Nassau County Police 4th Precinct
Environmental Assessment

New York Governor’s Office of Storm Recovery
May 11, 2015
**Nassau County Police 4th Precinct**  
**Environmental Assessment**  

May 11, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Project Name:</strong></th>
<th>Nassau County Police Department 4th Precinct Station House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location:</strong></td>
<td>1699 Broadway, Hewlett, New York 11557 (Nassau County Police Department 4th Precinct located just north of Hewlett Bay in the Hamlet of Hewlett, Town of Hempstead)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HTFC SHARS #:</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Agency:</strong></td>
<td>US Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Entity:</strong></td>
<td>New York State Homes and Community Renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Agency's Certifying Officer:</strong></td>
<td>Thomas J. King, Assistant General Counsel and Certifying Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Sponsor:</strong></td>
<td>Nassau County Department of Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Primary Contact:** | Jane Houdek, Senior Counsel, Attorney, Department of Public Works, Nassau County, 1194 Prospect Avenue, Westbury, NY 11590-2723  
jhoudek@nassaucountyny.gov  
(516) 571-3144 |
| **Project NEPA Classification:** | 24 CFR 58.36 (Environmental Assessment) |

| **Environmental Finding:** | ☒ Finding of No Significant Impact - The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.  
☐ Finding of Significant Impact - The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment. |

| **Certification** | The undersigned hereby certifies that New York State Homes and Community Renewal has conducted an environmental review of the project identified above and prepared the attached environmental review record in compliance with all applicable provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 USC Sec. 4321 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 58. |

**Signature**

Thomas J. King, Assistant General Counsel and Certifying Officer

**Environmental Assessment Prepared By:** AKRF, Inc.  
34 South Broadway, Suite 401  
White Plains, NY 10601
CERTIFICATION OF NEPA CLASSIFICATION

It is the finding of the New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation that the activity(ies) proposed in its 2015 NYS CDBG-DR project, Nassau County Police Department 4th Precinct Station House is:

Check the applicable classification.

☐ Exempt as defined in 24 CFR 58.34 (a).

☐ Categorically Excluded as defined in 24 CFR 58.35(b).

☐ Categorically Excluded as defined in 24 CFR 58.35(a) and no activities are affected by federal environmental statues and executive orders [i.e., exempt under 58.34(a)(12)].

☐ Categorically Excluded as defined in 24 CFR 58.35(a) and some activities are affected by federal environmental statues and executive orders.

☒ "Other" neither exempt (24 CFR 58.34(a)) nor categorically excluded (24 CFR 58.35).

☐ Part or all of the project is locate[d] in an area identified as a floodplain or wetland. For projects located in a floodplain or wetland, evidence of compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and/or 11990 is required.

For activities excluding those classified as "Other", attached is the appropriate Classification Checklist (Exhibit 2-4) that identifies each activity and the corresponding citation.

__________________________________________
Signature of Certifying Officer

May 11, 2015
Date

Thomas J. King
Print Name

Assistant General Counsel and Certifying Officer
Title
CERTIFICATION OF SEQRA CLASSIFICATION

It is the finding of the New York State Housing Trust Fund Corporation that the activity(ies) proposed in its 2015 NYS CDBG-DR project, Nassau County Police Department 4th Precinct Station House constitutes a:

Check the applicable classification:

☐ Type I Action (6NYCRR Section 617.4)
☐ Type II Action (6NYCRR Section 617.5)
☒ Unlisted Action (not Type I or Type II Action)

Check if applicable:

☐ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Prepared
☐ Draft EIS
☐ Final EIS

Signature of Certifying Officer: ____________________________  Date: ____________________________
Thomas J. King  May 11, 2015
Print Name: ____________________________  Title: ____________
Assistant General Counsel and Certifying Officer
Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Nassau County Department of Public Works (NCDPW) proposes to utilize Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funding to demolish the existing Nassau County Police Department’s 4th Precinct (4th Precinct) and associated facilities to construct a new station house (approximately 116 feet by 88 feet) and parking lot to serve as the headquarters for the 4th Precinct (Proposed Project). No hazardous materials would be stored anywhere on the project site. The Proposed Project would be located on the site of the existing 4th Precinct station house at 1699 Broadway, Hewlett, New York 11557 (the Site) (see Figure 1 and Figure 2). The Site consists of a total of 1.04 acres.

The existing 4th Precinct station house would remain operational during construction (expected to last approximately 18 months) and would be demolished upon completion of the proposed new 4th Precinct station house. The resulting space within the footprint of the existing station house would be paved for parking. The proposed new station house would be constructed on a portion of the Site that is currently used for parking (see Figure 3). A temporary parking area would be set up within the existing landscaped area adjacent to Broadway to allow for parking during construction. Removal of two trees in the front of the existing station house and shrubbery on the east side of the building closest to Sheridan Avenue would be necessary to create this parking area. The area used for temporary parking during construction would be partially paved and incorporated into the new paved parking area. Areas of the temporary parking not paved would be re-vegetated.

