, is “to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct or indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative.” This report contains the analysis prescribed by 24 CFR Part 55.

This project involves Community Development Block Grant Program–Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funding for building and other in-kind business infrastructure repairs for a single business impacted by Super Storm Sandy. The analysis that follows focuses on floodplain impacts, as there are no new direct wetland impacts associated with this project. Based on the “non-substantial” level of work, and other case characteristics, it is concluded that there is a reasonable basis to proceed with funding for this project/activity within floodplain. Moreover, in the March 5, 2013 Federal Register Notice, HUD expressly recognized that “without the return of businesses and jobs to a disaster-impacted area, recovery may be impossible. Therefore, HUD strongly encourages grantees to envision economic revitalization as a cornerstone to a long-term recovery” (78 FR 14335). Thus, alternatives preventing or impeding small business recovery are not considered reasonable alternatives.

Description of Proposed Action & Land Use
Lamcom Associates is a real estate holding company that holds a property that was damaged as a result of Supertorm Sandy. This affected property is located at 6 Front Street, City of Newburgh, Orange County, NY. The County Tax ID is 31-5-10 and County records show it is a 60’ by 68’ lot with a designated property class of “commercial”. The building is a multi-tenant commercial office building that consists of two stories. The brick construction is circa 1900.

This project involves funding for building(s) and site repair/rehabilitation and mitigation. The scope of work includes reimbursement for $6,712.68 for HVAC repair and replacement, steps repairs, basement shelving repairs and foundation repair (fill in cracks). Additionally, $46,330.89 is allocated for future expenses including brick work, concrete walls and foundation repair, elevator repair, generator replacement, and HVAC replacement/repairs. The proposed mitigation consists of placing a retaining wall immediately adjacent to the northeastern edge of the parking lot on the north side of the building. This wall will be built using interlocking blocks at approximately four (4) feet high near the building, gradually tapering to two feet as it reaches the northern extent of the parking lot. In total the retaining wall will be about 85 feet in length and the purpose of the wall is to aid management of on-site erosion.

A previous environmental review for separate activities categorized under 24 CFR 58.35(b)(4) for this applicant was completed on September 8, 2014 and is included in the Environmental Review Record.
The proposed action corresponds with a presumed noncritical action not excluded under 24 CFR §55.12(b) or (c). Funding is permissible for the use in the floodplain if the proposed action is processed under §55.20 and the findings of the determination are affirmative to suggest that the project may proceed.

Based on online data, including data managed and updated by the U.S Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) and New York Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), there is no direct construction (new) in wetlands present at the site. Thus, in accordance with the decision-making process set forth in 24 CFR Part 55, this analysis focuses exclusively on floodplains.

Pursuant to 24 CFR §55.12(a)(4), the activity eligible for reimbursement does constitute repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing nonresidential buildings and structures in a community that is in the regular program of the NFIP and is in good standing. Based on the part of the award allocated for rehabilitation, this project does not exceed a substantial improvement threshold of fifty percent (50%) set forth in 24 CFR §55.2(b)(10). This finding is based on the fact that the real property (excluding land value) per City of Newburgh 2014 assessment data is $477,400 and roughly the same building value is presumed to have existed pre-storm and the cost of all repairs necessary, at roughly $53,000, consists of a small fraction of this value. Moreover, new construction for a wall, which is defined as site landscaping, is an example of a cost allowed to be excluded from Substantial Improvement Determinations per FEMA definitions. As such, a five-step floodplain determination process in §55.20 is allowed. The following analysis examines each step in a floodplain management determination process.

**Step 1. Determine Whether the Proposed Action is Located in the 100-year Floodplain (500-year for Critical Actions) or results in New Construction in Wetlands.**

The location of the proposed action, per the applicable FEMA flood map Firnmette, is within 100-year floodplain (SFHA-AE Zone). There is an established Base Flood Elevation (BFE) of seven (7) feet on portions of the property, including a small area where the retaining wall is proposed. Additional portions are the property, including the building structure, are located in Zone X. The floodplain is coastal, not riverine. There is no coastal high hazard areas on the site.

**Step 4. Identify & Evaluate Potential Direct & Indirect Impacts Associated with Occupancy or Modification of 100-year Floodplain and Potential Direct & Indirect Support of Floodplain and Wetland Development that Could Result from Proposed Action.**

The focus of floodplain evaluation should be on adverse impacts to lives and property, and on natural and beneficial floodplain values. Natural and beneficial values include consideration of potential for adverse impacts on water resources such as natural moderation of floods, water quality maintenance, and groundwater recharge.

According to the FEMA Report–A Unified National Program for Floodplain Management, two definitions commonly used in evaluating actions in floodplain are “structural” and “non-structural” activities. Per the report, structural activity is usually intended to mean adjustments that modify the behavior of floodwaters through the use of measures such as public works dams, levees and channel work. Non-structural is usually intended to include all other adjustments (e.g., regulations, insurance, etc.) in the way society acts when occupying or modifying a floodplain. These
definitions are used in describing impacts that may arise in association with potential advancement of this case.

**Natural moderation of floods**
As the applicant’s site is one of many developed parcels situated within 100-year floodplain, the continued occupancy may potentially result in future direct impacts to property during certain severe floods and related natural disasters. However, the direct effects to or from this property would be no greater than those expected to the other adjacent occupied properties within this floodplain, including because system replacements should be designed to withstand future hazards. Also, the proposed mitigation, intended primarily to stabilize soils and prevent erosion during severe rains or flood hazard events, by its nature would provide for reduced particulate concentrations in stormwater emissions from the site.

**Living resources such as flora and fauna**
This land use may constitute a type of business where upon flooding, materials used in tenant’s operations, which currently appear to consist predominantly of office type uses, could potentially be released into the environment, thereby having unquantified potential to impact water quality maintenance and ecological resources. A potential impact that may arise is that materials used or stored on-site would be caused to be released into the environment, such as a result of wind or floodwaters. However, this would be more likely if there are not non-structural and structural floodproofing techniques in place, such as if materials are not stored in water-tight containers, elevated and/or said containers break or migrate out of a non-enclosed building due to lack of proper preparation. A qualitative evaluation suggests the potential would be relatively small and if such releases do occur, it is likely as part of a potential area-wide impact. In such an instance, floatable debris could contribute to litter and if there were minor amounts of chemicals used on site, floodwaters may induce rapid dilution. Given the nature of this business, the potential for an acute or chronic level of water quality impact from this site is very low.

**Impacts to Property & Lives**
The action does present potential to impact commercial occupancy of floodplain, but it does not involve residential structures. Moreover, supporting the recovery of small businesses is an essential component of recovery in storm-effected communities, as recognized by the March 2013 Notice in the Federal Register.

Occupy of this floodplain in this developed area has taken place over an extended recent history. According to the [Draft (2010) Orange County Single Jurisdiction Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan](#), seven percent (7%) of the land in the City of Newburgh is in high flood risk areas (page 3a-69). Considering the context of the area – this action represents an activity at only one parcel among others that are located within contiguous floodplain. Thus, funding this project/activity does constitute indirect continued support of floodplain occupancy and development for this business. In the event of severe flooding and associated natural hazards in the future, there is potential for further damage to this property, business disruption, and impacts to this small business.

The in-kind and in-place rehabilitation of the site sustains area property values and community character within a district and neighborhood that has been settled for a long time. It enables
continued viability of this small business, which might otherwise degrade, or cease to exist, without support in rehabilitating facilities, restarting business operations, and/or recouping some revenue lost as a result of the disaster. Similarly, the proposed investment supports area residences by sustaining a community asset. With sustained operations here the market is not disrupted in that customers are required to travel greater distance. Support to sustain this business also helps ensure a diverse economic base. If this project/activity were not funded, there probably would be other undefined, undesirable indirect impacts to lives and the area economy, on a short- and long-term basis, such as relating to economic multipliers and support that this business provides to surrounding businesses, as it purchases products and services.

Cultural resources such as archaeological, historic & recreational aspects
The property impacted is a circa 1900 commercial building. The City of Newburgh is a Certified Local Government; however, the property is not located in a designated historic district. Based on a Section 106 project review, in accordance with a determination by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) of December 5th, 2014, this project will have no adverse effect on historic properties or cultural resources. Moreover, without support, the building resources could degrade and there could be loss of development character and identity for the building and the waterfront and recreation area that it is situated within.

Agricultural, aquacultural, & forestry resources
Orange County has agricultural sites located in flood zone, as well as undeveloped woodlands, plus there is substantial agriculture in Orange County. According to a printing published by Orange County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board titled Agriculture in Orange County, NY, 642 farms in Orange County generated $73.7 million in gross sales in the year 2007. Moreover, the Hudson River Estuary, nearby the site, serves as habitat, including for anadromous species. It is possible that if there is a materials release from this property, it could potentially affect natural resources. However, while it is conceivable that flooding of a business like this could be part of a cumulative influence on such resources, the impact attributable to this use could not have been quantitatively derived, and the potential impact, with planning for and practice of non-structural management practices, is considered minor.

Step 5. Where Practicable, Design or Modify the Proposed Action to Minimize the Potential Adverse Impacts To and From the 100-Year Floodplain and to Restore and Preserve its Natural and Beneficial Functions and Values.
Given that proposed rehabilitation funded by this program is very limited and does not rise to the level of substantial reconstruction, and considering the individual property scale, it is not financially feasible to specify mitigation measures, such as: elevating the building, dry floodproofing it, or promoting strategic retreat, such as through government acquisition. However, it is reasonable to promote business owner awareness of future risks of natural hazards, including flooding, plus the physical, social and economic impacts that potential events could convey, including through potential for future physical damage to property, buildings, supplies, and equipment.

It is reasonable to promote business owner awareness of actions they can take to define and prepare for the impacts of many hazards they may face. There are free resources available to aid such preparedness planning found at the link: http://www.ready.gov/business.
The action to fund structure rehabilitation and business continuity at this business is still practicable in light of exposure to flood hazards in floodplain, possible adverse impacts on floodplain, the extent to which it may aggravate current hazards to other floodplains, and the potential to disrupt natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains. Moreover, the March 2013 notice in the federal register strongly recommends that the grantee engage in a robust policy for ensuring small business recovery affected by the storm events, as such recovery is essential to the continued vitality of surrounding communities. Thus, alternatives preventing or impeding small business recovery are not considered reasonable alternatives.

As this project triggers abbreviated process, there was not a requirement to perform Step 3. This means there is not alternatives analysis that must be considered.

The responsible entity will make available educational materials regarding best practices for businesses located in floodplains. It will also require the business to demonstrate proof of current flood insurance. It is acknowledged there is a continuing responsibility by the responsible entity, New York State Housing Trust Fund/ Division of Homes and Community Renewal, to ensure, to the extent feasible and necessary, compliance with Steps herein.
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USFWS Orange County Rare Species List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Lead Office</th>
<th>Recovery Plan Name</th>
<th>Recovery Plan Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clams</td>
<td>Dwarf wedgemussel</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>New England Ecological</td>
<td>Dwarf Wedge Mussel</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering Plants</td>
<td>Small whorled pogonia (Isotria)</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>New England Ecological</td>
<td>Small Whorled Pogonia</td>
<td>Final Revision 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis)</td>
<td>Entire</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Bloomington Ecological</td>
<td>Indiana Bat (Myotis sodalis)</td>
<td>Final Revision 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Northern Long-Eared Bat</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Green Bay Ecological Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td>Bog (=Muhlenberg) turtle</td>
<td>northern</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Assistant Regional Director-</td>
<td>Recovery Plan for the Bog</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HUD Environmental Standards Review
Introduction:
The purpose of this review is to ensure that the project complies with HUD environmental standards in relation to 24 CFR Part 58.5. Properties that are proposed for use in HUD programs “must be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.”

A desktop review was performed to identify whether the Property referenced in the title of this document complies with the following criteria:

(i) is not listed on an EPA Superfund National Priorities or Comprehensive Environmental Response Superfund National Priorities or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) List, or equivalent State list;

(ii) is not located within 3,000 feet of a toxic or solid waste landfill site;

(iii) does not have an underground storage tank;

(iv) is not known or suspected to be contaminated by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials.

Summary of Findings:
The Subject Property:
The Property is not listed on a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Superfund National Priorities or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) list or equivalent State list, and is not located within 3,000 feet of a toxic or solid waste landfill site. Based on review of the NYS Small Business Program Suplemental Environmental Checklist, the Property does not have an underground storage tank, and is not known or suspected to be contaminated by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials. A review of the EPA Resource Conservation and Recovery (RCRA) and NYSDEC Environmental Remediation databases provide no indication of past uses of the Property that could contaminate the Property or potentially adversely affect the occupants of the Property. The property is listed on the NYS DEC Spills Incidents database as having a spill of an unknown amount of unidentified type of petroleum occurring on March 11, 2002. This spill is reported closed by the NYSDEC on the same day.

Surrounding Properties:
EPA or NY DEC sites within 1000 feet of the subject property:

- Hudson Dist. Inc., dba The Kids Stops is a RCRA site located at 1 North Montgomery Street. This site has no known EPA violations or spills reported on the property.
- Central Hudson Gas & Electric – Montgomery Street Substation is a RCRA site located at the corner of Montgomery and 2nd Street. This site has no known EPA violations or spills reported on the property.

EPA or NYS DEC sites within 1001-3000 feet of the subject property:
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation is a RCRA and NYS DEC Major Facility Bulk Petroleum site located at both 1254 River Road and 1281 River Road. The 1254 River Road site has no EPA violations or compliance issues present over the past five years. The 1281 River road site is reported as being not in violation in regards to the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the past five years, but seven of the past twelve quarters have been in violation with the Clean Water Act (CWA), with an eight quarter being reported as unknown. Of those violations, it’s known that the site has released benzene, toluene, and xylene during one quarter, and oil in grease in the next. None of these were Reportable Non-compliance Statuses.

- The 1254 River Road property site has 13 spills associated with the site. Of these spills, one is not closed and four involved 100 gallons or more. The remaining eight are closed and have less than 100 gallons involved if the amount spilled is recorded.
  - Spill #0108234 involves an unknown amount of gasoline being spilled in 2001 and is not closed.
  - Spill #0112013 involves 2,100 gallons of kerosene being spilled. This report is closed.
  - Spill #0700902 involves 100 gallons of gasoline being spilled. This report is closed.
  - Spill #0812112 involves 200 gallons of #2 fuel oil being spilled. This report is closed.
  - Spill #1207523 involves 795 gallons of “other” being spilled. This report is closed.

- The 1281 River Road property site has 12 spills associated with or near the site. All spills save spill #0002135 from May 19, 2000 have been closed, and that open case involved an unknown amount of gasoline being spilled and methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) being detected.

City of Newburgh – City Hall is a RCRA site located at 83 Broadway. This site has no EPA violations and three reported spills of #2 Fuel Oil on the site. All three reports have been closed.