The proposed new station house would be constructed of pre-cast concrete planks, with insulated polystyrene columns, set on steel girders; building dimensions would be 116ft x 88ft. The depth of the foundation would be a minimum of 3 feet deep to extend below the frost line. All existing aboveground storage tanks (AST) and underground storage tanks (UST) associated with the existing station house and fuel pumps would be removed in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations.

The proposed new station house would be supplied with water from the Long Island American Water Corporation. Wastewater would be discharged to the NCDPW Cedar Creek Water Pollution Control Plant in Seaford, NY, and would be connected to available natural gas and electric utilities. The proposed new station house would also be equipped with an emergency generator to supply back up power to allow operations to continued operation during and following storm events.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The existing station house was constructed on a vacant site by Nassau County in 1956-1957 and was occupied by the County on April 24, 1957. Due to its age, the station house does not possess updated design features that can withstand severe storm event conditions, such as high winds and heavy snow loading. In addition, the existing station house does not meet current police security requirements (i.e., building surveillance and a secure prisoner removal area) and is undersized (i.e., limited number of work stations and IT capability, limited space for equipment and file storage and for the reporting and processing of automobile crimes).

The proposed new two-story station house would be approximately 2.5 times larger than the existing station house, and therefore sufficient to accommodate existing and future operational capacity requirements. The proposed new station house would also comply with current police security
requirements, and meet current and future IT capability needs. The proposed new station house would be designed to withstand severe storm events.

**Existing Conditions and Trends** [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The Site currently consists of the existing two-story, flat-roofed, brick building (approximately 10,388 gross square feet) on the northwestern portion of the Site, an office trailer and a radio tower to the west of the existing station house, a three-door covered brick garage to the southwest of the existing station house, and a paved parking area on the southeastern portion of the Site (see Existing Site Conditions photos presented in Figure 4). The garage is used for minor maintenance operations on police vehicles, such as oil changes. There is a 280-gallon metal waste oil AST and a 275-gallon waste antifreeze AST behind the garage. The Site also contains two fuel pumps in the center of the paved area behind the existing station house, fuel pumps, and two bicycle storage sheds. There is a 10,000-gallon unleaded gas UST that was installed on January 1, 1996 and a 6,000-gallon diesel fuel UST that was installed on March 24, 1997; these USTs are located underneath the parking area south of the existing station house. There is also a 1,000-gallon #2 fuel oil UST that was installed on July 1, 1990 and is located just off the east corner of the existing station house at the edge of the paved area. Upon completion of the proposed new station house, maintenance of police vehicles would be completed at a Nassau County garage facility in Hempstead, NY or at the Police Department’s facility in Bethpage, NY. No vehicle maintenance would occur on the Site once the proposed new station house is complete.

Land uses surrounding the Proposed Project Site include: commercial uses to the north and northeast, single family residential uses to the east, Nassau County’s Grant Park to the south, and single family residential uses to the west and northwest. The 4th Precinct is located south of Sunrise Highway, which is the northern most boundary of the South Shore’s Evacuation Zone #2 and is located about 1,100 feet northwest of Grant Pond and 2,300 feet north of Willow Pond, which are hydraulically connected to Hewlett Bay, located about 3,000 feet south of the 4th Precinct.

The Site is located outside of the 500-year floodplain (see Figure 5). The nearest wetland is Grant Pond, approximately 0.17 miles (900 feet) southeast from the location of the proposed station house. The United States Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) identifies this wetland as PUBHx (non-tidal (palustrine), permanently flooded with an unconsolidated bottom that has been excavated) (see Figure 6). No wetlands are present within or immediately adjacent to the Site (see Figure 6 and Figure 7). The Proposed Project would not physically alter, or encroach into, Grant Pond.

**Funding Information**

**Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:** $12,000,000

**Estimated Total Project Cost** (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: $12,000,000
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Compliance with 24 CFR 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airport Hazards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Based on guidance provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development in Fact Sheet #D1, the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems was reviewed for civilian, commercial service airports within the vicinity of the program area, as projects located within 2,500 feet of a civil airport would require consultation with the appropriate civil airport operator. No known civil airports are located within 2,500 feet and no known military airports are located within 15,000 feet of the program area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D</td>
<td>Yes No □ ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coastal Barrier Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1699 Broadway, Hewlett, NY is not located in a USFWS Coastal Barrier Resources System Unit or Otherwise Protected Area. <a href="http://www.fws.gov/cbra/Maps/Locator/NY_Long_Island.pdf">http://www.fws.gov/cbra/Maps/Locator/NY_Long_Island.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</td>
<td>Yes No □ ☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flood Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>The project site is not located within the FEMA-designated 100- or 500-year floodplain (see Figure 5). The lowest floor of the proposed station house would be constructed to have at least 2 feet of freeboard above the 2009 FIRM elevation of 11 feet in the adjacent 500-year flood zone, as outlined in the Nassau Suffolk Advisory Memorandum of the March 22, 2013 FEMA Final Report New York/New Jersey Coastal Advisory Flood Hazard Information Development. <a href="https://msc.fema.gov/portal">https://msc.fema.gov/portal</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</td>
<td>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</td>
<td>Compliance determinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 &amp; 58.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Air</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
<td>The proposed action would be located in Nassau County, which is within a maintenance area for inhalable particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) and carbon monoxide, a marginal nonattainment area for the eight-hour ozone standard and considered an area source for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) emissions. The proposed emergency engines are subject to the stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE) Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) regulations at 40 CFR 63 ZZZZ and the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) at 40 CFR 60 IIII or 40 CFR 60 JJJJ that govern emission limits and compliance requirements for existing and new stationary RICE. Compliance will be demonstrated by purchasing an engine certified to the limits in these regulations. As these emergency engines do not require NYSDEC permits or registrations, are not located at major sources of HAP emissions, and are not intended for use in demand response programs, the proposed project would not trip conformity thresholds, do not require notification, and would likely not result in direct nor indirect adverse impacts to air quality. Therefore, the conformity determination requirements do not apply to the proposed project. <a href="http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/">http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/</a> <a href="http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/adden.html">http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/adden.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Zone Management</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
<td>Not applicable. State agencies must complete a Coastal Assessment Form (CAF) as soon as the agency contemplates an action that may affect the policies for the coastal area or of an approved LWRP. The project site is not located within the boundaries of the New York State Coastal Area Boundary or within an adopted LWRP. The Town of Hempstead is a New York State Department of State (NYSDEC) Certified Coastal Erosion Hazard Area (CEHA) Community. However, the Site itself is located outside of the CEHA. A letter has been sent to the Town of Hempstead on February 20, 2015 requesting coordination/concurrence regarding potential environmental impact of the proposed station house (see Appendix A).&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/atlas/">http://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/atlas/</a>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://appext20.dos.ny.gov/coastal_map_public/map.aspx">http://appext20.dos.ny.gov/coastal_map_public/map.aspx</a>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/pdfs/Waterways_List_08-14.pdf">http://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/pdfs/Waterways_List_08-14.pdf</a>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/lands/86552.html">http://www.dec.ny.gov/lands/86552.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</td>
<td>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</td>
<td>Compliance determinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination and Toxic Substances</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The project site is not listed on a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Superfund National Priorities or CERCLA List, or equivalent State list, is not located within 3,000 feet of a toxic or solid waste landfill site, and is not known or suspected to be contaminated by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials. The Site contains a 280-gallon metal waste oil AST and a 275-gallon waste antifreeze AST behind the garage. The Site contains two fuel pumps in the center of the paved area behind the station house. There is a 10,000-gallon unleaded gas UST that was installed on January 1, 1996, a 1,000-gallon #2 fuel oil UST that was installed on July 1, 1990, and a 6,000-gallon diesel fuel UST that was installed on March 24, 1997. All existing ASTs and USTs associated with the existing station house and fuel pumps would be removed in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations. Upon completion of the project, no hazardous materials would be stored on the Site. A review of the NYSDEC Spill Incident Database indicated that two spills within the vicinity of the Site are not closed (Gasoline Spill #1005919 at 1784 Broadway and Diesel Spill #1200717 at 1700 Broadway). A consultation letter was sent to NYSDEC on February 20, 2015 regarding potential environmental impact of the proposed project. An asbestos survey was conducted for the proposed project site with a report filed on February 16, 2015 (included in Appendix D). The survey identified “confirmed asbestos containing materials (ACM)”, and “assumed ACM” at the proposed project site. All asbestos abatement work shall be performed in conformance with all applicable Federal, State and local rules and regulations. The abatement project will be filed with all agencies having jurisdiction over this project. As such, it is not anticipated that the Proposed Project would result in any significant adverse impacts related to toxic, hazardous, or radioactive materials.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402**

There are six federally listed threatened or endangered species (piping plover, red knot, roseate tern, sandplain gerardia, seabeach amaranth, and northern long-eared bat (NLEB)) known to occur in Nassau County (see Appendix B). The Project Site is located in a developed, suburban portion of Hewlett, NY, approximately 4.5 miles from the outer Atlantic Coast and 1.5 miles from Hewlett Bay where most of the potentially occurring federally listed species could occur – including sandplain gerardia, seabeach amaranth, roseate tern, rufa red knot, and piping plover. These species would not occur on the Project Site because no appropriate coastal habitat exists at the site for them.

Although the NLEB could use inland, forested habitats, suitable habitat is not likely present within the vicinity of the Project Site. No caves or mines occur near the project site. Summer habitat of the NLEB generally includes upland and riparian forest within heavily forested landscapes (Ford et al. 2005, Henderson et al. 2008). The NLEB is sensitive to fragmentation and urbanization, and requires interior forest for both foraging and breeding (Foster and Kurta 1999, Broders et al. 2006, Henderson et al. 2008). Roost trees are usually in intact forest, close to the core and away from large clearings, roads, or other sharp edges (Menzel et al. 2002, Owen et al. 2003, Carter and Feldhammer 2005). Although Grant Park is located adjacent to the Project Site, the park consists of open, grassed areas, athletic fields, and a pond, with only a narrow fringe of trees along the park edges. Removal of two trees in the front of the existing precinct station house (along Broadway, off the northern corner of the building) would be necessary to create the proposed parking area. GOSR is not aware of any records of the park’s trees being used for summer roosting. For these reasons, the Proposed Action may affect but is unlikely to adversely affect the NLEB or the habitat on which this species depends. To the extent feasible the tree removal would comply with the NLEB Interim 4(d) Rule by: (ii) Activity avoids cutting or destroying known, occupied roost trees during the pup season (June 1–July 31).