The Quassaick Creek Estuary Cluster is a Brownfields ACRES site located on 72 acres, with an address of 80 Broadway. A Phase I Environmental Assessment was performed in 2002, with the EPA reporting the assessment found contamination at the property but that cleanup had not started as of now.

Consolidated Iron and Metal is a RCRA/CERCLIS site located at the east end of Washington Street. This site is located approximately 1280 feet down-river of the Subject Property and has one spill reported on May 6, 1994. This spill was closed on May 17, 1994. This site is presently zoned as a mixed use site which includes residential, recreational, and commercial uses; this site was in operations as a metal processor and smelter from the mid-1950s until 1999.

- Between 1996 and 1999, the EPA prosecuted the owner for five separate environmental violations and the owner pled guilty to all five. In 1999, the State of New York took action against the company for RCRA and Clean Water Act violations – including illegal discharge to surface waters. Case was settled and the company agreed to remove all scrap material and cease operations.
In 1998, the EPA was requested to undertake a removal operation for the ash/slag pile generated by the aluminum smelting process. Sampling of this pile found it contaminated with lead and PCBs. The resulting fines pile of ash and slag, estimated at 6,600 tons, was removed in 1999. A second soil pile on the property tested by the EPA was found to be contaminated with lead and PCBs, and eighteen (18) drums of liquid waste were removed from the site. A berm was constructed using site soils to prevent storm water run-off.

In 2003 the EPA cleaned up the site by removing contaminated debris and soil. This included approximately 30,000 tires, 1,450 tons of scrap metal, 380 tons of concrete, 1,962 tons of lead contaminated hazardous soil and debris, demolition and removal of four buildings – the office building and three processing buildings, the pumping and removal of 28,000 gallons of hydraulic oil for recycling, and rough grading of the site. This allowed the EPA to finally begin the remedial investigation/feasibility study sampling program initiated in 2002.

- City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant is an Air Emissions (AFS) and Water Discharge (PCS) property located at 2 Renwick Street. This property is in compliance with the AFS program, but in the past three years it has been in violation of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for a significant portion of time. Out of the 12 quarters included in those three years, 9 are in violation; of those 9, two are in significant violation. There have been three formal enforcement actions from New York State, including a $16,000.00 penalty in 2011, and one EPA federal case. As of the inspection on 07/12/2013, the site is in compliance with the CWA.

- St Luke’s Cornwall Hospital is registered with the EPA AFS program and is an active NYS DEC petroleum bulk storage site, with two 10,000 gallon underground tanks on the premises. This site has 10 spills reported, all of which have been closed.

All other EPA or NYS DEC sites within 3000 feet of this site have no EPA violations, no open spill reports for their property, and are located a minimum of 1000 feet from the Subject Property.

Conclusion:
While the property is listed on the NY Spills Incidents database, the spill was closed a decade ago. Most sites that could impact the Subject Property are downriver, but due to the fact that the Hudson is influenced by the tides, there are several sites could potentially impact the Subject Property. However, even though the scope of work involves some ground disturbance, no soil will be removed and all disturbance will be relatively minor. As such, even though the CERCLIS and two RCRA sites are near the Subject Property, based on the scope of work and the amount of ground disturbance, the project work is not expected to have significantly disturbed contaminated soils or groundwater. Therefore, a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) or Phase II Environmental Site Assessment is not warranted. As such, no further action is required at this time. Maps, DEC, and EPA reports are provided at the end of this report.

Data Sources:
Tectonic has reviewed the following sources to make the above determinations: Hazardous Waste records contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRA), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
(CERCLIS) for sites listed under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA (otherwise known as Superfund)), EPA’s Toxic Release Inventory database (TRI), and the EPA Radiation Information Database (RADInfo). RCRA includes data on small and large quantity hazardous waste material generators and handlers. EPA’s Toxic Release Inventory provides information on toxic chemical releases and waste management activities by certain industries. The RADInfo database provides information about facilities that are regulated by the U.S. EPA for radiation and radioactivity.

Tectonic reviewed the NYS DEC Remedial Site Database to assess whether the site is registered as a NYS Superfund or Environmental Restoration site. The DEC Remedial Database includes records of sites that are part of the NYS Superfund, Brownfield Cleanup, Environmental Restoration, and Voluntary Cleanup Programs. The database also includes a Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites. Tectonic reviewed the Small Business Program Supplemental Environmental Checklist to determine if the Property has an underground storage tank (which is not a residential fuel tank), or other registered storage tanks. The NYSDEC Bulk Storage Database was reviewed for records of facilities that are or have been regulated according to one of the Bulk Storage Programs- Petroleum Bulk Storage, Chemical Bulk Storage, or Major Oil Facility. The NYSDEC Spill Incident Database was used to determine the potential effects of spills on or near the Property. A desktop review of Google Earth was used in conjunction with a map of active municipal landfills (provided by the DEC), and a list of landfills provided by the DEC to determine whether a non-active or active landfill is located within 3000 feet of the Property.
Maps
Figure 1: Active municipal solid waste landfills in New York (map provide by NYSDEC).
Figure 2: Hazardous waste sites and handlers (green marker), toxic release sites (blue marker), Superfund and brownfield sites (orange markers), and facilities regulated by the U.S. EPA for radiation and radioactivity (pink marker). The project property is indicated by a purple cross symbol, and a 3000 foot buffer around the Property is represented by the red circle.
Figure 3: Environmental remediation sites listed in the NYSDEC Environmental Remediation Database. Brownfield cleanups are depicted by dark red symbols, Environmental Restoration Programs by yellow symbols, State Superfund sites by black symbols, RCRA sites by white symbols, and Voluntary Cleanup sites by gray symbols.
Figure 4: Properties listed on the NYSDEC Bulk Storage Database. Petroleum Bulk Storage is represented by green markers, Chemical Bulk Storage by purple markers, and Major Oil Storage Facilities by red markers.
Hudson Dist. Inc., dba The Kids Stop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STREET</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>TYPE OF CONTACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barry Greiser</td>
<td>1 N Montgomery St</td>
<td>Newburgh</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>12550</td>
<td>9145622616</td>
<td>Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO BOX 2269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are based on data extracted on SEP-12-2014.

Note: Click on the CORPORATE LINK value for links to that company's environmental web pages.

Click on the MAPPING INFO value to obtain mapping information for the facility.

The facility information data within the output below can be downloaded in a comma-separated value file for use in Excel by clicking here:

Go To Bottom Of The Page
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road RCRA detailed facilities report

### Facility Summary
GLOBAL COS LLC NORTH TERMINAL
1254 RIVER ROAD AT HUDSON RIVER, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12553

#### Facility Information (FRS)
- FRS ID: 1100001679541
- EPA Region: 02
- Latitude: 41.485167
- Longitude: -74.009944
- Industry: N

#### Regulatory Interests
- Clean Air Act: Operating Major (366710100094)
- Clean Water Act: Minor Permit Effective (NY0995372)
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act: Active (H) SQG (NYD045665262)
- Safe Drinking Water Act: No Information

#### Also Reports
- Air Emissions Inventory (EIS): 6428211
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions (eGGR): No Information
- Toxic Releases (TRI): 12553966M00024