The NYSDEC EAF mapper (http://www.dec.ny.gov/eafmapper) does not identify the potential presence of rare, threatened or endangered species at the project site. In addition, the NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program stated in a letter dated March 20, 2015 that they have no records of rare or state-listed animals or plants or significant natural communities at the project site or in the vicinity. A letter requesting informal consultation was sent to USFWS Long Island Field Office with the required information, Planning and Conservation System (IPaC) on February 20, 2015. USFWS concurred with the “no effect” determination in a response dated April 17, 2015 (see Appendix A). Therefore, the proposed project is not anticipated to result in any significant adverse impacts to threatened or endangered species.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**  
24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C | Yes No | This criterion is applicable to HUD-assisted projects that involve new residential construction, conversion of non-residential buildings to residential use, rehabilitation of residential properties that increase the number of units, or restoration of abandoned properties to habitable condition. As the Proposed Project is limited to the construction of a new police precinct station house the criterion does not apply. |
| **Farmlands Protection**  
Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 | Yes No | There are no New York State agricultural districts within Nassau County. The Proposed Project would not cause disturbance to Prime, Unique, or Statewide Important Farmland and would not involve the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. Therefore, the proposed project would not violate the Farmland Protection Policy Act.  
http://www.agriculture.ny.gov/ap/agservices/agricultural-districts.html  
| **Floodplain Management**  
Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55 | Yes No | The project site is not located within a Special Flood Hazard Area, and is outside both the 100-year and 500-year floodplain based on the review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map (pFIRM) (Panel 36059C0214H) (see Figure 5). Therefore, the Proposed Project would not have any impacts on flood management.  
https://msc.fema.gov/portal |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historic Preservation</strong></td>
<td>Yes No</td>
<td>There are no historic properties on the Site as indicated by the National and State Registers of Historic Places. The New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) were consulted. Based on the attached letter dated March 11, 2015, it has been determined that the Proposed Project has no potential to affect cultural resources, including resources on (or eligible for listing on) the National Register of Historic Places (see correspondence in Appendix A). The HUD Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) Version 2.0 was reviewed and no tribal interests were identified by HUD for Nassau County. <a href="http://www.nationalregisterofhistoricplaces.com/ny/nassau/state.html">http://www.nationalregisterofhistoricplaces.com/ny/nassau/state.html</a> <a href="http://parks.ny.gov/shpo/online-tools/">http://parks.ny.gov/shpo/online-tools/</a> <a href="http://egis.hud.gov/tdat/Tribal.aspx">http://egis.hud.gov/tdat/Tribal.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noise Abatement and Control</strong></td>
<td>Yes No</td>
<td>The Proposed Project involves the construction of a new police precinct station house that will be built adjacent to the existing structure. Demolition of the existing station house will occur following completion of the new station house. The Proposed Project is not a noise sensitive use, and furthermore, the policies of 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) do not apply to any action or emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are provided to save lives and protect public health and safety. The Proposed Project would temporarily increase noise levels at nearby residences. These increases would be mitigated by implementing the Construction Impacts Conditions for Approval (see below under Mitigation Measures and Conditions), including outfitting of equipment with mufflers, and compliance with local noise ordinances including time-of-day work limitations. Following these temporary renovations and rebuilding activities, noise levels would be similar to pre-storm levels and would not result in any significant increase in ambient noise levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6</td>
<td>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</td>
<td>Compliance determinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Sole Source Aquifers**  
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149 | Yes No | The Proposed Project involves the construction of a new police precinct station house that will be built adjacent to the existing structure. The foundation for the new station house would be no more than four feet deep. The Proposed Project would not impact the Nassau-Suffolk Sole Source Aquifer. The required minimum two-foot separation distance between the stormwater drywells and the top of the historical high in the water table would be met. The USEPA stated in their April 1, 2015 letter that the Proposed Project satisfies the requirements of Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (see Appendix A).  
[http://www.epa.gov/region02/water/aquifer/](http://www.epa.gov/region02/water/aquifer/) |
| **Wetlands Protection**  
Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5 | Yes No | The proposed project is not located within a federal wetland, and is therefore in compliance with Executive Order 11990 (see Figure 6). The proposed project is greater than 300 feet from a NYSDEC tidal wetland and greater than 100 feet from a NYSDEC freshwater wetland (see Figure 7). |
| **Wild and Scenic Rivers**  
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c) | Yes No | There are no Wild and Scenic Rivers within Nassau County, as designated by the U.S. Department of the Interior, and no Wild, Scenic, or Recreational Rivers, as designated by the NYSDEC. There are no rivers within Nassau County listed on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory.  
### Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compliance determinations</th>
<th>Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Order 12898</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Proposed Project is not located in or adjacent to potential environmental justice areas as indicated by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (Appendix C). The proposed project would have no significant adverse environmental justice impacts on the surrounding community.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.**