### Enforcement and Compliance Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Incp (Yrs)</th>
<th>Date of Last Inspection</th>
<th>Current Compliance Status</th>
<th>Ohrs in Violation</th>
<th>Inf. Enforcement Actions (Yrs)</th>
<th>Fomal Enforcement Actions (Yrs)</th>
<th>Penalties from Formal Enforcement Actions (Yrs)</th>
<th>EPA Cases (Yrs)</th>
<th>Penalties from EPA Cases (Yrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>05/07/2014</td>
<td>No Violation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/09/2009</td>
<td>No Violation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/10/2012</td>
<td>No Violation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Facility/System Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Universe</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Permit Expiration Date</th>
<th>Indian Country</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3007100094</td>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>SEP, NGR, NPS, 다만 (SECTION 63 MESHAPS), TITTLE V PERMIT</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>41.495167</td>
<td>-74.099944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>84082111</td>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>41.495139</td>
<td>-74.000333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>NY0093972</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>NAPES Individual Permit</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>00/31/2020</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>41.495167</td>
<td>-74.099944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP13</td>
<td>125526WXRTRIVER</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Active (H)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>41.53700</td>
<td>-74.04095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility Contact Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>Facility Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIS</td>
<td>11000157E41</td>
<td>GLOBAL COS LLC NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD AT HUDSON RIVER, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>3007100094</td>
<td>GLOBAL COMPANIES - NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD, HUDSON RIVER, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIS 2011</td>
<td>84082111</td>
<td>WAREX TERMINALS CORP - NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD, HUDSON RIVER, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>NY0093972</td>
<td>GLOBAL COMPANIES-NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>125526WXRTRIVER</td>
<td>GLOBAL COS LLC NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROR</td>
<td>NY009565262</td>
<td>GLOBAL COMPANIES NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD, NEW WINDSOR, NY 12583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility SIC Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>SIC Code</th>
<th>SIC Desc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>125526WXRTRIVER</td>
<td>5171</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>3007100094</td>
<td>5171</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>NY0093972</td>
<td>5171</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility NAICS Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>NAICS Code</th>
<th>NAICS Desc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>125526WXRTRIVER</td>
<td>44710</td>
<td>Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>3007100094</td>
<td>44710</td>
<td>Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIS 2011</td>
<td>84082111</td>
<td>44710</td>
<td>Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROR</td>
<td>NY009565262</td>
<td>424710</td>
<td>Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility Tribe Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribal Name</th>
<th>EPA Tribal ID</th>
<th>Distance to Tribe (miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No data records returned.
### Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road Compliance Monitoring History (5 Years)

#### Compliance Monitoring History (5 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Source ID</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Inspection Type</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>N005666562</td>
<td>RCR</td>
<td>COMPLIANCE EVALUATION, INSPECTION-OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>11/19/2013</td>
<td>No Violations Or Compliance Issues Were Found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>NH0050072</td>
<td>SCP</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>11/09/2009</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>04/06/2014</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE CONDUCTED FC/ON-SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>05/29/2010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>TITLE V COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION REVIEW BY STATE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td>Findings IN COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/26/2013</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/26/2014</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>06/05/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/20/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>05/10/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>TITLE V COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION REVIEW BY STATE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/26/2013</td>
<td>Findings IN COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>07/28/2010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE CONDUCTED FC/ON-SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>05/24/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/25/2010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>TITLE V COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION REVIEW BY STATE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>05/20/2010</td>
<td>Findings IN COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>06/21/2010</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>TITLE V COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION REVIEW BY STATE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/08/2011</td>
<td>Findings IN COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>08/01/2011</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE CONDUCTED FC/ON-SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>09/27/2014</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>07/31/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>STATE POLICE/OFF SITE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>07/26/2012</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>3607100004</td>
<td>APS</td>
<td>TITLE V COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION REVIEW BY STATE</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>01/29/2014</td>
<td>Findings IN COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entries in italics are not considered inspections in official counts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Program/Pollutant/Violation Type</th>
<th>QTR 1</th>
<th>QTR 2</th>
<th>QTR 3</th>
<th>QTR 4</th>
<th>QTR 5</th>
<th>QTR 6</th>
<th>QTR 7</th>
<th>QTR 8</th>
<th>QTR 9</th>
<th>QTR 10</th>
<th>QTR 11</th>
<th>QTR 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Facility-Level Status</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPV History</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSR</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>NSPS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>MACT (SECTION 63 NSRSPS)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>TITLE V PERMITS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Facility-Level Status</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
<td>No Viol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNC/BMC History</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Quarter 13 is draft unofficial and has not been fully quality assured. [Read more]
Consolidated Iron and Metal
RCRA Summary
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Handler ID: NY002455756

Results are based on data extracted on SEP-12-2014
Note: Click on the CORPORATE LINK value for links to that company's environmental web pages.
Click on the MAPPING INFO value to obtain mapping information for the facility.

The facility information data within the output below can be downloaded in a comma-separated value file for use in Excel by clicking here.
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HANDLER NAME: CONSOLIDATED IRON AND METAL  HANDLER ID: NY002455756
STREET: EAST END OF WASHINGTON STREET  FACILITY INFORMATION: View Facility Information
CITY: NEWBURGH  CORPORATE LINK: No
STATE: NY  COUNTY: ORANGE
ZIP CODE: 12550  MAPPING INFO: MAP
EPA REGION:  41.40802  LATITUDE: -74.00903

CONTACT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STREET</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>ZIP CODE</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>TYPE OF CONTACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEIL NORELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7323214257</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL FEBBOLA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7323214342</td>
<td>Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL FEBBOLA</td>
<td>2800 WOODBRIDGE AVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>08887</td>
<td>7323214342</td>
<td>Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEIL NORELL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7323214257</td>
<td>Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL FEBBOLA</td>
<td>FOOT OF WASHINGTON ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12550</td>
<td>7323214342</td>
<td>Permit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consolidated Iron and Metal

CERCLIS Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERCLIS EPA ID:</th>
<th>NY0002455756</th>
<th>SITE NAME:</th>
<th>EAST END OF WASHINGTON STREET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STREET ADDRESS:</td>
<td>EAST END OF WASHINGTON STREET</td>
<td>FACILITY INFORMATION</td>
<td>View facility information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY NAME:</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE ABBR:</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>FEDERAL FACILITY:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP CODE:</td>
<td>12550</td>
<td>NPL STATUS:</td>
<td>Currently on the Final NPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY NAME:</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATE LINK:</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>RECORD OF DECISION (ROD) INFO:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATITUDE:</td>
<td>41.49802</td>
<td>EPA REGIONAL LINK:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGITUDE:</td>
<td>-74.60563</td>
<td>MAPPING INFO:</td>
<td>MAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITE SAGA:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Enforcement and Cleanup Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Action ID</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Planned Outcome</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEP/RAU EVALUATION CHECKLIST</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>03/01/2013</td>
<td>03/28/2013</td>
<td>EPA In-House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMEDIAL ACTION</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>09/19/2008</td>
<td>03/15/2012</td>
<td>Special Account Financed Action – EPA</td>
<td>Final RA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMEDIAL DESIGN</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>02/14/2008</td>
<td>10/06/2009</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN ORDER ON CONSENT</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>04/01/2009</td>
<td>08/02/2009</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSENT DECREE</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>02/03/2009</td>
<td>11/06/2012</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>11/16/2006</td>
<td>01/29/2009</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td>Withdrawal of Application by Requesting Party</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD/RA NEGOTIATIONS</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>02/11/2007</td>
<td>07/17/2008</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN ORDER ON CONSENT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>07/24/2007</td>
<td>08/29/2007</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD OF DECISION</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>10/04/2006</td>
<td>11/06/2006</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Final Remedy Selected at Site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBINED R/FS</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>02/22/2002</td>
<td>10/04/2006</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSENT DECREE</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>08/15/2004</td>
<td>11/06/2004</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMOVAL</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>08/21/2002</td>
<td>11/06/2003</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Stabilized</td>
<td>Time Critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SETTLEMENT (GENERIC)</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>09/17/2002</td>
<td>11/06/2003</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAIM IN BANKRUPTCY</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>05/11/2001</td>
<td>05/17/2002</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCEEDING</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>12/30/1998</td>
<td>08/19/2002</td>
<td>Federal Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINAL LISTING ON NPL</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>06/15/2001</td>
<td>06/15/2001</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIS PACKAGE</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>10/04/2000</td>
<td>12/15/2000</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Being considered for proposal to the NPL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSAL TO NPL</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>12/01/2006</td>
<td>12/01/2006</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>08/19/1999</td>
<td>10/04/2000</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Higher priority for further assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>08/19/1999</td>
<td>10/04/2000</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Higher priority for further assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMOVAL</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>08/03/1999</td>
<td>12/15/1999</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Cleaned up</td>
<td>Time Critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>09/11/1999</td>
<td>09/18/1999</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td>Admin Record Compiled for a Removal Event</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCOVERY</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>09/11/1999</td>
<td>09/18/1999</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMOVAL ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>08/03/1998</td>
<td>04/13/1999</td>
<td>EPA Fund-Financed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant

AFS report

---

### Plant Information

**NEWBURGH WWTP**
2 REMNICK ST
NEWBURGH, NY 12550
EPA Plant ID: 110030045397

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Status:</th>
<th>OPERATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State/County Compliance Source:</td>
<td>3507145574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region Code:</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary SIC Code:</td>
<td>4952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary SIC Description:</td>
<td>WATER SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code:</td>
<td>231120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAICS Code Description:</td>
<td>WATER Supply and Treatment Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV Flag:</td>
<td>Potential Uncontrolled Disposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Registration Number:</td>
<td>3507145574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facility Code Description:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Code:</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Code Description:</td>
<td>Managed Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status:</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Status Description:</td>
<td>In Compliance with Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date Plant Information Last Updated: 09/07/2014
City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant
Clean Water Act Report Summary
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Facility Summary

NEWBURGH (C) WWTP
2 RENWICK STREET, NEWBURGH, NY 12550

Facility Information (FRS)
FRS ID: 11000204412
EPA Region: 02
Latitude: 41.494333
Longitude: -74.004861
Industry:
Indian County: N
Go To Facility/System Characteristics

Regulatory Interests
Clean Air Act: No Information
Clean Water Act: Major, Permit Expired (NY00026310)
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Inactive (NVR000004545)
Safe Drinking Water Act: No Information

Also Reports
Air Emissions Inventory (EIS): No Information
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (eGERT): No Information
Toxic Releases (TRU): No Information

Enforcement and Compliance Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Impacts (25 Years)</th>
<th>Date of Last Inspection</th>
<th>Current Compliance Status</th>
<th>Qtrs in No. of Violation</th>
<th>Qtrs in Significant Violation</th>
<th>Informal Enforcement Actions (5 years)</th>
<th>Formal Enforcement Actions (5 years)</th>
<th>Penalties from Formal Enforcement Actions (5 years)</th>
<th>EPA Credits (5 Years)</th>
<th>Penalties from EPA Credits (5 Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>07/12/2013</td>
<td>No Violation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RODA</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No Violation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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### CWA Informal and Formal Enforcement Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Date of Violation</th>
<th>Type of Action</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>Notice of Violation</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>09/10/2019</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant</td>
<td>09/15/2019</td>
<td>Notice of Violation</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>09/15/2019</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Newburgh Waste Water Treatment Plant</td>
<td>09/20/2019</td>
<td>Notice of Violation</td>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>09/20/2019</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Quarterly 1.5% interest added and has not been fully paid.*
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Reports
Subject Property
6 Front Street
Newburgh, NY

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0111675

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 03/11/2002  Spill Time: 12:57:00 PM
Call Received Date: 03/11/2002  Call Received Time: 12:57:00 PM

Location
Spill Name: 6 FRONT STREET
Address: 6 FRONT STREET
City: NEWBURGH  County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN PETROLEUM</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Surface Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Unknown
Source: Unknown
Watershed: HUDSON RIVER

Record Close

Date Spill Closed: 03/11/2002

"Date spill closed" means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either: a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spill Number</th>
<th>Date Spill Reported</th>
<th>Spill Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 9900151</td>
<td>04/03/1989</td>
<td>CITY HALL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>83 BROADWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 9935013</td>
<td>02/25/1999</td>
<td>NEWBURGH CITY HALL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>83 BROADWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 9814248</td>
<td>02/26/1999</td>
<td>NEWBURGH CITY HALL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>83 BROADWAY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Spill Incidents Database Search Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spill Number</th>
<th>Date Spill Reported</th>
<th>Spill Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11/14/2001</td>
<td>WAREX NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>01/10/2002</td>
<td>WAREX NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>09/21/2002</td>
<td>WAREX TERMINAL CORP</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>07/28/2005</td>
<td>WAREX NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>06/08/2005</td>
<td>TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>06/02/2006</td>
<td>WAREX TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12/14/2006</td>
<td>WAREX NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>04/23/2007</td>
<td>WAREX TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>1254 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>06/27/2009</td>
<td>HUDSON RIVER</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10/08/2010</td>
<td>GLOBAL CO LLC TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11/08/2010</td>
<td>GLOBAL NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER AVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10/30/2012</td>
<td>GLOBAL NEWBURGH NORTH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>04/29/2014</td>
<td>GLOBAL NORTH DRILL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1254 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road
Spill #0108234
Gasoline – Not closed

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0108234

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 05/01/2001  Spill Time: 08:45:00 AM
Call Received Date: 11/14/2001  Call Received Time: 08:52:00 AM

Location
Spill Name: WAREX NORTH TERMINAL
Address: 1254 RIVER RD
City: NEW WINDSOR  County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Unknown
Source: Major Facility > 400,000 gal
Waterbody:

Record Close
Date Spill Closed: Not closed
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road
Spill #0112013
2,100 gallons Kerosene - Closed

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0112013

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 03/21/2002  Spill Time: 11:05:00 AM
Call Received Date: 03/21/2002  Call Received Time: 11:43:00 AM

Location
Spill Name: WAREX TERMINAL CORP
Address: 1254 RIVER RD
City: New Windsor  County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>2100.00 Gal</td>
<td>Surface Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Equipment Failur
Source: Vessel
Waterbody: HUDSON RIVER

Record Close
Date Spill Closed: 03/21/2002

"Date Spill Closed" means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either: a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road
Spill #0700902
100 gallons gasoline - Closed

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0700902

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 04/23/2007  Spill Time: 02:50:00 AM
Call Received Date: 04/23/2007  Call Received Time: 03:16:00 AM

Location
Spill Name: WAREX TERMINAL
Address: 1254 RIVER ROAD
City: NEWBURGH  County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>100.00 Gal</td>
<td>Surface Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Human Error
Source: Commercial/Industrial
Waterbody: HUDSON RIVER

Record Close

Date Spill Closed: 04/24/2007
"Date Spill Closed" means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either: a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road
Spill #0812112
200 gallons #2 fuel oil - Closed

New York State Agencies
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record
Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0812112

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 02/07/2009  Spill Time: 09:34:00 AM
Call Received Date: 02/07/2009  Call Received Time: 10:07:00 AM