**Impact Codes:** Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

1. Minor beneficial impact
2. No impact anticipated
3. Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
4. Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Assessment Factor</th>
<th>Impact Code</th>
<th>Impact Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAND DEVELOPMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project is consistent with existing zoning regulations, land use types, building height and scale. The proposed project would result in coordinated land use and open space preservation, which is in conformance with Chapter 3 Land Use, of the 2010 Nassau County Draft Master Plan, by utilizing a County-owned existing developed site. The proposed project would maintain current land use and would therefore be compatible with existing land use. The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or an increase in the number of employees at the 4th precinct, and therefore would not have an urbanizing effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed site was previously developed; unsuitable soils are not anticipated. If unsuitable soils have caused structural problems for any of the existing on-site buildings, this would generally be addressed during the local permitting process. The proposed activities would not change the slope of the existing site. During construction, best management practices would be used to avoid soil erosion and existing drain inlets would be protected from debris, soil, and sedimentation. Vegetation would not need to be cleared for the construction of the proposed station house. A temporary parking area would be set up within the existing landscaped area that is adjacent to Broadway. Removal of two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment Factor</td>
<td>Impact Code</td>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trees in the front of the station house and shrubbery on the east side of the building closest to Sheridan Avenue would be necessary to create this parking area. The area used for temporary parking during construction would be partially paved and incorporated into the new paved parking area. Areas of the temporary parking not paved would be re-vegetated. The proposed site does not contain or abut freshwater or tidal wetlands. Stormwater would be captured and stored in on-site dry wells.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>There is a 10,000 gallon unleaded gas UST that was installed on January 1, 1996, a 1,000 gallon #2 fuel oil UST that was installed on July 1, 1990, and a 6,000 gallon diesel fuel UST that was installed on March 24, 1997. The existing 10,000-gallon unleaded gas UST, 6,000-gallon diesel fuel UST, 1,000-gallon #2 fuel oil UST, 280-gallon waste oil AST, and 275-gallon waste antifreeze AST would be removed as part of the proposed project. Handling and disposal of oil, fuel, and/or diesel associated with the demolition of the existing station house and associated facilities would be done in accordance with all applicable federal and NYS requirements and/or regulations (see also the Mitigation Measures and Conditions section below). No vehicle maintenance, such as oil changes, would occur at 1699 Broadway once the proposed project is complete. The Proposed Project would include demolition of structures constructed prior to 1978. An asbestos survey was prepared by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to determine the presence or absence of asbestos containing materials. The findings of that survey are provided in a report filed on February 16, 2015. The survey identified “confirmed asbestos containing materials (ACM)”, and “assumed ACM” at the proposed project site. Details on the specific findings are provided in the text of the full report provided in Appendix D. Based on the findings of the asbestos survey, remediation will conducted prior to demolition in accordance with all applicable Federal, State and local regulations. Any remediation would be appropriately scheduled and coordinated with any demolition and/or redevelopment activities. Project activities would conform to Part 56 of Title 12 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the NYS Department of Labor (12 NYCRR Part 56); the National Emission Standard for Asbestos—Standard for demolition and renovation (40 CFR Part 61.145); and National Emission Standard for Asbestos—Standard for waste disposal for manufacturing, fabricating, demolition, and spraying operations (40 CFR Part 61.145).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All activities must comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations regarding lead-based paint, including but not limited to, EPA Repair, Renovation, and Painting (RRP) Rule (40 CFR 745.80 Subpart E), HUD’s lead-based paint regulations in 24 CFR Part 35 Subparts A, B, H, J, and R, HUD “Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing,” and the New York City Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Act of 2003. The Guidelines complement regulations that have been issued by HUD, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and policies from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Mitigation measures would be implemented to ensure the proper handling of any solid waste generated during construction and demolition to address fugitive dust concerns. No vehicle maintenance, such as oil changes, would occur on the project site once the proposed station house is complete.

According to the U.S. EPA, Nassau County is located in Radon Zone 3, where the predicted average indoor radon screening level is less than 2 picocuries per liter (pCi/L). Radon testing will not be required for the proposed project.

The proposed project would temporarily increase noise levels at nearby residences. These increases would be mitigated by implementing the Construction Impacts Conditions for Approval, including outfitting of equipment with mufflers, and compliance with local noise ordinances including time-of-day work limitations. Following these temporary renovations and rebuilding activities, noise levels would be similar to pre-storm levels and would not result in any significant increase in ambient noise levels.

A consultation letter was sent to NYSDEC on February 20, 2015 regarding potential environmental impact of the proposed project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Assessment Factor</th>
<th>Impact Code</th>
<th>Impact Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOCIOECONOMIC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and Income Patterns</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would create temporary construction jobs. However, these jobs would not significantly increase employment opportunities or impact income patterns as the construction duration is expected to be 18 months. The proposed project would not result in the creation of new permanent jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the existing 4th Precinct and therefore would not impact employment and income patterns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Character Changes, Displacement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not alter the demographic characteristics of the surrounding community. The proposed project would not directly or indirectly displace people, businesses, institutions, or community facilities as it would occur within an existing developed site owned by Nassau County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational and Cultural Facilities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not increase demand on educational facilities. The proposed project is not located within or adjacent to a cultural facility and would not impact cultural facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Facilities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not increase demand on commercial facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care and Social Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not increase demand on health care or social services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Construction of the proposed station house would result in the generation of waste, primarily paved asphalt, soil, and packed gravel. Following construction, demolition of the existing station house would result in additional waste. The amount of solid waste generated from construction and demolition would not significantly increase short-term generation of municipal solid waste and would not increase long-term generation of municipal solid waste as the total acreage that would be disturbed to construct the proposed station house would be 1.04 acres. All waste would be hauled off-site by the selected contractor and would be handled in accordance with the State’s solid and hazardous waste rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment Factor</td>
<td>Impact Code</td>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Water / Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wastewater from the proposed station house would be connected to the existing public sanitary sewer, which flows via gravity, to discharge at the County’s Cedar Creek Water Pollution Control Plant, located at 3340 Merrick Road, Seaford, NY 11793. There is adequate capacity within this system to accommodate wastewater that would be generated once the proposed station house is operational.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not increase demand on the water supply. The proposed station house would connect to the existing public potable water utility, which is provided by Long Island American Water. The capacity of the existing public water utility is adequate to serve the proposed project and the water quality of the existing water utility is of potable quality as confirmed by the existing connection to and use of potable water supply at the existing 4th Precinct station house. The proposed project would not impact the Nassau-Suffolk Sole Source Aquifer. The required minimum two-foot separation distance between the stormwater drywells and the top of the historical high in the water table would be met. The USEPA stated in their April 1, 2015 letter that the Proposed Project satisfies the requirements of Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (see Appendix A).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The proposed project would not result in the creation of new jobs and/or result in an increase in the number of employees at the 4th Precinct and therefore would not increase demand for police protection, fire protection, or emergency medical services. The proposed station house would be constructed in compliance with local building codes and all oil, fuel, and diesel storage tanks would be removed from the property, resulting in a decrease in the potential fire hazards on the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Open Space and Recreation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project of constructing a new precinct station house within the existing 4th Precinct property (which is adjacent to Grant Park) would not impact open space or recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Accessibility</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>The proposed project would not impact transportation. There would be a negligible increase in construction traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NATURAL FEATURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Natural Features, Water Resources</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>There are no NYSDEC Unique Geologic Features within Nassau County and no NYSDEC Critical Environmental Areas within the vicinity of the project site. The proposed project would not pose a significant threat to groundwater or other water resources. The required minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Assessment Factor</td>
<td>Impact Code</td>
<td>Impact Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>two-foot separation distance between the stormwater drywells and the top of the historical high in the water table would be met. The USEPA stated in their April 1, 2015 letter that the Proposed Project satisfies the requirements of Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (see Appendix A). A consultation letter was sent to NYSDEC on February 20, 2015 regarding potential environmental impact of the proposed project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation, Wildlife</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>There are six federally listed threatened or endangered species (piping plover, red knot, roseate tern, sandplain gerardia, seabeach amaranth, and northern long-eared bat (NLEB)) known to occur in Nassau County (see Appendix B). The Project Site is located in a developed, suburban portion of Hewlett, NY, approximately 4.5 miles from the outer Atlantic Coast and 1.5 miles from Hewlett Bay where most of the potentially occurring federally listed species could occur – including sandplain gerardia, seabeach amaranth, roseate tern, rufa red knot, and piping plover. These species would not occur on the Project Site because no appropriate coastal habitat exists at the site for them. Although the NLEB could use inland, forested habitats, suitable habitat is not likely present within the vicinity of the Project Site. No caves or mines occur near the project site. Summer habitat of the NLEB generally includes upland and riparian forest within heavily forested landscapes (Ford et al. 2005, Henderson et al. 2008). The NLEB is sensitive to fragmentation and urbanization, and requires interior forest for both foraging and breeding (Foster and Kurta 1999, Broders et al. 2006, Henderson et al. 2008). Roost trees are usually in intact forest, close to the core and away from large clearings, roads, or other sharp edges (Menzel et al. 2002, Owen et al. 2003, Carter and Feldhammer 2005). Although Grant Park is located adjacent to the Project Site, the park consists of open, grassed areas, athletic fields, and a pond, with only a narrow fringe of trees along the park edges. Removal of two trees in the front of the existing precinct station house (along Broadway, off the northern corner of the building) would be necessary to create the proposed parking area. GOSR is not aware of any records of the park’s trees being used for summer roosting. For these reasons, the Proposed Action may affect but is unlikely to adversely affect the NLEB or the habitat on which this species depends. To the extent feasible the tree removal would comply with the NLEB Interim 4(d) Rule by: (ii) Activity avoids cutting or destroying known, occupied roost trees during the pup season (June 1–July 31). The NYSDEC EAF mapper (<a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/eafmapper">http://www.dec.ny.gov/eafmapper</a>) does not identify the potential presence of rare, threatened or endangered species at the project site. In addition, the NYSDEC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Additional Studies Performed:

**LiRo Architects and Planners, P.C., Conditions Assessment Fourth Precinct, 1699 Broadway, Hewlett, NY, April 2007.**

**LiRo Engineers, Inc. Survey Report Inspection for Asbestos-Containing Materials, Nassau County Police Precinct, 4th Precinct, 1699 Broadway, Hewlett, NY, February 16, 2015**

**Field Inspection (Date and completed by):**

February 20, 2015 by 3PL Consulting (to check for wetlands and take photos)

**List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:**


Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).


and


and

http://www.dec.ny.gov/lands/86552.html


and

http://www.dec.ny.gov/cfmx/extapps/derexternal/index.cfm?pageid=1
NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program, consultation letter dated February 20, 2015 and agency response dated March 20, 2015


NYSDEC, Region 1 Regional Permit Administrator, Roger Evans, letters dated XX, 2015 and XX, 2015


and


NYS Orthos Online: http://www.orthos.dhses.ny.gov/


U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) NEPAssist.  
http://nepassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2. Sole Source Aquifers.  
http://www.epa.gov/region02/water/aquifer/

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2 Water Programs, consultation dated February 20, 2015 and agency response (Grace Musumeci) dated April 1, 2015

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2 Water Programs, email correspondence from Kelly Simmons, 3PL Consulting to Rajini Ramakrishnan, USEPA, re CDBG-DR Nassau County Police Precincts #4, #7 and #8 – USEPA request regarding the handling of on-site stormwater at each precinct. March 11, 2015.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) IPaC, accessed March 26, 2015:  
http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/

USFWS John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Island Resources System map for Long Island,  
http://www.fws.gov/cbra/Maps/Locator/NY_Long_Island.pdf

USFWS - Threatened and Endangered Species – Nassau County, NY.  

USFWS, Wetlands Online Mapper, National Wetlands Inventory Map.  
http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Sections 3 and 5 (16 USC 1274 and 1276).  
http://www.rivers.gov/rivers/delaware-upper.php

**List of Permits Obtained or Required:**

Town of Hempstead building permit

Town of Hempstead demolition permit

NYS DEC SPDES Permit for Construction

Nassau County Department of Health Permit

**Public Outreach [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:**

On May 11, 2015, a combined Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (NOIRROF) was published in Newsday. Any individual, group or agency may submit written comments on the Environmental Review Record. Comments should be submitted via email, in the proper format, on or before May 26, 2015 at NYSCDBG_DR_ER@nyshcr.org. Written comments may also be submitted at the following address, or by mail, in the proper format, to be received on or before May 26, 2015:

Thomas J. King, Certifying Officer  
NYS Homes and Community Renewal
Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:
There are no other nearby projects; therefore, there would be no cumulative impacts.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]:
Relocation of the 4th Precinct – The County considered the alternative of relocating the 4th Precinct to another site. In order to continue to serve the South Shore communities of Inwood, Hewlett, Hewlett Bay Park, Hewlett Neck, Woodbury, Hewlett Harbor, Woodmere, Cedarhurst, Lawrence, East Rockaway, Bay Park, East Atlantic Beach, Atlantic Beach Estates, Oceanside, North Long Beach, Atlantic Beach, Island Park, Lido Beach, and Point Lookout, the site would have to be located within the central and/or north eastern portion(s) of the County. The site would also have to possess the following characteristics:
- be located outside of the 100-year and 500-year floodplains to ensure the 4th Precinct remains open during and following severe storm events,
- be adequately sized to accommodate a new station house that contains updated police security features and a new garage,
- contain connection to existing water, gas and electric utilities,
- be properly zoned for the intended use, and
- be owned by the County or available for purchase by the County.
There are no benefits to the human environment of relocating the 4th Precinct to another site as the existing site possesses each of the required characteristics. A site that possesses each of the required characteristics that is also a County-owned site or a site that is available for purchase by the County is not available. Any available site that contained anything less than all of the required characteristics would delay the construction of the proposed station house and garage, which would subsequently the delay the availability of expanded police services to the communities under the service of the 4th Precinct. Additionally, HUD does not allow construction of critical facilities, such as police stations, in the 500-year floodplain. For the reasons listed above, the alternative of relocating the 4th Precinct to another site was rejected.