Location
Spill Name: HUDSON RIVER
Address: 1254 RIVER RD
City: NEW WINDSOR  County: ORANGE

Spill Description
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#2 Fuel Oil</td>
<td>200.00 Gal.</td>
<td>Surface Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Other
Source: Unknown
Waterbody:

Record Close
Date Spill Closed: 02/27/2009

“Date Spill Closed” means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either, a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1254 River Road
Spill #1207523
795 gallons “other” - Closed

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information
- DEC Region: 3
- Spill Number: 1207523

Spill Date/Time
- Spill Date: 03/30/2012  Spill Time: 08:40:00 AM
- Call Received Date: 03/30/2012  Call Received Time: 10:12:00 AM

Location
- Spill Name: GLOBAL NEWBURGH NORTH TERMINAL
- Address: 1254 RIVER RD
- City: NEW WINDSOR  County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>795.00 Gal.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Other
Sources: Commercial/Industrial
Waterbody:

Record Close

- Date Spill Closed: 03/14/2013

"Date Spill Closed" means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either: a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.
### Spill Incidents Database Search Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spill Number</th>
<th>Date Spill Reported</th>
<th>Spill Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 0002135</td>
<td>05/19/2000</td>
<td>EXXON MOBIL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 0106393</td>
<td>09/19/2001</td>
<td>EXXON MOBIL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 0111718</td>
<td>03/12/2002</td>
<td>EXXON MOBIL/NEWBURG TERM</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 0111967</td>
<td>03/20/2002</td>
<td>EXXON MOBIL OIL CORP</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 0201622</td>
<td>08/14/2002</td>
<td>EXXON/MOBIL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 0798899</td>
<td>12/12/2007</td>
<td>NEWBURGH TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 0901922</td>
<td>01/17/2009</td>
<td>GLOBAL TERMINAL</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 1093335</td>
<td>11/30/2010</td>
<td>DRILL DRILL DRILL STORAGE TANK FARM-DOCK</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. 1114443</td>
<td>03/21/2012</td>
<td>GLOBAL TERMINAL ALARM</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. 1201172</td>
<td>05/06/2012</td>
<td>GLOBAL TERMINAL 3-1480</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>1281 RIVER ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 1203003</td>
<td>06/29/2012</td>
<td>TO HUDSON RIVER</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEW WINDSOR</td>
<td>NEAR 1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. 1306976</td>
<td>10/03/2013</td>
<td>GLOBAL CORP - TANK FARM</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>NEWBURGH</td>
<td>1281 RIVER RD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Global Companies LLC North Terminal/Warex Terminals Corporation
1281 River Road
Spill #0002135
Gasoline/MTBE – Not closed

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record
MTBE detected at this location. Click here for more information on MTBE.

Administrative Information
DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 0002135

Spill Date/Time
Spill Date: 05/17/2000 Spill Time: 12:00:00 PM
Call Received Date: 05/19/2000 Call Received Time: 02:52:00 PM

Location
Spill Name: EXXON MOBIL
Address: 1281 RIVER RD
City: NEW WINDSOR Country: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTBE (METHYL- TERT-BUTYL ETHER)</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Equipment Failure
Source: Commercial/Industrial
Waterbody:

Record Close
Date Spill Closed: Not closed
Consolidated Iron & Metal Spill Report

Spill Incidents Database Search Details

Spill Record

Administrative Information

DEC Region: 3
Spill Number: 9401771

Spill Date/Time

Spill Date: 05/06/1994   Spill Time: 08:45:00 AM
Call Received Date: 05/06/1994   Call Received Time: 11:12:00 AM

Location

Spill Name: CONSOLIDATED IRON & METAL
Address: 1 WASHINGTON STREET
City: NEWBURGH   County: ORANGE

Spill Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Spilled</th>
<th>Amount Spilled</th>
<th>Resource Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN PETROLEUM</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cause: Housekeeping
Source: Commercial Industrial
Waterbody:

Record Close

Date Spill Closed: 05/17/1994

"Date Spill Closed" means the date the spill case was closed by the case manager in the Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department). The spill case was closed because either: a) the records and data submitted indicate that the necessary cleanup and removal actions have been completed and no further remedial activities are necessary, or b) the case was closed for administrative reasons (e.g., multiple reports of a single spill consolidated into a single spill number). The Department however reserves the right to require additional remedial work in relation to the spill, if in the future it determines that further action is necessary.

If you have questions about this reported incident, please contact the Regional Office where the incident occurred.
Bulk Storage Database Search Details

**Facility Information**
- **Site No.:** 3-103861
- **Status:** Active
- **Expiration Date:** 03/24/2017
- **Site Type:** PBS
- **Site Name:** ST LUKES CORNWALL HOSPITAL
- **Address:** 70 DUBOIS STREET
- **Locality:** NEWBURGH
- **State:** NY
- **Zipcode:** 12550
- **County:** ORANGE

**Owner(s) Information**
- **Facility Owner:** ST LUKES CORNWALL HOSPITAL
  70 DUBOIS ST, NEWBURGH, NY, 12550
- **Mail Contact:** ST LUKES CORNWALL HOSPITAL
  70 DUBOIS STREET, NEWBURGH, NY, 12550

**Tank Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tank No</th>
<th>Tank Location</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Capacity (Gal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOR</td>
<td>Underground</td>
<td>In Service</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLD</td>
<td>Underground</td>
<td>Closed Prior to 03/1991</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0U</td>
<td>Underground</td>
<td>In Service</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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State Historic Preservation Office Determination
December 5, 2014

Mr. Thomas J. King
Legal Counsel & Certifying Officer
Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery
252 Beaver Street
New York, NY 10004
(via email only)

Re: CDBG-SR, HCR, GOSR
NY Rising Small Business Grant Program /4 Projects
Statewide

Dear Mr. King:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the projects in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your projects. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the projects pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act and/or the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8).

The New York State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed each of the undertakings submitted and our comments are outlined in the attached findings sheet.

If I can be of any further assistance do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3263.

Sincerely,

John A. Bonafide
Director,
Technical Preservation Services Bureau

enc: Findings Attachment
Finding Attachment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>SHPO Comment</th>
<th>SHPO Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>059-ED-419-13</td>
<td>4076 Merrick Road</td>
<td>Hempstead &amp; Oyster Bay</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>No Historic Properties Affected</td>
<td>14PR05123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-ED-32847-2013</td>
<td>467 East Lake Drive</td>
<td>East Hampton</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>No Historic Properties Affected</td>
<td>14PR05124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>071-ED-32697-2013</td>
<td>6 Front Street</td>
<td>Newburgh</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>No Historic Properties Affected</td>
<td>14PR05125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-ED-32945-2013</td>
<td>32 Lighthouse Road</td>
<td>Southampton</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
<td>14PR05126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SEQR Compliance Documentation
### Instructions for Completing

**Part 1 - Project Information.** The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

### Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information

**NYS SHARS Project Case #071-ED-32897-2013 within NYS Small Business Storm Recovery Grant Program of NY 2013 CDBG-DR Program**

| Name of Action or Project: | Lamcom Associates |
| Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): | 6 Front Street, City of Newburgh, Orange County NY 12550 |
| Brief Description of Proposed Action: | This proposed project involves Community Development Block Grant Program-Disaster Relief (CDBG-DR) funding for building and site repair/rehabilitation for a scope of physical work including reimbursement for $6,712.66 for HVAC repair and replacement, step repairs, shelving repairs and minor foundation repair (fill in cracks). Additionally, $48,330.89 is allocated for future expenses including brick, retaining wall, concrete walls, foundation repair, elevator generator replacement and HVAC replacement and repairs. The retaining wall will be located immediately adjacent to the eastern edge of the existing parking lot on the north side of the building and will require ground disturbance. This wall will be built using interlocking blocks and will be approximately four (4) feet high near the building and will gradually taper to two (2) feet high as it reaches the northern extent of the parking lot. In total the retaining wall will be about 115 feet in length. |

| Name of Applicant or Sponsor: | New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation/ GOSR |
| Telephone: | (212) 480-4644 |
| E-Mail: | Daniel.Greene@stormrecovery.ny.gov |
| Address: | Governor's Office of Storm Recovery, 25 Beaver Street, 5th Floor |

| City/PO: | New York |
| State: | New York |
| Zip Code: | 10004 |

1. **Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation?**  
   If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.  
   - [ ] NO  
   - [x] YES

2. **Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?**  
   If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:  
   - [x] YES  
   - [ ] NO

3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  
   - [ ] NO  
   - [x] YES
   
   b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?  
   - [ ] NO  
   - [x] YES
   
   c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?  
   - [ ] NO  
   - [x] YES
   
   - [ ] 0.08 (approx.) acres
   
   - [ ] 0.02 (approx.) acres
   
   - [ ] 0.09 (known) acres

4. **Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.**  
   - [x] Urban  
   - [ ] Rural (non-agriculture)  
   - [x] Industrial  
   - [x] Commercial  
   - [ ] Residential (suburban)  
   
   - [ ] Forest  
   - [ ] Agriculture  
   - [x] Aquatic  
   - [x] Other (specify): Waterfront-Mixed Use  
   
   - [ ] Parkland
5. Is the proposed action,
   a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?  
      [NO] [YES] [N/A]
   b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?  
      [NO] [YES] [N/A]

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?  
   [NO] [YES] [N/A]

7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?  
   If Yes, identify:  
   [YES]  

8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?  
       [YES] [NO]  
   b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?  
       [YES] [NO]  
   c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?  
       [YES] [NO]  

9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?  
   If the proposed action will exceed state requirements, describe design features and technologies:  
   Ministerial local permits issued in order to advance work on building site will provide for work in compliance with State code.  
   [YES] [NO]  

10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?  
    If No, describe method for providing potable water:  
    [YES] [NO]  

11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?  
    If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:  
    [YES] [NO]  

12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?  
        [YES] [NO]  
   b. Is the proposed action located in an archaeological sensitive area?  
        [YES] [NO]  

13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?  
        [YES] [NO]  
   b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?  
        If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:  
        November 3, 2014 letter from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation entitled 'Jurisdictional Determination CHS492' confirmed the action is not within regulated State wetland (and nor is project in Federal Wetland as documented in NEPA ERR for this project).  
        [YES] [NO]  

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:  
    [YES] [NO]  
    - Shoreline  
    - Forest  
    - Agricultural/field/lowlands  
    - Wetland  
    - Urban  
    - Suburban  

15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?  
    [YES] [NO]  

16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?  
    [YES] [NO]  

17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  
    If Yes,  
    a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?  
       [YES] [NO]  
    b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?  
       [YES] [NO]  
    No change in normal and small stormwater flows within the existing open drainage configuration are expected with the construction of a new retaining wall.  
    [YES] [NO]  
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18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?
   If Yes, explain purpose and size: ☑ ☐

19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?
   If Yes, describe: ☑ ☐

20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?
   If Yes, describe: See the NEPA ERR Attachment entitled 'Case 071-ED-32697-2013 HUD Environmental Standards Review' ☐ ☑

I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

Applicant/sponsor name: Daniel Greene, Certifying Officer & Asst. General Counsel  Date: 12/19/2014
Signature: [Signature]

PRINT FORM  Page 3 of 3
**Disclaimer:** The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.

| Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| Part 1 / Question 12a [National Register of Historic Places] | No |
| Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites] | Yes |
| Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies] | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal] | Yes |
| Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain] | No |
| Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site] | Yes |
**Short Environmental Assessment Form**  
**Part 2 - Impact Assessment**

**Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.**  
Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept “Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Will the proposed action impact existing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. public / private water supplies?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered “moderate to large impact may occur”, or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC), as sole involved agency, with SEQR administration by NYS Governor's Office of Storm Recovery (GOSR), reviewed this EAF and the Environmental Review Record, thoroughly analyzed relevant areas of potential environmental concern, and determines that the proposed action described herein will not have a significant effect on the environment and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement WILL NOT be prepared. This finding is based on rationale that no question in this SEAF’s Part 2 was answered "moderate to large impact may occur" and it is consistent with decision making criteria in NYCRR 617, including 617.7(c).

This project involves CDBG-DR program funding for building and site repair/rehabilitation and mitigation. The total award, including ‘Exempt’ activities is $59,561.42 ‘Non-exempt’ physical damages sustained per Program materials total $52,848.74 and the scope of work includes reimbursement for $6,517.85 for HVAC repair and replacement, step and shelving repairs and foundation repair (fill-in cracks). Additionally, $46,330.89 is allocated for future expenses including brick work, a retaining wall, concrete walls work, foundation repair, elevator repair, generator replacement and HVAC replacement and repairs.

The retaining wall will be new and is intended to reduce erosion or subsidence internal to the property. The wall will be built using interlocking blocks and be approximately four (4) feet high near the building and gradually taper to two (2) feet as it reaches the northern extent of the lot. Retaining wall length will be about 115 feet. There are not substantial slopes on the site, it is comprised of generally flat terrain, but the wall, with segmented construction, is aimed at preventing subsidence within interior adjacent parking lot and next to the building, as well as provide a defined, landscaped edge next to the parking so that people do not drive onto adjacent lawn. The wall is proposed immediately next to the eastern edge of the existing parking lot on the north side of the building and limited ground disturbance will be required for its construction and the wall shall not encroach on any easement or right of way.

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Governor's Office of Storm Recovery (acting for NYS HTFC)  December 19, 2014
Name of Lead Agency
Daniel Greene, Esq.
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Asst. General Counsel & Certifying Officer
Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)
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Specific Rationale Supporting This Determination of a Negative Declaration (continued)

Part of the property is located in 100 year floodplain; however, the building is not within Special Flood Hazard Area AE Zone, it is in Zone X (shaded). The external site work is considered to be at least partially within SHFA AE and ground disturbance expected to repair the grounds and construct a new retaining wall would occur on the top surface of the land in a location by the parking lot where there is considered to be prior existing disturbance and not likely a presence of stratified, undisturbed soils, but more likely urban fill type soils. Excavations to this ground surface will be retained on-site.

There are mapped wetlands adjacent to the site, representing the edge of the Hudson River, based on US Fish & Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory maps. There is no construction activity contemplated within or next to such wetlands; thus, there was no direct consultation with USFWS. NYSDEC regulated wetland, also associated with the River, is shown in a NYSDEC environmental resource map, however, according to a cited letter from NYSDEC Division of Environmental Permits to the landowner, it is confirmed that proposed work will not require a Protection of Waters Permit as it is greater than 100 feet from the Hudson. It also states the site is not within a State protected freshwater wetland. Thus, there will be no direct impacts to state and federal regulated wetlands.