Rehabilitating the existing 4th Precinct Station House – The County considered the alternative of rehabilitating the 4th Precinct station house. This would allow the 4th Precinct to continue to serve the South Shore communities of Inwood, Hewlett, Hewlett Bay Park, Hewlett Neck, Woodbury, Hewlett Harbor, Woodmere, Cedarhurst, Lawrence, East Rockaway, Bay Park, East Atlantic Beach, Atlantic Beach Estates, Oceanside, North Long Beach, Atlantic Beach, Island Park, Lido Beach, and Point Lookout. The site already possesses each of the required characteristics:
- located outside of the 100-year and 500-year floodplains to ensure the 4th Precinct remains open during and following severe storm events,
- adequately sized to accommodate a new station house that contains updated police security features and a new garage,
- contain connection to existing water, gas and electric utilities,
- properly zoned for the intended use, and
- County-owned or available for purchase by the County.
The footprint of the existing station house would have to be expanded in order to provide a station house that possesses current police security features and that contains expanded space to offer an expanded suite of police services. This would require the removal of the externally located structures, e.g., the underground fuel tanks associated with the station house’s heating system and the vehicle fuel pumps, the administrative trailer and radio tower. The existing station house was constructed prior to 1978 and contains lead and asbestos, which would require special services for the removal and hauling of these materials. For the reasons listed above, the alternative of rehabilitating the existing 4th Precinct station house was rejected.

**No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:**

In the absence of the proposed project (the No Action Alternative), the 4th Precinct would continue to manage operations from the existing location, using the existing station house and associated structures and facilities. The existing station house and associated structures and facilities would remain undersized for current operations, in noncompliance with current police security requirements, and lack sufficient IT capabilities. The 4th Precinct would continue to be susceptible to future storm damage, which would result in the continued closure of this station house prior to severe storm events. Closure of the 4th Precinct would reduce the availability of public services normally provided by the 4th Precinct.

**Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**

The Proposed Project would involve construction of a new police precinct station house adjacent to the existing precinct station house, followed by demolition of the existing station house. The Site is a previously disturbed site located within an urban area. All ASTs and USTs would be removed as part of the Proposed Project; no hazardous materials would be stored on the Site following completion of the project. No adverse environmental impacts are expected to occur.

**Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]**

Mitigation measures to be implemented by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors are summarized below. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

**Construction Impacts Conditions for Approval:**

- Construction equipment would be outfitted with mufflers.
- Construction would comply with local noise ordinances including time-of-day work limitations. The Town of Hempstead code limits construction work to the hours of 7 AM to 6 PM (Chapter 144 Unreasonable Noise, subsection 144-3 Specific Prohibitions).
- All solid waste materials would be managed and transported in accordance with Federal, state, and local solid and hazardous waste rules.
- All existing ASTs and USTs associated with the existing station house and fuel pumps would be removed in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal regulations.
- Segregation of inert waste shall be done, as practicable, to reduce the cost of waste management.
- The existing drain inlets would be protected from debris, soil, and sedimentation.
- Best management practices would be used to avoid soil erosion.
• All asbestos abatement work shall be performed in accordance with all applicable Federal, State and Local rules and regulations. The abatement project shall be filed with all agencies having jurisdiction over this project, such as USEPA and NYSDOH.
• A licensed abatement contractor must perform the removal of all friable and non-friable ACM.
• At such time that the ACM or Assumed ACM are to be abated, the following measures are recommended to be undertaken to ensure that ACM is properly and effectively carried out:
  o Develop and implement a schedule that outlines the time frame for removal of asbestos-containing materials.
  o Develop complete and concise specifications to effectively deal with removal of the asbestos-containing material. These specifications should be developed to comply with all applicable Federal, State and Local regulations.
  o Retain the service of an independent testing laboratory to monitor the quality of the air before, during and after the removal work. Retain all documentation and correspondence from the removal contractor, the testing laboratory and related items in a permanent record.
• Mitigation measures would be implemented to ensure the proper handling of any solid waste generated during construction and demolition to address fugitive dust concerns.

Suggestions from EPA:

Suggestions from EPA to minimize environmental impacts and create a more sustainable project are outlined below. See the EPA correspondence dated April 1, 2015 in Appendix A for more detail.
• Construction and demolition – to the maximum extent possible, utilize local and recycled materials in construction process and recycle materials generated onsite.
• Clean diesel – implement diesel controls, cleaner fuel, and cleaner construction practices for on-road and off-road equipment used for transportation, soil movement, or other construction activities, including:
  o Strategies and technologies that reduce unnecessary idling, including auxiliary power units, the use of electric equipment, and strict enforcement of idling limits; and
  o Use of clean diesel through add-on control technologies like diesel particulate filters and diesel oxidation catalysts, repowers, or newer, cleaner equipment.
• Stormwater – utilize low impact development (LID) principles such as minimizing effective imperviousness to create site drainage, and the planting of native and non-invasive vegetation on the project site for stormwater management purposes. Other LID practices can include bioretention facilities, rain gardens, vegetated rooftops, rain barrels, and permeable pavements.
• Cost-efficient, environmentally friendly landscaping – EPA’s GreenScapes program provides cost-efficient and environmentally friendly solutions for landscaping.
• Energy efficiency – energy-efficient technologies should be incorporated into the station house when possible.
• Water conservation and efficiency – promote water conservation and efficiency through use of water efficient products (toilets, faucets, showerheads) and practices. Consider use of products with the WaterSense label where appropriate.
Determination:

☑ Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.27]
The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

☐ Finding of Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508.27]
The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Preparer Signature
Nina S. Peek, AICP
Sr. Technical Director, AKRF Inc.

Signature of Certifying Officer
Thomas J. King
Assistant General Counsel and Certifying Officer

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).