According to information on NY State Environmental Resource Mapper at http://www.dec.ny.gov/imsmaps/ERM/viewer.htm, the site is within NYSDEC’s designated rare, threatened or endangered species generalized review area per NYS Natural Heritage Program. An Information Request was sent on October 20, 2014 for a list of threatened or endangered species on or within proximity to the Site, however, a response has not yet been received. USFWS also lists several rare species for Orange County; yet, the proposed scope includes in-kind rehabilitation/repairs to an existing building and construction of a retaining wall in a previously disturbed area. The property is in an urban area and does not appear to support or provide habitat for any rare, threatened or endangered plant or animal species. Pending a response from NYSDEC, it is anticipated the project would not affect any natural habitats containing such species or any designated or proposed critical habitat.

According to the Case 071-ED-32697-2013 HUD Environmental Standards Review, while the property is listed on the NY Spills Incidents database, the spill was closed a decade ago and most sites that could impact the Subject Property are downriver, but due to the Hudson’s tidal nature, there are several sites that could potentially impact the Subject Property. However, even though the scope involves some ground disturbance, no soil will be removed and all disturbance will be relatively minor. As such, even though there are CERCLIS and two RCRA sites near the Subject Property, based on the scope of work and amount of ground disturbance, the project is not expected to have significantly disturbed contaminated soils or groundwater. Therefore, a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) or Phase II Environmental Site Assessment is not warranted. As such, no further action is required at this time.

Finally, the project was evaluated for compliance with the criteria set forth in Article 6, Environmental Conservation Law, “Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act”. This action does not involve acquisition, new construction of, or expansion or reconstruction by a Covered Agency of infrastructure such as roads, sewers or sidewalks that are open and accessible to the public.

End of Document
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Other Supporting Documentation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction work:</th>
<th>Total Loss:</th>
<th>Existing Funding:</th>
<th>Unfunded Amount:</th>
<th>Proposed Grant:</th>
<th>Unmet Need (Funding Gap)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair (Reimb.)</td>
<td>$5,596.61</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$5,596.61</td>
<td>$5,596.61</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair (Future)</td>
<td>$921.24</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$921.24</td>
<td>$921.24</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Assistance</td>
<td>$46,330.89</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$46,330.89</td>
<td>$46,330.89</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES</td>
<td>$52,848.74</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$52,848.74</td>
<td>$52,848.74</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| [A] Robs Plumbing & Heating                           | HVAC Repair/Replacement | 10.31.12 | 4852.7 |
| [B] Mr. Handyman                                      | Repair steps and replace shelving | 10.31.12 | 419.53 |
| [C] Mr. Handyman                                      | Fill foundation wall cracks | 11.7.12 | 324.38 |
| Rigioni Construction                                  | Exterior Brick Protector | TBD     | 5695.27 |
| Rigioni Construction                                  | Retaining wall and stairs  | TBD     | 13028.04 |
| Rigioni Construction                                  | Raised concrete walls in front of building | TBD | 4280.58 |
| Rigioni Construction                                  | Repair cracks in foundation walls | TBD | 921.24 |
| Rigioni Construction                                  | Elevating Generator | TBD | 2242.62 |
| Calaluca Plumbing & Heating                           | Repair, Replacement and elevation of all HVAC components | TBD | 21084.38 |

Subtotals $5,596.61 $921.24 $ - $46,330.89

Grand Total $6,517.85 $ - $46,330.89
PUBLIC NOTICE

COMBINED NOTICE OF FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (FONSI) AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO REQUEST RELEASE OF FUNDS (NOI-RROF)

December 19, 2014

Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery
25 Beaver Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 480-4644

Name of Responsible Entity and Recipient: This combined notice pertains to activities to be undertaken through funding assistance by the New York State New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation (HTFC) as Responsible Entity with administration by the Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery (GOSR) on behalf of the HTFC. Contact: Daniel Greene.

This two-part notice is intended to satisfy two separate but related National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) procedural requirements and the interested public is advised to specify in any comments provided which notice part it is directed to. Per 24 CFR Part 58.33, the combined Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (FONSI/NOIRROF) will be published simultaneously with the submission of the Request for Release of Funds (RROF). The funds are needed on an emergency basis due to a declared disaster resulting from the impacts of Superstorm Sandy. As a result, the comment periods for the FONSI/NOIRROF and the RROF have been combined.

Project Description: GOSR intends to undertake the following project, funded by United States Department of Housing & Urban Development’s (HUD) 2013 Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds under the Economic Development Program including the Small Business Grant Program. Under this program, GOSR proposes to provide funds to Lamcom Associates (impacted by Superstorm Sandy) located at 6 Front Street, City of Newburgh, Orange County, NY. The funds include $6,712.68 for HVAC replacement, and steps, basement shelving and foundation repairs (fill-in cracks) and $46,330.89 allocated for future brick work, concrete walls and foundation repair, elevator repair, generator and HVAC replacement/repairs with mitigation as well as mitigation to install a stone wall to prevent parking lot erosion.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

GOSR prepared an Environmental Assessment pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E and determines that this project is not an action which will result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under NEPA is not required and will not be prepared. GOSR, as Responsible Entity, is publishing this FONSI plus sending it to identified interested parties, appropriated involved government agencies, and local news media. An Environmental Review Record (ERR) documenting the environmental determinations supporting this FONSI is on file.
and available for public inspection during normal business hours (9 A.M. - 5 P.M) in accordance with 24 CFR Part 58 at the office listed below. The NEPA Environmental Assessment documenting the FONSI may be viewed and downloaded at:
http://www.stormrecovery.ny.gov/environmental-docs

GOSR has determined that the ERR for the project identified herein complies with the requirements of HUD environmental review regulations at 24 CFR Part 58, et al.

Public Review: Public viewing of the ERR is available on the internet and is also available in person and may be examined or copied non-holiday weekdays from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM at the following address:

GOSR Office
25 Beaver Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10004
Contact: Daniel Greene (212) 480-4644

Further information also may be requested at NYSCDBG_DR_ER@nyshcr.org.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency disagreeing with the FONSI determination or desiring to comment on the project may submit written comments to both Daniel Greene, GOSR, 25 Beaver Street, 5th floor, New York, New York, 10004, and Tennille Smith Parker, Director, Disaster Recovery & Special Issues Division, HUD, 451 Seventh Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20410. Comments may also be submitted via email at NYSCDBG_DR_ER@nyshcr.org. All comments received 15 days following the date of first publication of this notice will be considered by GOSR prior to authorizing submission of a request for release of funds.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

Economic recovery, particularly for communities’ small businesses, is an essential cornerstone of storm recovery in New York State. Thus, on or about 16 days after the date of publication of this notice, GOSR, will request the U. S. Dept. of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) to release Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds under the Housing & Community Development Act of 1974 and Public Law 113-2, under the Small Business Grant Program associated with storm recovery.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

GOSR certifies to HUD that Daniel Greene acting in the capacity of Certifying Officer consents to accept jurisdiction of the Federal Courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the
environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. GOSR's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities, and allows GOSR to use Program funds.

**OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will consider objections to its release of funds and GOSR’s certification for a period of 15 days following the anticipated submission date, or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later), only if they are on one of the following bases: (a) the certification was not executed by GOSR’s Certifying Officer; (b) GOSR omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR Part 58; (c) the grant recipient or other project participants have committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or (d) another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality. Objections must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58) and shall be addressed to:

*Tennille Smith Parker*
*Director, Disaster Recovery & Special Issues Division*
*Office of Block Grant Assistance*
*U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development*
*451 7th Street SW*
*Washington, DC 20410*
*Phone: (202) 402-4649*

Potential objectors should contact HUD to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

*Sincerely,*

Daniel Greene
Deputy General Counsel & Certifying Officer
Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery